

Tissue- and substrate-dependent mitochondrial responses to acute hypoxia-reoxygenation stress in a marine bivalve *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg, 1793)

Linda Adzigbli^{1,2}, Eugene P. Sokolov³, Siriluck Ponsuksili¹, Inna M. Sokolova^{2,4}

¹Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology (FBN), Institute of Genome Biology, Dummerstorf, Germany

²Department of Marine Biology, Institute for Biological Sciences, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

³Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research, Leibniz Science Campus Phosphorus Research, Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany

⁴Department of Maritime Systems, Interdisciplinary Faculty, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

Corresponding author: Inna M. Sokolova inna.sokolova@uni-rostock.de

Keywords: Mollusks, Mitochondria, Bioenergetics, Oxidative stress, Metabolism, Reverse electron transport

Abstract. Hypoxia is a major stressor for aquatic organisms, yet intertidal organisms like the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* are adapted to frequent oxygen fluctuations by metabolically adjusting to shifts in oxygen and substrate availability during hypoxia-reoxygenation (H/R). We investigated the effects of acute H/R stress (15 min at ~0% O₂, and 10 min reoxygenation) on isolated mitochondria from the gill and the digestive gland of *C. gigas* respiring on different substrates (pyruvate, glutamate, succinate, palmitate and their mixtures). Gill mitochondria showed better capacity for amino acid and fatty acid oxidation compared to the mitochondria from the digestive gland. Mitochondrial responses to H/R stress strongly depended on the substrate and the activity state of mitochondria. In mitochondria oxidizing NADH-linked substrates exposure to H/R stress suppressed oxygen consumption and ROS generation in the

resting state, whereas in the ADP-stimulated state, ROS production increased despite little change in respiration. As a result, electron leak (measured as H₂O₂ to O₂ ratio) increased after H/R stress in the ADP-stimulated mitochondria with NADH-linked substrates. In contrast, H/R exposure stimulated succinate-driven respiration without an increase in electron leak. Reverse electron transport (RET) did not significantly contribute to succinate-driven ROS production in oyster mitochondria except for a slight increase in the OXPHOS state during post-hypoxic recovery. A decrease in NADH-driven respiration and ROS production, enhanced capacity for succinate oxidation and resistance to RET might assist in post-hypoxic recovery of oysters mitigating oxidative stress and supporting rapid ATP re-synthesis during oxygen fluctuations such as commonly observed in estuaries and intertidal zones.

INTRODUCTION

Oxygen fluctuations are common in estuaries, tidal pools, intertidal and coastal zones of the ocean (Breitburg et al., 2015; de Zwaan and Putzer, 1985; Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Richards, 2011). Oxygen deficiency (hypoxia) limits energy supply due to a decrease in aerobic respiration and ATP synthesis, while reoxygenation might cause a surge in reactive oxygen species (ROS) resulting in oxidative damage to DNA, lipids, and proteins (Andrienko et al., 2017). In aerobic organisms, mitochondria represent key targets of hypoxia-reoxygenation (H/R) stress, with mitochondrial damage implicated in the disruption of energy and redox homeostasis and subsequent cellular injury and death (Honda et al., 2005; Klöner, 2017). However, many hypoxia-tolerant organisms including intertidal bivalves, freshwater reptiles, and fish appear to have evolved mechanisms that support robust mitochondrial respiration and redox homeostasis during H/R stress (Farhat et al., 2021; Pamerter et al., 2016; Sokolova et al., 2019). Mitochondrial adaptations of hypoxia-tolerant organisms include maintenance of high activity of electron transport system (ETS) during oxygen fluctuations (Farhat et al., 2021; Ivanina et al., 2012; Ivanina et al., 2016; Kurochkin et al., 2008; Pamerter et al., 2016), upregulation of antioxidants and protein quality control mechanisms (Freire et al., 2011; Sokolov et al., 2019; Steffen et al., 2020) and, in some species, transient suppression of the mitochondrial ATPase activity to prevent ATP wastage (Boutilier and St-Pierre, 2002; Ivanina et al., 2016; St-Pierre et al., 2000a). Despite significant advances in comparative mitochondrial physiology of hypoxia-tolerant organisms in recent years

[review in: (Pamenter, 2014; Pamenter et al., 2020; Sokolova, 2018; Sokolova et al., 2019)], the mechanisms of the mitochondrial adaptations to H/R stress are not fully understood. In particular, the implications of the hypoxia-induced changes in the intracellular milieu including shifts in concentrations of metabolic intermediates require further investigation (Bayne, 2017; Brinkhoff et al., 1983; de Zwaan, 1991; Haider et al., 2020a), in light of the strong substrate-dependence of mitochondrial responses to stress (Leverve and Fontaine, 2001; Quinlan et al., 2013).

Mitochondria are metabolically flexible organelles capable of utilizing a broad range of substrates (including carbohydrates, fatty acids, and amino acids) to generate ATP (Fig. 1). The nature of the respiratory substrate affects the stoichiometry of oxygen consumption to ATP production (Leverve and Fontaine, 2001) and ROS generation rates (Quinlan et al., 2013). Mitochondria from different species as well as from different tissues of the same species show preferential dependence on certain substrates that plays a role in energy metabolism and stress sensitivity. For example, in rodents, the brain mitochondria show higher transport and oxidation rates of Complex I (NADH-linked) substrates, whereas liver mitochondria show preference for succinate (Gusdon et al., 2015) and heart mitochondria preferably oxidize fatty acids, amino acids and lactate (Kodde et al., 2007). Substrate preference might change in response to stress as shown in a hypoxia-tolerant goldfish where brain mitochondria switch from carbohydrate to fatty acid oxidation during hypoxia (Farhat et al., 2021). Furthermore, ROS production is also substrate-dependent and regulated by the degree of reduction of the coenzyme Q (CoQ) pool (Guarás et al., 2016). Thus, in mammalian mitochondria the redox state of the CoQ pool is maintained near optimum during glucose oxidation but becomes over-reduced during oxidation of fatty acids or succinate leading to ROS production via reverse electron transport (RET) at Complex I (Guarás et al., 2016)(Quinlan et al., 2013). ROS generation via RET have been reported in several studies as both a positive and negative regulator of physiological processes and pathogenesis. In *Drosophila*, stimulation of ROS production via RET extended the lifespan by preventing pathogenesis induced by severe oxidative stress (Scialò et al., 2016). In mammals, RET can lead to a pathological oxidative damage causing ischemia/reperfusion injury (Chouchani et al., 2016). This dual role of RET renders it as a mechanism of interest especially under stressful conditions.

The tissue-specific substrate preferences have not been extensively studied in invertebrate mitochondria including those of hypoxia-tolerant marine bivalves (Ballantyne, 2004). However, available studies show that the metabolic make-up of invertebrate mitochondria differs from those of vertebrates (Ballantyne, 2004; Doumen and Ellington, 1989). Thus, the heart mitochondria of marine mollusks and horseshoe crabs have limited capacity to oxidize fatty acids and largely depend on proline (mollusks) or carbohydrates (the horseshoe crabs) oxidation (Ballantyne, 2004; Doumen and Ellington, 1989). Molluscan mitochondria also commonly show a strong capacity to oxidize succinate (Kurochkin et al., 2009; Tschischka et al., 2000). In facultative anaerobes such as marine bivalves, environmental stressors including hypoxia-reoxygenation (H/R) can strongly affect intracellular concentrations of metabolic fuels leading to depletion of carbohydrates, accumulation of succinate and acetate, and a shift in the relative concentrations of different amino acids (Bayne, 2017; Brinkhoff et al., 1983; de Zwaan, 1991; Haider et al., 2020a; Ivanina et al., 2011). These changes might affect the availability of mitochondrial substrates and have major implications for bioenergetics and redox balance. However, the metabolic flexibility in mitochondrial substrate utilization under the H/R stress have not been studied in marine bivalves and warrants further investigation.

The aim of this study was to determine the role of utilization of different metabolic substrates (carbohydrates, amino acids and fatty acids) in mitochondrial respiration, ATP synthesis capacity and ROS production, as well as the mitochondrial responses to H/R stress in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *C. gigas* is a common bivalve species with worldwide distribution in estuaries, intertidal and coastal zones as well as in aquaculture. Oysters possess high tolerance to abiotic stressors and are commonly exposed to fluctuating oxygen levels due to the tidal and diurnal cycles and/or seasonal hypoxia (David et al., 2005; Le Moullac et al., 2007; Meng et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2012, 2016) making them an excellent model species to study mitochondrial flexibility under H/R stress. We hypothesized that oyster mitochondria will show tissue-specific preferences for oxidation of different substrates reflecting the substrate availability in different tissues. Based on the earlier studies reporting concentrations of lipids, free amino acids and succinate in different tissue of marine bivalves (Haider et al., 2020a; Haider et al., 2018; Haider et al., 2019; Haider et al., 2020b), we hypothesized that that the digestive gland mitochondria will show a stronger ability to oxidize succinate and

fatty acids whereas the ability for amino acid oxidation will be higher in the gills. Furthermore, we hypothesized that mitochondrial capacity for succinate utilization will be enhanced after the H/R stress in oyster mitochondria facilitating rapid succinate breakdown to prevent RET. To test these hypothesis, we isolated mitochondria from the gills and the digestive gland of *C. gigas* and measured their oxygen consumption and ROS production in the presence of different substrates (pyruvate, succinate, glutamate, palmitate) and their combinations under the control conditions and after exposure to acute H/R stress (15 min of near-anoxia, ~0% O₂ followed by 10 min of reoxygenation). To test for the potential involvement of RET in the mitochondrial ROS production, the succinate-driven oxygen consumption and ROS production were measured in the presence and absence of a Complex I inhibitor, rotenone, known to prevent the RET (Scialò et al., 2017). Our study showed that the gill and the digestive gland mitochondria of oysters demonstrate strong substrate-dependence of the mitochondrial respiration and ROS production under the normal conditions and after the H/R stress. Furthermore, assessment of the RET as a potential mechanism of ROS production shows that oyster mitochondria have an excellent capacity for succinate oxidation with minimal propensity for RET.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. Chemicals for the experiments were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Munich, Germany), Fisher Scientific (Schwerte, Germany), or Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) and were of analytical grade or higher.

Animals. Adult Pacific oysters *C. gigas* were collected from the island of Sylt in the German Wadden Sea and transported within 24 h of collection to the University of Rostock. On arrival, oysters were acclimated for 3-6 weeks at 15 ± 1°C and salinity 32 ± 1 (practical salinity units) in aerated natural Baltic Sea water adjusted to salinity 32 with Instant Ocean sea salt (Aquarium Systems, Sarrebourg, France). Salinity and temperature conditions were chosen to represent the habitat condition of the studied oyster population during the time of collection. Oysters were fed *ad libitum* with a commercial algal blend (DT's Live Marine Phytoplankton, CoralSands, Wiesbaden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Mitochondrial isolation. Mitochondria were isolated from gill tissues and the digestive glands of oysters. These tissues were chosen due to their involvement in energy metabolism. The gill is the main organ for the oxygen uptake and filter-feeding in marine bivalves, whereas the digestive gland is one of the largest metabolically active organs involved in digestion and energy storage (Kennedy, 1996). Briefly, 1–2 g of gills or digestive gland tissues were homogenized in an ice-cold isolation buffer containing 30 mmol l⁻¹ 2-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) pH 7.5, 100 mmol l⁻¹ sucrose, 100 mmol l⁻¹ NaCl, 200 mmol l⁻¹ KCl, 8 mmol l⁻¹ ethylene glycol-bis(2-aminoethylether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 50 µg l⁻¹ aprotinin, 1 mmol l⁻¹ phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), using several passes of a Potter–Elvehjem homogenizer at 200 r.p.m. The homogenate was centrifuged for 4 min at 2000×g to remove cell debris. The supernatant was collected and centrifuged for 8 min at 8500×g to acquire a mitochondrial pellet. The pellet was washed two times with the isolation media and recollected by brief centrifugation (5 min at 8500×g). All centrifugation steps were conducted at 4 °C. The mitochondrial pellet was resuspended in an ice-cold assay media containing 30 mmol l⁻¹ HEPES pH 7.2, 185 mmol l⁻¹ sucrose, 10 mmol l⁻¹ glucose, 130 mmol l⁻¹ KCl, 10 mmol l⁻¹ NaCl, 1 mmol l⁻¹ MgCl₂, 10 mmol l⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, and 1% fatty acid free bovine serum albumin (BSA). Gill and digestive gland mitochondria were isolated from the same oysters.

Oxygen consumption rate ($\dot{M}O_2$) and ROS measurements. Oxygen consumption and ROS production of isolated mitochondria were measured at 15 °C using an Oxygraph 2k high-resolution respirometer (Oroboros, Innsbruck, Austria) and integrated DatLab 6 software. Oxygen consumption was measured using a Clark-type electrode calibrated with 100% (air-saturated assay buffer) and 0% (saturated solution of sodium dithionite). After stabilization of the oxygen signal (background flux of ± 1 pmol O₂ s⁻¹ ml⁻¹), mitochondrial suspension was added to the chamber containing 2 ml of temperature-equilibrated assay buffer and a substrate-uncoupler inhibitor titration (SUIT) was conducted using following additions: 1) a substrate or substrate mixture to stimulate LEAK oxygen consumption (state 2); 2) 2.5 mmol l⁻¹ ADP to achieve ADP-stimulated oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) state; 3) 5 µmol l⁻¹ cytochrome c as quality control to check the intactness of the mitochondrial membrane. An increase in the mitochondrial respiration due to cytochrome c addition was <5% indicating

integrity of isolated mitochondria (data not shown). ADP-stimulated respiration was maintained until all oxygen in the chamber was consumed, and mitochondria were exposed to severe hypoxia ($\sim 0\%$ O_2 measured in the Oxygraph 2k chamber) for 15 min. Oxygen tension was then raised to $\sim 80\text{-}100\%$ of air saturation, and mitochondria were allowed to recover for 10 min (reoxygenation). After reoxygenation, post-hypoxic OXPHOS respiration rate was recorded and the SUIT continued as follows: 1) $2.5 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ oligomycin to inhibit mitochondrial F_0, F_1 -ATPase and measure post-hypoxic LEAK respiration (state 4); 2) $1 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ rotenone to inhibit electron flux through Complex I; 3) $2.5 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ antimycin A to inhibit electron flux through Complex III; 4) 40 mmol l^{-1} KCN to measure non-mitochondrial respiration ($<10\%$ of the total oxygen consumption rate, data not shown). Saturating concentrations of the respective substrates were used including: 1) $10 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ palmitoyl-DL-carnitine (PALM), 2) 5 mmol l^{-1} pyruvate with 2 mmol l^{-1} malate to spark respiration (PM), 3) 10 mmol l^{-1} glutamate with 2 mmol l^{-1} malate (G); 4) 10 mmol l^{-1} succinate (S), 5) 10 mmol l^{-1} glutamate, 2 mmol l^{-1} malate and 10 mmol l^{-1} succinate (GS); 6) 10 mmol l^{-1} glutamate, 2.5 mmol l^{-1} pyruvate, 2 mmol l^{-1} malate and 10 mmol l^{-1} succinate (GPS). Palmitoyl-DL-carnitine is a long-chain acylcarnitine that serves as a vector for mitochondrial delivery of palmitate; therefore, mitochondrial respiration in the presence of palmitoyl-DL-carnitine is representative of the rate of palmitate oxidation.

Production of H_2O_2 was measured simultaneously with $\dot{M}O_2$ using Fluorescence-Sensor Green (525 nm) integrated with Oxygraph 2k in an assay buffer containing $10 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ Amplex Red, 1 U ml^{-1} horseradish peroxidase stock solution, and 5 U ml^{-1} superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Krumschnabel et al., 2015). A two-step calibration was conducted with addition of $0.1 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ H_2O_2 before and after the addition of the mitochondrial suspension. ROS production was measured as the rate of H_2O_2 efflux in LEAK and OXPHOS states and corrected for the baseline measured in the absence of mitochondria.

Mitochondrial respiratory states and control indices were determined as described elsewhere (Estabrook 1967; Gnaiger 2014). OXPHOS flux was determined from the rate of ADP-stimulated mitochondrial respiration reflective of the ATP synthesis capacity and compared between the pre- and post-hypoxic conditions. Pilot studies showed that the LEAK respiration rate in control mitochondria (i.e. not exposed to H/R stress) was similar when measured in state 2 (with substrates but no ADP) and state 4 (in the presence of substrates, ADP and oligomycin) ($\Delta < 5\%$, $P > 0.05$). Therefore, both LEAK

state 2 and LEAK state 4 respiration were considered representative of the mitochondrial proton leak reflecting the ETS activity needed to compensate for the futile proton and cation cycles in the absence of ATP synthesis (Rofle and Brand, 1997). Respiratory control ratio (RCR) was calculated as the ratio of OXPHOS to LEAK flux and used as an index of mitochondrial coupling (Estabrook, 1967). To assess electron leak, H₂O₂ production rate was divided by the oxygen consumption rate in the same mitochondrial isolate and expressed as H₂O₂ to O₂ ratio.

Protein concentrations in the mitochondrial suspensions were measured using a Bio-Rad Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) (Bradford, 1976) using BSA as a standard. Protein concentrations of the mitochondrial suspensions were corrected for the BSA content of the assay media. Mitochondrial respiration rates and ROS production were expressed as nmol O₂ min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein and nmol H₂O₂ min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein, respectively. For each experimental group, mitochondria were isolated from the gill and the digestive gland of 12 (for PM), seven (for S) or six (for PALM, G, GS and GPS) oysters.

Statistics. Data on mitochondrial functional traits ($\dot{M}O_2$ and ROS efflux) were subjected to a normality test to identify outliers. To assess the effects of the tissue type, oxidized substrate and their interactions on the mitochondrial oxygen consumption, ROS efflux and electron leak, we used two-way General Linearized Model ANOVA with repeated measures. Tissue type was treated as a within-subject factor to account for the fact that the mitochondria used in this analysis were isolated from the gills and the digestive gland of the same oyster. The substrate type was used as a between-subject factor since respiration and ROS efflux with different substrates were tested in mitochondrial isolates from different oysters. For the planned comparisons of the group means, the Least Significant Difference test was used. The effects of hypoxia and reoxygenation on mitochondrial $\dot{M}O_2$ and ROS efflux were tested using the paired Student's t-test with the paired values measured in the same mitochondrial isolate before and after H/R exposure. Similarly, to test the effects of rotenone addition on the $\dot{M}O_2$ and ROS efflux in the same mitochondrial isolate, paired t-test was used. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM® SPSS® Statistics ver. 22.0.0.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism ver 7.02 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) software. Differences were considered significant if the probability of Type II error (P) was <0.05. Sample size (N) was 12 for mitochondria respiring on pyruvate, seven for those

oxidizing succinate, and six for the mitochondria respiring on glutamate or glutamate-containing mixtures. In some groups, N was lower due to the removal of 1-2 statistically significant outliers ($P < 0.05$) as indicated in figure legends (Fig. 2-7).

RESULTS

Tissue-specific differences in substrate oxidation and ROS production rates

Repeated measures ANOVA showed strong evidence ($P < 0.001$) for the effects of the oxidized substrate on the respiration rate of oyster mitochondria (Table 1). There was moderate evidence ($P = 0.029$) for the effects of tissue type on the mitochondrial LEAK \dot{M}_{O_2} but only weak evidence ($P = 0.058$) for the effects of the tissue on the OXPHOS respiration (Table 1). There was no evidence for the effects of tissue x substrate interactions on the mitochondrial oxygen consumption (Table 1).

The gill mitochondria showed the highest LEAK rate with glutamate as a substrate followed by succinate>GPS mixture>GS mixture>palmitate>pyruvate (Fig. 2a). The differences were significant between the LEAK \dot{M}_{O_2} driven by glutamate and pyruvate, but not between other substrates (Fig. 2a). The OXPHOS \dot{M}_{O_2} in the gill mitochondria was highest with GPS mixture followed by glutamate>succinate>GS mixture>pyruvate>palmitate (Fig. 2b). In the gills, the OXPHOS respiration rates with glutamate and succinate were significantly higher than OXPHOS \dot{M}_{O_2} with palmitate or pyruvate (Fig. 2b). The OXPHOS \dot{M}_{O_2} during oxidation of the substrate mixtures were not significantly different from \dot{M}_{O_2} with the corresponding single substrates. In the digestive gland mitochondria, the LEAK respiration rate was higher with succinate compared with pyruvate (Fig. 2a). The OXPHOS respiration in the digestive gland mitochondria were significantly higher with the GPS mixture than with glutamate, pyruvate or palmitate as substrates (Fig. 2b). Gill mitochondria showed higher rates of glutamate oxidation in the compared to the mitochondria from the digestive gland; the respiration rates with all other substrates and their mixtures were not significantly different between the mitochondria from the gill and the digestive gland (Fig. 2a, b).

ANOVA showed strong evidence ($P < 0.01$) for the effects of tissue x substrate interactions on the ROS efflux and electron leak (measured as the ratio of H_2O_2 produced to O_2 consumed) in the oyster mitochondria (Table 1). This indicates that ROS

production had different substrate-dependence in the gill and the digestive gland mitochondria. In the gill mitochondria, the ROS efflux rate in the LEAK state was higher with succinate as a substrate than with pyruvate or GPS mixture (Fig. 2c). In the OXPHOS state, ROS efflux was lower in the gill mitochondria respiring on the GPS mixture compared with all other tested substrates (Fig. 2d). In the digestive gland mitochondria, oxidation of palmitate led to significantly higher rates of ROS efflux compared with other tested substrates (increased by ~2-5 fold and ~1.3-3-fold in the LEAK and OXPHOS states, respectively) (Fig. 2c, d). Furthermore, the LEAK state ROS efflux was significantly higher in the digestive gland mitochondria during oxidation of succinate than GPS mixture (Fig. 2c). The rates of the ROS efflux were higher in the digestive gland than in the gill mitochondria respiring with palmitate (in the LEAK state) and with GPS mixture (in the OXPHOS state) (Fig. 2c, d). With all other tested substrates, ROS efflux rates were similar in the gill and the digestive gland mitochondria.

The electron leak (measured as the ratio of H₂O₂ produced to O₂ consumed) was significantly lower in the LEAK state gill mitochondria respiring on GPS mixture compared those oxidizing pyruvate or GS mixtures (Fig. 2e). In the OXPHOS state, the electron leak was similar in the gill mitochondria respiring on all tested substrates (Fig. 2f). In the digestive gland mitochondria, the electron leak during palmitate oxidation was considerably higher than with all other tested substrates in the LEAK state, and higher than during oxidation of pyruvate and GPS mixture in the OXPHOS state (Fig. 2e, f). During palmitate oxidation, the electron leak was higher in the digestive gland mitochondria compared to those from the gill (Fig. 2e, f). For other tested substrates and their mixtures, the electron leak was similar in the gill and the digestive gland mitochondria (Fig. 2e, f).

Substrate-dependent mitochondrial responses to H/R stress

Exposure to H/R stress significantly suppressed (by 27-51%) the LEAK respiration in mitochondria from the gills and the digestive gland respiring on palmitate, pyruvate, or glutamate (Fig 3a, b). The ROS generation rate in the LEAK state also decreased during the post-hypoxic recovery in the gill mitochondria oxidizing palmitate, pyruvate or glutamate (by 20-51%), and in the digestive gland mitochondria respiring on palmitate or pyruvate (by 31-40%) (Fig. 3c, d). The succinate-driven LEAK respiration was

stimulated by 56% after H/R stress in the gill (but not the digestive gland) mitochondria (Fig. 3a, b). Notably, an increase in the succinate-driven LEAK $\dot{M}O_2$ was not associated with a significant increase in ROS generation in the gill mitochondria (cf. Fig. 3a, c, e). The electron leak measured as H_2O_2 to O_2 ratio in the LEAK state mitochondria was not significantly affected by H/R stress (Fig. 3e, f) except for the digestive gland mitochondria respiring on glutamate, where a ~110% increase in the electron leak was observed after H/R stress (Fig. 3f).

Exposure to H/R stress suppressed OXPHOS rate in the gill mitochondria respiring on pyruvate (by 7%) and in the digestive gland mitochondria respiring on palmitate (by 23%) (Fig. 4a, b). In both studied tissues, H/R stress stimulated mitochondrial OXPHOS respiration driven by succinate (by 20-27%) or the mixture of glutamate, pyruvate and succinate (by 16-24%) (Fig. 4, a, b). In the gill mitochondria, H/R stress led to an increase in the mitochondrial ROS generation in OXPHOS state with all substrates and their combinations, and this increase (by 114-152%) was significant in the mitochondria oxidizing pyruvate, glutamate, succinate or GS mixture (Fig. 4c). Similarly, H/R stress led to elevated ROS generation in the digestive gland mitochondria in the OXPHOS state, and this increase (by 124-169%) was significant during palmitate, pyruvate, glutamate and succinate oxidation (Fig. 4d). This H/R stress-induced increase in ROS production was reflected in higher H_2O_2 to O_2 ratios in the gill and the digestive gland mitochondria during palmitate, pyruvate or glutamate oxidation (Fig. 4e, f). Notably, the H/R-exposed digestive gland mitochondria showed an extremely high H_2O_2 to O_2 ratio compared with the gill mitochondria when respiring on palmitate in the OXPHOS state (Fig. 4f). H/R stress had no effect on H_2O_2 to O_2 ratios in the gill or the digestive gland mitochondria during oxidation of succinate alone or in combination with other substrates (Fig. 4e, f).

H/R stress led to an increase in the RCR in the gill mitochondria respiring on palmitate, glutamate and GPS mixture (Fig. 5a) and in the digestive gland mitochondria oxidizing pyruvate or glutamate (Fig. 5b).

RET during the succinate-driven mitochondrial respiration

Rotenone addition had no significant effect on the succinate-driven respiration, ROS production or electron leak (measured as H₂O₂ to O₂ ratio) in the control oyster mitochondria in the LEAK or OXPHOS state (Fig. 6, 7). After H/R stress, the succinate-driven LEAK and OXPHOS $\dot{M}O_2$ were not affected by rotenone addition in either of the two studied tissues (Fig. 6a, b and 7a, b). However, the succinate-driven ROS production was suppressed by rotenone addition in the mitochondria exposed to H/R stress; this decrease was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) in the OXPHOS but not in the LEAK state (Fig. 6c, d, 7c, d). In the H/R-exposed mitochondria, rotenone addition decreased electron leak (measured as H₂O₂ to O₂ ratio) in the digestive gland mitochondria during the OXPHOS state (Fig. 7e). A similar trend for rotenone-induced suppression of electron leak was found in the gill mitochondria in the OXPHOS state and in the digestive gland and gill mitochondria in the LEAK state, but these effects of rotenone were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$) (Fig. 6e,f and 7e).

DISCUSSION

Tissue-specific differences in substrate oxidation and ROS production rates

Mitochondrial flexibility in utilizing different substrates is essential for regulating tissue- and organ-specific metabolism and adjustment of the mitochondrial functions to various physiological conditions and metabolic states (Smith et al., 2018). The tissue-specific preferences of mitochondria for different fuels have been extensively studied in vertebrates including mammals (Cortassa et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2018) and fish (Farhat et al., 2021). In vertebrates, mitochondria from highly aerobic tissues such as brain commonly demonstrate preference for carbohydrates, whereas liver mitochondria show preference for fatty acid oxidation, and mitochondria from the heart and the skeletal muscle rely on both carbohydrates and fatty acids (Farhat et al., 2021; Gusdon et al., 2015; Kodde et al., 2007). Among invertebrates, mitochondrial substrate preference has been studied in insects and varied depending on the aerobic endurance with carbohydrates predominantly used by the short-range (flies and beetles) fliers and fatty acids utilized in the long-range (butterflies and locusts) fliers (Bailey, 1975; Krogh and Weis-Fogh, 1951; Soares et al., 2015). Our present study showed that the

mitochondrial capacity of different substrate utilization differs between tissues of a marine bivalve *C. gigas*. The gill mitochondria generally showed higher OXPHOS respiration rate with glutamate, succinate as well as glutamate-and succinate-containing mixtures compared with the OXPHOS respiration driven by pyruvate or palmitate. In the digestive gland mitochondria, the highest OXPHOS rate was achieved with the mixture of glutamate, pyruvate and succinate that significantly exceeded the OXPHOS rate with the Complex I-linked substrates (palmitate, pyruvate or glutamate). Interestingly, the gill mitochondria of *C. gigas* showed higher glutamate-driven respiration compared to the digestive gland mitochondria. The high oxidation rate of glutamate by the gill mitochondria might be related to high intracellular levels of free amino acids in the gill (Haider et al., 2020a; Haider et al., 2019; Noor et al., 2021). Interestingly, despite higher lipid content of the digestive gland compared with the gills of bivalves (Pernet et al., 2007; Pernet et al., 2006), a fatty acid (palmitate) appears to be a less preferable substrate for the digestive gland mitochondria of oysters compared with those from the gills. While the palmitate-driven LEAK and OXPHOS respiration rates were similar in the gill and the digestive gland mitochondria, the palmitate-driven ROS production was 4-5-fold higher in the digestive gland mitochondria compared with those from the gill. This was reflected in the differences in the apparent electron leak between the gill and the digestive gland mitochondria oxidizing palmitate. Thus, the gill mitochondria converted 0.08-0.4% of consumed O₂ to H₂O₂ during palmitate oxidation whereas in the digestive gland this fraction was 0.3-1.7%. Overproduction of ROS during oxidation of palmitate is common in different cell types as shown in mammalian pancreatic cells, heart and skeletal muscle, endothelial cells, hepatocytes and adipocytes (Ly et al., 2017). Palmitate-driven excessive ROS production induces endoplasmic reticulum stress and Ca²⁺ overload which in turn increases oxidative stress leading to cell damage and death (Ly et al., 2017). Our findings thus indicate that the digestive gland tissue is metabolically less well adapted for amino acid and fatty acid oxidation compared to the gills. Furthermore, utilization of amino acids or fatty acids as metabolic fuels is associated with high costs due to oxidative stress and elevated ROS production in the digestive gland making this organ potentially susceptible to lipotoxicity. This might have implications for the whole-organism stress and physiological performance during periods of rapid lipid utilization such as during winter starvation or initiation of gametogenesis (Gosling, 1992; Haider et al., 2020b; Kennedy et al., 1996).

Mitochondria from the gill and the digestive gland of *C. gigas* showed similarly good capacity for succinate oxidation both alone and in substrate mixtures, especially in the OXPHOS state. There was evidence for higher ROS production in succinate-oxidizing mitochondria of *C. gigas* compared with those respiring on Complex I substrates (except for the palmitate in the digestive gland that led to very high ROS production rates). However, succinate-driven ROS production was alleviated by addition of NADH-linked substrates (glutamate and pyruvate) in the mitochondria of *C. gigas*. The protective effect of pyruvate and glutamate might be due to the production of NADH by pyruvate dehydrogenase and α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, respectively, which increases the reduced NADH pool in the mitochondria (Fig. 1). Highly reduced NADH pool might increase the thermodynamic driving force towards the forward electron flow through Complex I and thus mitigate the RET and associated ROS production (Robb et al., 2018). Furthermore, NADH can directly act as antioxidant by scavenging ROS in the mitochondria and thereby suppressing the ROS efflux (Kirsch and De Groot, 2001). The mitigation of succinate-driven ROS generation in oyster mitochondria in the presence of NADH-linked substrates might play a protective role *in vivo* where complex mixtures of NADH- and FADH₂-linked substrates are available to the mitochondria.

Effects of H/R stress on mitochondrial respiration and ROS production

Mitochondrial ability for alternative fuel utilization is sensitive to mitochondrial stress and might reflect different susceptibility of mitochondrial ETS complexes to metabolic disturbances and external stressors. Among ETS complexes, Complex I (CI) is considered the most vulnerable to H/R stress (Cadenas, 2018; Robb et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2009). In hypoxia-sensitive species such as rodents, exposure to hypoxia induces a reversible suppression of CI activity that prevents oxidative burst during reoxygenation but makes CI more susceptible to oxidative and nitrosative damage (Dröse et al., 2016; Ten and Galkin, 2019). Our present study indicates that H/R stress suppresses the oxidation rate for CI (NADH-linked) substrates (palmitate, pyruvate and glutamate) in *C. gigas* mitochondria. One possible explanation for this decrease might be inactivation and/or damage of CI due to H/R stress leading to lower enzymatic activity (V_{\max}) of CI. A decrease in CI activity has been reported in hypoxia-tolerant marine bivalves (Falfushynska et al., 2020), turtles (Galli et al., 2013; Pamentier et al., 2016) and frogs (St-Pierre et al., 2000b) exposed to hypoxia and reoxygenation *in vivo*. However, this

mechanism does not appear a likely explanation for the observed change in CI-dependent substrate oxidation during acute in vitro H/R exposure in *C. gigas* mitochondria. Thus, the H/R-induced suppression of the respiratory flux with CI substrates was particularly strong (by ~30-50%) in the resting (LEAK state) mitochondria of oysters, whereas the ADP-stimulated OXPHOS respiration generally remained stable (except for palmitate oxidation that decreased by ~20% in the digestive gland mitochondria). In bivalves including oysters, the OXPHOS respiration is controlled by ETS activity (Ivanina et al., 2012; Ivanina et al., 2016; Kurochkin et al., 2011) and is 2-3-fold faster than the LEAK state respiration (this study). Thus, if the CI activity were rate-limiting due to H/R-induced inactivation or damage, this limitation should primarily affect OXPHOS respiration rate. Consequently, the observed strong suppression of the LEAK (but not OXPHOS) state respiration after H/R stress implies alternative mechanisms such as the modulation of the proton conductance (e.g. via activity of uncoupling proteins or substrate transporters) (Divakaruni and Brand, 2011; Jastroch et al., 2010) and/or decrease in the resting mitochondrial membrane potential (Brown, 1992; Hafner, 1990). Interestingly, a similar pattern has been described in hypoxia-tolerant naked mole rats where acute hypoxia led to a decrease in ETS activity, lower resting membrane potential and lower proton conductance of mitochondrial membrane potential without any change in the V_{max} of individual ETS complexes (Pamenter et al., 2018).

Stress-induced inactivation of the mitochondrial Complex I can affect not only the rate of utilization of NADH-linked substrates but also the propensity for the reverse electron transport and associated ROS generation (Emmerzaal et al., 2020; Robb et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2009). Our present study shows the impact of the H/R-induced changes in CI-dependent ETS activity on ROS generation strongly depends on the activity state of oyster mitochondria. Thus, in the resting (LEAK state) mitochondria, H/R stress led to a suppression of the ROS generation with CI substrates (pyruvate, glutamate and palmitate). This decrease in ROS generation was roughly proportional to the decline in the CI-driven LEAK respiration, so that electron leak did not change, with ~0.4% of consumed oxygen converted to H_2O_2 in the gill, and ~1.4% - in the digestive gland. In contrast, in the ADP-stimulated (OXPHOS state) mitochondria, the ROS generation rate with NADH-linked substrates increased after H/R stress despite little or no change in the oxygen consumption rates. Unlike oysters, mitochondria from the brain and the

heart of anoxia-tolerant freshwater turtles showed a concomitant decrease in CI-driven respiration and ROS production after anoxia exposure as a result of the cumulative effect of inhibition of multiple ETS complexes (Bundgaard et al., 2018). In oysters, increase in ROS generation with CI substrates after H/R stress reflected elevated electron leak. Thus, fraction of O₂ converted to H₂O₂ almost doubled after H/R stress in oyster mitochondria respiring on CI substrates, from 0.07 to 0.14% in the gill and from 0.20 to 0.59% in the digestive gland. It is worth noting that electron leak was generally much lower in the OXPHOS than the LEAK state in oyster mitochondria. This is typical for mitochondria of both ecto- and endotherms and is related to the differences in the mitochondrial membrane potential in the resting and actively phosphorylating mitochondria (Hou et al., 2021; Miwa and Brand, 2003). Overall, the opposing effects of H/R stress on ROS generation in the resting vs. actively phosphorylating oyster mitochondria make it difficult to predict physiological consequences of the H/R stress for oyster mitochondria respiring on CI substrates in vivo. However, it is likely that an increase in the electron leak and ROS production in the OXPHOS state is at least partially compensated by the suppression of ROS generation in the resting state serving as a protective mechanism to mitigate oxidative stress during oxygen fluctuations.

Unlike NADH-linked substrates, oxidation of a FADH₂-linked substrate (succinate) was enhanced after H/R stress in oyster mitochondria. The digestive gland mitochondria appear particularly well adapted to metabolize succinate as they maintain relatively low succinate-driven proton leak but enhanced OXPHOS activity after H/R stress. In the gill mitochondria utilizing succinate, both the proton leak and OXPHOS rates increased after H/R stress. Succinate is an important metabolic intermediate that accumulates in high concentrations during hypoxia in marine bivalves including oysters (Bayne, 2017; Brinkhoff et al., 1983; de Zwaan, 1991; Haider et al., 2020a; Ivanina et al., 2011). Enhanced mitochondrial capacity for succinate oxidation might be adaptive during post-hypoxic recovery in oysters helping to rapidly restore the ATP levels and remove excess succinate. It is worth noting that enhanced succinate oxidation rates in response to H/R stress observed here in a cell-free system reflect intrinsic mitochondrial mechanisms independent from the retrograde signaling or cytosolic regulatory mechanisms. Previous studies in oysters exposed to H/R stress in vivo also reported stimulation of succinate-driven LEAK and OXPHOS respiration in the gill mitochondria (Kurochkin et al., 2008; Sokolov et al., 2019) albeit the effects were generally weaker than those

observed in our present study. It is worth noting that earlier *in vivo* exposure studies (Kurochkin et al., 2008; Sokolov et al., 2019) used longer post-hypoxic recovery time (1 h) so that some of the immediate effects of H/R stress on mitochondrial succinate oxidation observed in our present study might have remained undetected.

Besides being an important metabolic fuel, succinate is considered a potential pro-oxidant that can strongly stimulate ROS generation due to the reverse electron transport (RET) through mitochondrial CI (Scialò et al., 2017). Albeit the relevance of this mechanism to ROS generation *in vivo* has been disputed (Andrienko et al., 2017; Murphy, 2009), elevated ROS production with succinate is commonly observed in vertebrate mitochondria (Bundgaard et al., 2018; Pell et al., 2016; Quinlan et al., 2013). In rodents, inhibition of CI with rotenone suppressed ROS production in the brain and skeletal muscle mitochondria energized with succinate supporting the notion that reverse electron flow to CI is a major source of ROS production in these systems (Quinlan et al. 2013; Gusdon et al. 2015). A similar RET mechanism has been reported in hypoxia-tolerant reptiles (freshwater turtles and frogs), albeit succinate accumulation during hypoxia in reptiles was much lower than in mammals and not considered conducive of increased ROS production (Bundgaard et al., 2019). In *C. gigas*, ROS production in control mitochondria energized with succinate was not markedly higher than with NADH-linked substrates such as glutamate or pyruvate, and (in the case of the digestive gland mitochondria) considerably lower than palmitate-driven ROS generation. Furthermore, inhibition of CI with rotenone had no effect on the ROS efflux or electron leak indicating that RET does not contribute to the succinate-driven ROS generation under the control conditions. Interestingly, elevated capacity for succinate oxidation induced by the H/R stress was associated with higher ROS production in oyster mitochondria that was partially alleviated by rotenone. This indicates that functional changes induced by the H/R stress stimulate RET in oyster mitochondria. However, the RET contribution was only significant in the ADP-stimulated mitochondria when the overall ROS production rate and H₂O₂ to O₂ ratios were low. The resting (LEAK) state mitochondria showed no evidence for RET involvement in succinate-driven ROS production after the H/R stress. Furthermore, addition of pyruvate attenuated succinate-driven ROS efflux and electron leak in the H/R-stressed mitochondria confirming the positive effects of NADH-linked substrates on mitochondrial ROS production in oysters. Taken together, these findings indicate that contribution of RET

to the mitochondrial ROS production is likely to be low under the physiological conditions due to the presence of NADH-linked substrates such as pyruvate and the minimal RET contribution to the ROS generation of the resting mitochondria.

Conclusions and outlook

Mitochondria of a hypoxia-tolerant marine bivalve *C. gigas* showed metabolic flexibility in utilizing different substrates during stress exposures. The gill mitochondria showed evidence for a stronger capacity for glutamate oxidation and a better control over the ROS efflux and electron leak during palmitate-driven respiration compared with the digestive gland mitochondria. This metabolic flexibility might be related to the multifunctional role of the gill as a respiratory, osmoregulatory and feeding organ as well as a major site for uptake of dissolved nutrients such as amino acids (Gosling, 1992; Kennedy et al., 1996; Manahan, 1983; Siebers and Winkler, 1984). Our data indicate that oxidation of fatty acids (palmitate) in the digestive gland mitochondria might be associated with fitness costs due to high ROS production, further enhanced by the H/R stress. Low efficiency of the digestive gland mitochondria in fatty acid utilization under the normal condition and particularly after the H/R stress was unexpected in view of the role of the digestive gland as the main site of fat storage in marine bivalves (Gosling, 1992; Kennedy et al., 1996). This might indicate that lipids stored by the digestive gland are predominantly utilized elsewhere and requires further investigation.

Oyster mitochondria showed excellent ability to tolerate acute H/R stress and maintain high respiratory flux with low ROS production when respiring on succinate, whereas the organelles oxidizing NADH-linked substrates showed a decline in respiration and elevated electron leak after H/R stress. Succinate addition to the NADH-linked substrates alleviated the negative effects of H/R stress supporting the notion of positive metabolic effects of succinate in bivalve mitochondria. High capacity for succinate oxidation combined with the low propensity of ROS generation via RET might be considered an adaptive mitochondrial phenotype found in hypoxia-tolerant species such as marine bivalves (this study) or freshwater turtles (Almeida-Val et al., 1994; Bundgaard et al., 2019). In turtles, the RET is prevented by low succinate accumulation in hypoxia (Bundgaard et al., 2019), whereas in oysters the RET is not observed even at saturating succinate concentrations. This might reflect adaptations of bivalve

mitochondria to coping with high accumulation of succinate that serves as the main anaerobic end product during hypoxia in bivalves (Bayne, 2017; de Zwaan, 1991) and indicates coadaptation of aerobic and anaerobic pathways. Interestingly, studies in insects have also reported an increased capacity for succinate utilization at the expense of NADH-linked substrates during thermal stress (Jørgensen et al., 2021). These findings raise a possibility that succinate can serve as a potential stress fuel in ectotherm mitochondria and are consistent with the beneficial effects of high succinate oxidation capacity for mitochondrial stress tolerance (Huang and Lemire, 2009; Walker et al., 2006). Given the important metabolic and signaling roles of succinate (Guo et al., 2020), the role of this important metabolic intermediate in regulating the stress response in ectotherms warrants further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the funding line Strategic Networks of the Leibniz Association within the scope of the Leibniz ScienceCampus Phosphorus Research Rostock (www.sciencecampus-rostock.de) to all authors, and by the German Research Foundation (DFG) project “MitoBOX: the basis of hypoxia tolerance in marine mollusks” (DFG Award Nos. 415984732 and GZ: SO 1333/5-1) to IMS.

REFERENCES

- Almeida-Val, V. M., Buck, L. T. and Hochachka, P. W.** (1994). Substrate and acute temperature effects on turtle heart and liver mitochondria. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* **266**, R858-R862.
- Andrienko, T. N., Pasdois, P., Pereira, G. C., Ovens, M. J. and Halestrap, A. P.** (2017). The role of succinate and ROS in reperfusion injury – A critical appraisal. *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology* **110**, 1-14.
- Bailey, E.** (1975). Biochemistry of Insect Flight. In *Insect Biochemistry and Function*, eds. D. J. Candy and B. A. Kilby), pp. 89-176. Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Ballantyne, J. S.** (2004). Mitochondria: aerobic and anaerobic design—lessons from molluscs and fishes. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **139**, 461-467.
- Bayne, B. L.** (2017). Metabolic Expenditure, vol. 41, pp. 331-415. London: Academic Press.
- Boutillier, R. G. and St-Pierre, J.** (2002). Adaptive plasticity of skeletal muscle energetics in hibernating frogs: mitochondrial proton leak during metabolic depression. *J Exp Biol* **205**, 2287-2296.
- Bradford, M. M.** (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Chem* **72**, 248-254.

- Brinkhoff, W., Stockmann, K. and Grieshaber, M.** (1983). Natural occurrence of anaerobiosis in molluscs from intertidal habitats. *Oecologia* **57**, 151-155.
- Brown, G. C.** (1992). Control of respiration and ATP synthesis in mammalian mitochondria and cells. *Biochemical Journal* **284**, 1-13.
- Bundgaard, A., James, A. M., Gruszczak, A. V., Martin, J., Murphy, M. P. and Fago, A.** (2019). Metabolic adaptations during extreme anoxia in the turtle heart and their implications for ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 2850.
- Bundgaard, A., James, A. M., Joyce, W., Murphy, M. P. and Fago, A.** (2018). Suppression of reactive oxygen species generation in heart mitochondria from anoxic turtles: the role of complex I S-nitrosation. *J Exp Biol* **221**.
- Cadenas, S.** (2018). Mitochondrial uncoupling, ROS generation and cardioprotection. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics* **1859**, 940-950.
- Cortassa, S., Aon, M. A. and Sollott, S. J.** (2019). Control and Regulation of Substrate Selection in Cytoplasmic and Mitochondrial Catabolic Networks. A Systems Biology Analysis. *Frontiers in Physiology* **10**.
- de Zwaan, A.** (1991). Molluscs. In: *Metazoan Life without Oxygen*. C.Bryant [Ed.]. Chapman and Hall, London etc., 186-217.
- Divakaruni, A. S. and Brand, M. D.** (2011). The Regulation and Physiology of Mitochondrial Proton Leak. *Physiology* **26**, 192-205.
- Doumen, C. and Ellington, W. R.** (1989). Substrate preferences of the heart mitochondria of the horseshoe crab *Limulus polyphemus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Comparative Biochemistry* **93**, 883-887.
- Dröse, S., Stepanova, A. and Galkin, A.** (2016). Ischemic A/D transition of mitochondrial complex I and its role in ROS generation. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* **1857**, 946-957.
- Emmerzaal, T. L., Preston, G., Geenen, B., Verweij, V., Wiesmann, M., Vasileiou, E., Grüter, F., de Groot, C., Schoorl, J., de Veer, R. et al.** (2020). Impaired mitochondrial complex I function as a candidate driver in the biological stress response and a concomitant stress-induced brain metabolic reprogramming in male mice. *Translational Psychiatry* **10**, 176.
- Estabrook, R. W.** (1967). Mitochondrial respiratory control and the polarographic measurements in mitochondria. *Methods in Enzymology* **10**, 41-47.
- Falfushynska, H. I., Sokolov, E., Piontkivska, H. and Sokolova, I. M.** (2020). The Role of Reversible Protein Phosphorylation in Regulation of the Mitochondrial Electron Transport System During Hypoxia and Reoxygenation Stress in Marine Bivalves. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **7**.
- Farhat, E., Cheng, H., Romestaing, C., Pamenter, M. and Weber, J.-M.** (2021). Goldfish Response to Chronic Hypoxia: Mitochondrial Respiration, Fuel Preference and Energy Metabolism. *Metabolites* **11**, 187.
- Freire, C. A., Welker, A. F., Storey, J. M., Storey, K. B. and Hermes-Lima, M.** (2011). Oxidative Stress in Estuarine and Intertidal Environments (Temperate and Tropical). In *Ina: Oxidative Stress in Aquatic Ecosystems*, (eds D. Abele, J.P. Vázquez-Medina and T. Zenteno-Savín). pp. 41-57: Wiley.
- Galli, G. L. J., Lau, G. Y. and Richards, J. G.** (2013). Beating oxygen: chronic anoxia exposure reduces mitochondrial F1FO-ATPase activity in turtle (*Trachemys scripta*) heart. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **216**, 3283-3293.
- Gosling, E. G.** (1992). The Mussel *Mytilus*: Ecology, Physiology, Genetics and Culture. Amsterdam ; New York : Elsevier. *Dev Aquac Fish Sci* **25**, 589 pp.
- Guo, Y., Cho, S. W., Saxena, D. and Li, X.** (2020). Multifaceted Actions of Succinate as a Signaling Transmitter Vary with Its Cellular Locations. *Endocrinology and metabolism (Seoul, Korea)* **35**, 36-43.
- Gusdon, A. M., Fernandez-Bueno, G. A., Wohlgemuth, S., Fernandez, J., Chen, J. and Mathews, C. E.** (2015). Respiration and substrate transport rates as well as reactive oxygen species production distinguish mitochondria from brain and liver. *BMC biochemistry* **16**, 22-22.

Hafner, R. P., Guy C. Brown, Martin D. Brand. (1990). Analysis of the control of respiration rate, phosphorylation rate, proton leak rate and protonmotive force in isolated mitochondria using the 'top-down' approach of metabolic control theory. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **188**, 313-319.

Haider, F., Falfushynska, H. I., Timm, S. and Sokolova, I. M. (2020a). Effects of hypoxia and reoxygenation on intermediary metabolite homeostasis of marine bivalves *Mytilus edulis* and *Crassostrea gigas*. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* **242**, 110657.

Haider, F., Sokolov, E. P. and Sokolova, I. M. (2018). Effects of mechanical disturbance and salinity stress on bioenergetics and burrowing behavior of the soft-shell clam *Mya arenaria*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* **221**, jeb172643.

Haider, F., Sokolov, E. P., Timm, S., Hagemann, M., Blanco Rayón, E., Marigómez, I., Izagirre, U. and Sokolova, I. M. (2019). Interactive effects of osmotic stress and burrowing activity on protein metabolism and muscle capacity in the soft shell clam *Mya arenaria*. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* **228**, 81-93.

Haider, F., Timm, S., Bruhns, T., Noor, M. N. and Sokolova, I. M. (2020b). Effects of prolonged food limitation on energy metabolism and burrowing activity of an infaunal marine bivalve, *Mya arenaria*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **250**, 110780.

Honda, H. M., Korge, P. and Weiss, J. N. (2005). Mitochondria and ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1047**, 248-58.

Hou, C., Metcalfe, N. B. and Salin, K. (2021). Is mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production proportional to oxygen consumption? A theoretical consideration. *Bioessays*, e2000165.

Huang, J. and Lemire, B. D. (2009). Mutations in the *C. elegans* succinate dehydrogenase iron-sulfur subunit promote superoxide generation and premature aging. *J Mol Biol* **387**, 559-69.

Ivanina, A. V., Froelich, B., Williams, T., Sokolov, E. P., Oliver, J. D. and Sokolova, I. M. (2011). Interactive effects of cadmium and hypoxia on metabolic responses and bacterial loads of eastern oysters *Crassostrea virginica* Gmelin. *Chemosphere* **82**, 377-389.

Ivanina, A. V., Kurochkin, I. O., Leamy, L. and Sokolova, I. M. (2012). Effects of temperature and cadmium exposure on the mitochondria of oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) exposed to hypoxia and subsequent reoxygenation. *J Exp Biol* **215**, 3142-54.

Ivanina, A. V., Nesmelova, I., Leamy, L., Sokolov, E. P. and Sokolova, I. M. (2016). Intermittent hypoxia leads to functional reorganization of mitochondria and affects cellular bioenergetics in marine molluscs. *J Exp Biol* **219**, 1659-74.

Jastroch, M., Divakaruni, A. S., Mookerjee, S., Treberg, J. R. and Brand, M. D. (2010). Mitochondrial proton and electron leaks. *Essays in biochemistry* **47**, 53-67.

Jørgensen, L. B., Overgaard, J., Hunter-Manseau, F. and Pichaud, N. (2021). Dramatic changes in mitochondrial substrate use at critically high temperatures: a comparative study using *Drosophila*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **224**.

Kennedy, V. S., Newell, R. I. E., Eble, A. F. and (eds). (1996). The eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. College Park, Maryland: A Maryland Sea Grant Book.

Kirsch, M. and De Groot, H. (2001). NAD(P)H, a directly operating antioxidant? *Faseb j* **15**, 1569-74.

Kloner, R. A. (2017). Mitochondrial Protective Agents for Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions* **10**, e005805.

Kodde, I. F., van der Stok, J., Smolenski, R. T. and de Jong, J. W. (2007). Metabolic and genetic regulation of cardiac energy substrate preference. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* **146**, 26-39.

Krogh, A. and Weis-Fogh, T. (1951). The Respiratory Exchange of the Desert Locust (*Schistocerca Gregaria*) before, During and After Flight. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **28**, 344-357.

Kurochkin, I., Ivanina, A., Eilers, S. and Sokolova, I. (2008). Effects of environmental anoxia and re-oxygenation on mitochondrial function and metabolism of eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **150**, S161.

- Kurochkin, I. O., Etkorn, M., Buchwalter, D., Leamy, L. and Sokolova, I. M.** (2011). Top-down control analysis of the cadmium effects on molluscan mitochondria and the mechanisms of cadmium-induced mitochondrial dysfunction. *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* **300**, R21-R31.
- Leverve, X. M. and Fontaine, E.** (2001). Role of substrates in the regulation of mitochondrial function in situ. *IUBMB Life* **52**, 221-9.
- Ly, L. D., Xu, S., Choi, S.-K., Ha, C.-M., Thoudam, T., Cha, S.-K., Wiederkehr, A., Wollheim, C. B., Lee, I.-K. and Park, K.-S.** (2017). Oxidative stress and calcium dysregulation by palmitate in type 2 diabetes. *Experimental & Molecular Medicine* **49**, e291-e291.
- Manahan, D. T.** (1983). The Uptake and Metabolism of Dissolved Amino Acids by Bivalve Larvae. *Biological Bulletin* **164**, 236-250.
- Miwa, S. and Brand, M. D.** (2003). Mitochondrial matrix reactive oxygen species production is very sensitive to mild uncoupling. *Biochem Soc Trans* **31**, 1300-1301.
- Murphy, M. P.** (2009). How mitochondria produce reactive oxygen species. *Biochem J* **417**, 1-13.
- Noor, M. N., Wu, F., Sokolov, E. P., Falfushynska, H., Timm, S., Haider, F. and Sokolova, I. M.** (2021). Salinity-dependent effects of ZnO nanoparticles on bioenergetics and intermediate metabolite homeostasis in a euryhaline marine bivalve, *Mytilus edulis*. *Science of The Total Environment*, 145195.
- Pamenter, M.** (2014). Mitochondria: A multimodal hub of hypoxia tolerance1. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **92**.
- Pamenter, M., Hall, J., Tannabe, Y. and Simonson, T.** (2020). Cross-Species Insights Into Genomic Adaptations to Hypoxia. *Frontiers in Genetics* **11**.
- Pamenter, M. E., Gomez, C. R., Richards, J. G. and Milsom, W. K.** (2016). Mitochondrial responses to prolonged anoxia in brain of red-eared slider turtles. *Biology Letters* **12**, 20150797.
- Pamenter, M. E., Lau, G. Y., Richards, J. G. and Milsom, W. K.** (2018). Naked mole rat brain mitochondria electron transport system flux and H(+) leak are reduced during acute hypoxia. *J Exp Biol* **221**.
- Pell, V. R., Chouchani, E. T., Murphy, M. P., Brookes, P. S. and Krieg, T.** (2016). Moving Forwards by Blocking Back-Flow: The Yin and Yang of MI Therapy. *Circulation research* **118**, 898-906.
- Pernet, F., Tremblay, R., Comeau, L. and Guderley, H.** (2007). Temperature adaptation in two bivalve species from different thermal habitats: energetics and remodelling of membrane lipids. *J Exp Biol* **210**, 2999-3014.
- Pernet, F., Tremblay, R., Gionet, C. and Landry, T.** (2006). Lipid remodeling in wild and selectively bred hard clams at low temperatures in relation to genetic and physiological parameters. *J Exp Biol* **209**, 4663-4675.
- Quinlan, C. L., Perevoshchikova, I. V., Hey-Mogensen, M., Orr, A. L. and Brand, M. D.** (2013). Sites of reactive oxygen species generation by mitochondria oxidizing different substrates. *Redox Biology* **1**, 304-312.
- Robb, E. L., Hall, A. R., Prime, T. A., Eaton, S., Szibor, M., Viscomi, C., James, A. M. and Murphy, M. P.** (2018). Control of mitochondrial superoxide production by reverse electron transport at complex I. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **293**, 9869-9879.
- Rofle, D. F. S. and Brand, M. D.** (1997). The physiological significance of mitochondrial proton leak in animal cells and tissues. *Bioscience Reports* **17**, 9-16.
- Scialò, F., Fernández-Ayala, D. J. and Sanz, A.** (2017). Role of Mitochondrial Reverse Electron Transport in ROS Signaling: Potential Roles in Health and Disease. *Frontiers in Physiology* **8**, 428-428.
- Sharma, L. K., Lu, J. and Bai, Y.** (2009). Mitochondrial respiratory complex I: structure, function and implication in human diseases. *Current medicinal chemistry* **16**, 1266-1277.
- Siebers, D. and Winkler, A.** (1984). Amino-acid uptake by mussels, *Mytilus edulis*, from natural sea water in a flow-through system. *Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen* **38**, 189-199.
- Smith, R. L., Soeters, M. R., Wüst, R. C. I. and Houtkooper, R. H.** (2018). Metabolic Flexibility as an Adaptation to Energy Resources and Requirements in Health and Disease. *Endocrine reviews* **39**, 489-517.

Soares, J. B. R. C., Gaviraghi, A. and Oliveira, M. F. (2015). Mitochondrial physiology in the major arbovirus vector *Aedes aegypti*: substrate preferences and sexual differences define respiratory capacity and superoxide production. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0120600-e0120600.

Sokolov, E. P., Markert, S., Hinzke, T., Hirschfeld, C., Becher, D., Ponsuksili, S. and Sokolova, I. M. (2019). Effects of hypoxia-reoxygenation stress on mitochondrial proteome and bioenergetics of the hypoxia-tolerant marine bivalve *Crassostrea gigas*. *J Proteomics* **194**, 99-111.

Sokolova, I. (2018). Mitochondrial Adaptations to Variable Environments and Their Role in Animals' Stress Tolerance. *Integr Comp Biol* **58**, 519-531.

Sokolova, I. M., Sokolov, E. P. and Haider, F. (2019). Mitochondrial Mechanisms Underlying Tolerance to Fluctuating Oxygen Conditions: Lessons from Hypoxia-Tolerant Organisms. *Integr Comp Biol* **59**, 938-952.

St-Pierre, J., Brand, M. D. and Boutilier, R. G. (2000a). Mitochondria as ATP consumers: Cellular treason in anoxia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **97**, 8670-8674.

St-Pierre, J., Tattersall, G. J. and Boutilier, R. G. (2000b). Metabolic depression and enhanced O₂ affinity of mitochondria in hypoxic hypometabolism. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* **279**, R1205-R1214.

Steffen, J. B. M., Falfushynska, H. I., Piontkivska, H. and Sokolova, I. M. (2020). Molecular Biomarkers of the Mitochondrial Quality Control Are Differently Affected by Hypoxia-Reoxygenation Stress in Marine Bivalves *Crassostrea gigas* and *Mytilus edulis*. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **7**.

Ten, V. and Galkin, A. (2019). Mechanism of mitochondrial complex I damage in brain ischemia/reperfusion injury. A hypothesis. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **100**, 103408.

Walker, D. W., Hájek, P., Muffat, J., Knoepfle, D., Cornelison, S., Attardi, G. and Benzer, S. (2006). Hypersensitivity to oxygen and shortened lifespan in a *Drosophila* mitochondrial complex II mutant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 16382-7.

Figures




Figure 1. Schematic representation of the entry points of different substrates (ovals with orange border) into the mitochondrial oxidation. Blue squares indicate ETS complexes, blue arrows show the direction of the proton transport. NADH donates electron to Complex I, and succinate - to Complex II of the mitochondrial ETS. ROS (superoxide) is generated by electron leak at the Complexes I, II and III. Based on Cortassa et al. (2019).




Figure 2. Oxygen consumption rates ($\dot{M}O_2$) and ROS efflux of isolated mitochondria respiring on different mitochondrial substrates under normoxic conditions. Mitochondria were isolated from the gill or the digestive gland of *C. gigas*. Substrates:

PALM – palmitate, PM – pyruvate, G – glutamate, S – succinate, GS – glutamate+succinate, GPS – glutamate, pyruvate and succinate mixture. Within each tissue type, significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between mitochondrial traits measured with different substrates are marked by different letters (capital and lowercase letters denote comparisons within the gills and the digestive gland mitochondria, respectively). Significant differences in a specific mitochondrial trait between the gill and the digestive gland measured with the same substrate are denoted by asterisks ($*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$). N=4-7 for all substrates except PM where N=12.




Figure 3. Effects of hypoxia and reoxygenation (H/R) on LEAK respiration of mitochondria isolated from the gills (a, c, e) or the digestive glands (b, d, f) mitochondria of *C. gigas* respiring on different substrates. Substrates: PALM – palmitate, PM – pyruvate, G – glutamate, S – succinate, GS – glutamate+succinate, GPS – glutamate,

pyruvate and succinate mixture. The percentage difference during H/R stress was calculated by standardizing the control values of various substrates to 100%. Significant differences in a specific mitochondrial trait between normoxia (C) and reoxygenation (R) are denoted by asterisks (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$). N=4-7 for all substrates except PM where N=12.




Figure 4. Effects of hypoxia/reoxygenation (H/R) on OXPPOS respiration of mitochondria isolated from the gills (a, c, e) or the digestive glands (b, d, f) mitochondria of *C. gigas* respiring on different substrates. Substrates: PALM – palmitate, PM –

pyruvate, G – glutamate, S – succinate, GS – glutamate+succinate, GPS – glutamate, pyruvate and succinate mixture. The percentage difference during H/R stress was calculated by standardizing the control values of various substrates to 100%. Significant differences in a specific mitochondrial trait between normoxia (C) and reoxygenation (R) are denoted by asterisks (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$). N=4-7 for all substrates except PM where N=12.




Figure 5. Effects of hypoxia and reoxygenation (H/R) on respiratory control ratio (RCR) of gill and digestive gland mitochondria of *C. gigas* respiring on different mitochondrial substrates. Significant differences in a specific mitochondrial trait between normoxia (C) and reoxygenation (R) are denoted by asterisks (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$). $N=4-7$ for all substrates except PM where $N=12$.





Figure 6. Effect of Complex I inhibitor (rotenone) on succinate-driven respiration and ROS efflux in the LEAK state of *C. gigas* mitochondria isolated from the gill (a, c, e) or the digestive gland (b, d, e). Mitochondrial traits were assessed immediately after isolation (control) or after H/R stress (reoxygenation) in the absence (-) or presence (+) of rotenone. No significant differences were found between the values measured in the presence or absence of rotenone ($P > 0.05$). $N=7$.

Gills



Digestive gland




Figure 7. Effect of Complex I inhibitor (rotenone) on succinate-driven respiration and ROS efflux in the OXPHOS state of *C. gigas* mitochondria isolated from the gill (a, c, e) or the digestive gland (b, d, e). Mitochondrial traits were assessed immediately after isolation (control) or after H/R stress (reoxygenation) in the absence (-) or presence (+) of rotenone. Significant differences the values measured in the presence or absence of rotenone ($P < 0.05$) are marked with an asterisk. N=7.

Table 1. ANOVA: Effects of the tissue, substrate and their interaction on the studied mitochondrial traits of *C. gigas*.

Repeated measures ANOVA was used with the tissue as a within-subject factor, and substrate type as a between-subject factor. F values with the degrees of freedom for the effect and error (in subscript) and P value for each effect are given. Significant effects ($P < 0.05$) are highlighted in bold.

	Tissue	Substrate	Tissue x Substrate
\dot{M}_{O_2}			
LEAK state	F_{1, 31}=5.271 P=0.029	F_{5, 31}=6.735 P<0.001	F _{5, 31} =2.075 P=0.095
OXPHOS state	F _{1, 34} =3.844 P=0.058	F_{5, 34}=14.981 P<0.001	F _{5, 31} =1.616 P=0.182
ROS efflux			
LEAK state	F_{1, 32}=46.565 P<0.001	F_{5, 32}=8.891 P<0.001	F_{5, 32}=8.899 P<0.001
OXPHOS state	F_{1, 30}=5.036 P=0.032	F_{5, 30}=4.469 P=0.004	F_{5, 30}=4.365 P=0.004
H₂O₂:O₂ ratio			
LEAK state	F_{1, 31}=50.836 P=0.000	F_{5, 31}=9.075 P=0.000	F_{5, 31}=9.405 P<0.001
OXPHOS state	F_{1, 29}=24.878 P<0.001	F_{5, 29}=6.044 P=0.001	F_{5, 29}=3.869 P=0.008