- 1 Slow but tenacious: an analysis of running and gripping performance in chameleons.
- 2 Anthony Herrel¹, Krystal A. Tolley^{2,3}, G. John Measey⁴, Jessica M. da Silva^{2,5}, Daniel F.
- Potgieter^{2,3}, Elodie Boller⁶, Renaud Boistel⁷ and Bieke Vanhooydonck⁸
- 4 1. UMR 7179 C.N.R.S/M.N.H.N., Département d'Ecologie et de Gestion de la Biodiversité, 57
- rue Cuvier, Case postale 55, 75231, Paris Cedex 5, France. E-mail: anthony.herrel@mnhn.fr
- 6 2. Applied Biodiversity Research Division, South African National Biodiversity Institute, Private
- 7 Bag X7, Claremont, Cape Town, 7735 South Africa.
- 8 3. Department of Botany and Zoology, University of Stellenbosch, Matieland 7602, South Africa.
- 9 4. Department of Zoology, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, P O Box 77000, Port
- 10 Elizabeth, 6031, South Africa.
- 11 5. Department of Conservation Ecology and Entomology, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag
- 12 X1, Matieland 7602, Stellenbosch, South Africa.
- 13 6. European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 6 rue Jules Horowitz, B.P. 220, F-38043 Grenoble,
- 14 France

- 15 7. IPHEP-UMR CNRS 6046-UFR SFA Universite de Poitiers, 40 avenue du Recteur Pineau, F-
- 16 86022 Poitiers, France
- 17 8. Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Antwerp, Belgium
- 19 # pages: 15
- 20 # figures: 4
- 21 **Keywords**: ecomorphology, trade-offs, locomotion, grip strength, lizards, habitat use.
- 22 Address for correspondence
- 23 Anthony Herrel
- 24 C.N.R.S/M.N.H.N.
- 25 Département d'Ecologie et de Gestion de la Biodiversité
- 26 57 rue Cuvier
- 27 CP 55, 75231 phone: ++33-140798120
- 28 Paris fax: ++33-140793773
- 29 France e-mail: anthony.herrel@mnhn.fr

Abstract

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Chameleons are highly specialized and mostly arboreal lizards characterized by a suite of derived characters. The grasping feet and tail are thought to be related to the arboreal life-style of chameleons. Yet, specializations for grasping are thought to trade-off with running ability. Indeed, previous studies have demonstrated a trade-off between running and clinging performance with faster species being poorer clingers. Here we investigate the presence of trade-offs by measuring running and grasping performance in four species of chameleon belonging to two different clades (Chamaeleo and Bradypodion). Within each clade we selected a largely terrestrial and a more arboreal species to test whether morphology and performance are related to habitat use. Our results show that habitat drives the evolution of morphology and performance but that some of these effects are specific to each clade. Terrestrial species in both clades show poorer grasping performance than more arboreal species and have smaller hands. Moreover, hand size best predicts gripping performance suggesting that habitat use drives the evolution of hand morphology through its effects on performance. Arboreal species also had longer tails and better tail gripping performance. No differences in sprint speed were observed between the two Chamaeleo species. Within Bradypodion, differences in sprint speed were significant after correcting for body size, yet the arboreal species were both better sprinters and had greater clinging strength. These results suggest that previously documented trade-offs may have been caused by differences between clades (i.e. a phylogenetic effect) rather than by design conflicts between running and gripping per se.

Introduction

51

Chameleons are highly specialized and mostly arboreal lizards that are characterized by a suite 52 of derived characters including a ballistic tongue, independently moveable eyes, and prehensile 53 54 feet and tail (Gans. 1967). The specialized grasping feet (Renous-Lecuru, 1973) and tail (Ali. 55 1948; Zippel et al., 1999; Bergmann et al., 2003; but see Boistel et al., 2010) are thought to be related to the arboreal life-style of chameleons. Indeed, the bones in both the hands and feet 56 57 are rearranged during development (Hurle et al., 1987; Rieppel, 1993) to form a grasping 58 appendage allowing chameleons to hold on to narrow substrates (Peterson, 1984; Higham and Jayne, 2004; Fischer et al., 2010). Similarly, the tail has been modified to enhance ventral 59 60 flexion and its musculature shows a unique arrangement among lizards (Ali, 1948; Zippel et al., 1999; Bergmann et al 2003). Moreover, arboreal species have been shown to possess longer 61 tails than terrestrial ones on average (Bickel and Losos, 2002). 62 63 The specializations for grasping characteristic for chameleons are, however, thought to trade-off 64 with running ability. Performance trade-offs occur when different and conflicting functional 65 demands are imposed on the same phenotypic trait (Arnold, 1992; Vanhooydonck et al., 2001; Levinton and Allen, 2005; Konuma and Chiba, 2007; but see Herrel et al., 2009), For example, 66 in lizards, selection on burst locomotion capacity has been shown to trade-off with endurance 67 capacity (Vanhooydonck et al., 2001), as the demands on the locomotor muscles are conflicting 68 in the expression of either fast- or slow-muscle fibre types (Bonine et al., 2005). Previous 69 studies on chameleon locomotion have demonstrated a trade-off between running and clinging 70 performance with faster species being poorer clingers (Losos et al., 1993). This trade-off was 71 72 suggested to reside in differences in design requirements for sprinting versus clinging. Specifically, it was proposed that differences in the insertion of the limb flexors between species 73 74 may give an advantage in generating torque at the expense of producing more rapid 75 movements in the arboreal species (Losos et al., 1993). Moreover, it was suggested that the 76 arboreal species possessed a greater proportion of slow, yet strong, tonic fibers in its limb muscles (Abu-Ghalyun et al., 1988; Mutungi, 1992). From these data, Losos and colleagues 77 (1993) concluded that the trade-off between sprinting and clinging observed in chameleons are 78 79 due to their arboreal specialization and may have constrained the direction of their further 80 diversification. However, the species that were compared in the study by Losos and co-workers (1993) belong 81 to two rather divergent clades; Trioceros and Chamaeleo (Tilbury and Tolley, 2009; Townsend

et al., 2011; Fig.1). Consequently, the observed trade-off may have been confounded by 83 84 phylogeny and reflect clade-specific adaptations rather than being a general trade-off typical of 85 chameleons. Here, we explore this question further by measuring running and grasping performance for four species of chameleon belonging to two different clades (Chamaeleo and 86 87 Bradypodion). Within each clade we selected a closely related terrestrial (Chamaeleo namaquensis and Bradypodion occidentale) and a more arboreal species (Chamaeleo dilepis 88 89 and Bradypodion damaranum) to test 1) whether morphology and performance are related to habitat use and 2) whether the observed trade-off between running and clinging is generally 90 91 present or the result of clade-specific adaptations.

Materials and methods

Animals

92

93

94

95

96

97

98 99

100

101

102

103104

105

106107

108

109110

111112

113

114

Bradypodion occidentale specimens (N = 21) were caught by hand during night-time surveys at the Tygerberg reserve and along the West-coast of South-Africa during November 2008 and January 2012. Bradypodion damaranum (N = 31) were caught during night-time surveys in Knysna and Outeniqua, S-Africa in February 2010. Both species are closely related, yet occur in radically different habitats (Tolley and Burger, 2007; Tolley et al., 2006, 2008). Chamaeleo dilepis (N = 7) were caught during night-time surveys at various locations throughout South-Africa including KwaZulu-Natal and Limpopo Provinces in 2010 and 2011. Chamaeleo namaquensis (N = 11) were caught during day time surveys in the Swakopmund area, Namibia, in April 2012. These species also occupy radically divergent habitats characterized by the absence of trees in the desert habitat of C. namaquensis (Burrage, 1973). Although C. dilepis has been classified as being 'terrestrial' in some previous studies (Losos et al., 1993; Bickel and Losos, 2002) this species always roosts in trees and only moves over ground to lay eggs or to move between trees in its savannah habitat (pers. obs.), and its primary habitat is arboreal. In contrast, C. namaquensis never uses trees and often can be observed to roost on the sand (Burrage, 1973, pers. obs.). In all cases, animals were brought back to the field station, measured and tested for gripping performance and sprint speed, and released at the exact site of capture. All performance measures were performed at 25 ± 3 °C. As preferred temperatures for chameleons are generally (Andrews, 2008; 25.0°C for Bradypodion and 29.3°C for C. namaquensis, see Burrage, 1973) these species are performing near to their preferred temperatures.

Morphometrics

For each individual, we measured the following traits using digital calipers (Mitutoyo): snout-vent 115 116 length (SVL), femur, tibia, lateral hindfoot length, humerus, radius, lateral forefoot length 117 (Hopkins & Tolley 2011; Herrel et al., 2011). In addition, we measured the mass of each animal using a digital balance (Ohaus PS121). 118 119 Morphology 120 We used a Viscom X8050-16 microtomograph at the Centre of Microtomography of the University of Poitiers (France) to scan a C. dilepis (MNHN 2005.3341), a B. occidentale (MNHN 121 122 2000.2530) and a B. damaranum (Bayworld R8671). The X-Ray source used consisted of a 123 microfocus Viscom 150kV open tube, used between 86-100 kV and 0.270-0.760 mA. We used a 124 detector composed of an image intensifier with a 1004x1004 pixel camera with a pixel size of 125 147 µm. The geometry was set to get a 24.5-50 µm voxel size in the reconstructed 3D images. 126 The reconstruction was performed using the software imageJ (available from 127 http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij) and FDK algorithms of DigiCT v.2.4.3 (Digisens, with pluging: SnapCT, 128 acceleration in GPU). The datasets consist of 1200-3600 projections taken over 360°, and 20 129 integrations by projection. We also used the ID19 long imaging beam line of the European 130 Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble, France) with large spatial coherence (Boistel et al. 131 2011). We used a monochromatic beam with a bandwidth of ΔE/E of 10-4 obtained with a double Si111 Bragg monochromator. We used a detector composed an optical system coupled 132 to a cooled charge-coupled FReLoN camera (Labiche et al. 2007). We acquired tomographic 133 data from hand of adult C. namaquensis (MNHN 282) scanned at 20 KeV with a propagation 134 distance of 300 mm. The effective pixel size at the converter screen position was 7.45 µm. The 135 136 dataset for a complete sample consists of several scans of 1500 projections taken over 180° with vertical displacements between each scan with a small overlap for scan alignment. The 137 138 reconstruction was performed using the filtered back-projection algorithm (PyHST software, European Synchrotron Research Facility). Three-dimensional images were produced in 16bits 139 140 and subsequently converted into 8-bits voxels for visualization. Three-dimensional processing and rendering was obtained after semi-automatic segmentation of the skeleton (Boistel et al., 141 2011) using 'generate surface' and volume rendering in AVIZO 7.01 (VSG, SAS, Merignac, 142 143 Fance, http://www.vsg3d.com). Grip strength 144 One of two dowels (broad, 10 mm; narrow, 5 mm) was mounted on a piezo-electric force 145

platform (Kistler Squirrel force plate, ± 0.1 N; see Herrel et al., 2012). The force platform was

148

149

150151

152

153154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161162

163

164

165

166167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174175

176

177

178

positioned on a custom designed metal base and connected to a charge amplifier (Kistler Charge Amplifier type 9865). Forces were recorded during a 60-s recording session at 1000 Hz. During this interval, chameleons were allowed to repeatedly grip a dowel with their tail or hands, and were then pulled away from the dowel. A recording session typically included three to four grip trials. To quantify tail strength, animals were pulled from the dowel in the vertical direction, and we extracted peak Z forces using the Bioware software (Kistler). It should be noted that animals wrapped their tails around the dowel voluntarily, and thus the number of coils engaged varied across trials and individuals, and was not quantified. Thus, we recorded voluntary maximal tail strength. To quantify hand strength (i.e. forelimb only), we let the chameleon hold on to the dowel and pulled it away in the horizontal (Y) direction, and extracted peak Y forces using the Bioware software (Kistler). Foot grip strength (hind limb) was not measured as the morphology of the animals does not allow a straightforward measurement without hurting the animals. Each chameleon was tested three times (i.e. three separate recording sessions) on each dowel with at least 30 min of rest between trials and 1 h or more rest between recording sessions with dowels of different sizes. The highest tail and hand grip force for each individual on each dowel was retained for subsequent analysis. Note that not all animals wanted to grip on the broad dowel and consequently sample sizes vary for the different dowel sizes.

Sprint speed

Animals were tested in one of two ways. Chameleons caught in 2008 were tested on a 2-m-long flat race track equipped with infrared photocells set 25 cm apart. Chameleons were chased down the track and the times were recorded automatically and sent to a laptop computer (Herrel et al., 2011). Chameleons caught between 2010 and 2012 were tested by chasing them down a 2-m-long track marked at 25-cm intervals. Animals were timed manually using a stopwatch and the times at which animals crossed the 25-cm markers were recorded. The substrate consisted of wood covered with cork or hard foam allowing the animals adequate grip for running. Irrespective of the method used, we calculated the speed over the fastest 50 cm interval and retained it for further analysis. Animals were tested on a flat track rather than on perches as selection on sprint speed probably only occurs when animals are crossing the ground between bushes or trees. An analysis of co-variance testing for differences between the two methods using *B. occidentale* specimens showed no differences between speeds measured on the race-track versus those time by hand ($F_{1.18} = 0.012$; P = 0.42).

Analyses

181

182183

184 185

186 187

188

189 190

191192

193194

195

196

- All data were log₁₀ transformed before analysis to fulfill assumptions of normality and homoscedascity. We tested for differences between clades and habitat groups (terrestrial vs. arboreal) in morphology and performance using multivariate analyses of variance. Next, we ran analyses of co-variance to test for differences in morphology and performance with SVL as a covariate. Tests of heterogeneity of slopes were non-significant for all morphological traits in *Bradypodion*. For *Chamaeleo* heterogeneity of slopes was detected for tail length ($F_{1,13} = 4.70$; P = 0.049), femur length ($F_{1.14} = 7.33$; P = 0.017), and radius length ($F_{1.14} = 7.17$; P = 0.018). In Bradypodion slopes were heterogeneous for sprint speed ($F_{1.48} = 4.99$; P = 0.03) and the grip strength on broad ($F_{1,34} = 6.27$; P = 0.017) and narrow ($F_{1,48} = 25.88$; P < 0.01) dowels. In Chamaeleo slopes were heterogeneous for grip strength on a broad dowel only ($F_{1,14} = 4.93 P =$ 0.043). For these traits residuals were calculated and compared using analyses of variance. Thirdly, we ran multiple regression models to explore which morphological variables best explained the variation in performance within each clade. For sprint speed we used all morphological variables as potential predictors. For hand grip strength we used SVL, mass, humerus length, radius length and hand length as potential predictors. Finally, for tail grip strength we used tail length, SVL and body mass as potential predictors. All analyses were performed using SPSS V. 15.0.
- **Results**
- 197 Anatomy
- In the species studied here, the proximal hand involves the fusion of the carpal elements of the second row in all species (Fig. 2). As such, these elements form a true ball and socket joint with the carpal elements of the first row (see Renous-Lécuru, 1973). Noticeable on the µCT scans are the longer phalangeal elements present in the two arboreal species independent of their
- 202 phylogenetic affinity. Moreover, a distinct fusion of the carpals is observed in the two arboreal
- species (Fig. 2). In the terrestrial species, these elements remain unfused and are clearly
- 204 individualized on the scans.
- 205 Morphometrics and performance
- A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) run on the morphological data detected
- significant clade (Wilks' Lambda = 0.14; $F_{9.54}$ = 36.72; P < 0.001), habitat (Wilks' Lambda =
- 208 0.11; $F_{9,54} = 47.79$; P < 0.001) and interaction (Wilks' Lambda = 0.52; $F_{9,54} = 5.47$; P < 0.001)
- 209 effects indicating that differences in morphology between animals living in different habitats

- (terrestrial vs. arboreal) are clade dependent. A MANOVA run using the performance data 210 211 similarly detected significant clade (Wilks' Lambda = 0.15; $F_{5.46}$ = 53.43; P < 0.001), habitat (Wilks' Lambda = 0.48; $F_{5.46}$ = 10.13; P < 0.001) and interaction (Wilks' Lambda = 0.61; $F_{5.46}$ = 212 213 5.78; P < 0.001) effects indicating that differences in performance between terrestrial and 214 arboreal chameleons are clade-dependent. Chameleons of the genus Bradypodion living in different habitats differed significantly in 215 216 morphology (Wilks' Lambda = 0.06; $F_{9.39}$ = 65.16; P < 0.001). Univariate F-tests indicated that differences were significant for all traits except hand and foot length (hand: $F_{1.47} = 0.01$, P =217 0.93; foot: $F_{1.47} = 0.02$, P = 0.88). When taking into account differences in snout-vent length 218 219 (ANCOVA), however, differences in morphology were significant for all traits except femur ($F_{1.46}$ = 0.81, P = 0.37), tibia ($F_{1.46} = 1.05$, P = 0.31), and humerus ($F_{1.46} = 1.50$, P = 0.23) length. 220 221 Overall differences in performance were significant (Wilks' Lambda = 0.49; $F_{5.31}$ = 6.48; P <0.001). Yet, univariate F-tests showed differences in grip strength on the broad dowel only 222 (hand: $F_{1,35} = 13.41$, P = 0.001; tail: $F_{1,35} = 5.94$, P = 0.02). However, when taking into account 223 differences in body size (SVL using ANCOVA or ANOVA on residuals), performance differences 224 225 were significant for all traits except tail grip strength on the narrow dowel ($F_{1.34} = 4.04$, P =0.052). However, arboreal species were both faster and stronger than terrestrial ones. Stepwise 226 regressions retained a significant model ($R^2 = 0.63$; P < 0.001) for sprint speed with hand length 227 $(\beta = 0.57)$ and body mass $(\beta = 0.28)$ as significant variables. Thus, animals with bigger hands 228 and greater body mass run faster. Variation in hand grip strength on a broad dowel was best 229 explained by hand length ($\beta = 0.80$) and body mass ($\beta = -0.52$; $R^2 = 0.36$; P < 0.001) indicating 230 that animals with larger hands, yet lower body mass, had greater grip strength. In contrast, 231 variation in grip strength on a narrow dowel was best explained by SVL only ($R^2 = 0.63$, P <232 0.001) with longer animals having greater grip strength. Variation in tail grip strength on a broad 233 dowel was explained by tail length only ($R^2 = 0.44$, P < 0.001) with longer tails being correlated 234 with greater tail grip strength. However, on a narrow dowel tail grip strength was best explained 235 by variation in overall body mass ($R^2 = 0.40$, P < 0.001) with heavier animals having greater tail 236 237 grip strength. Chameleons of the genus Chamaeleo living in different habitats were also different in 238
- Chameleons of the genus *Chamaeleo* living in different habitats were also different in morphology (Wilks' Lambda = 0.07; $F_{9,7}$ = 9.84; P = 0.003). Univariate F-tests indicated that differences in morphology were significant only for tail length ($F_{1,15}$ = 6.23, P = 0.025), hand length ($F_{1,15}$ = 5.20, P = 0.038), and foot length ($F_{1,15}$ = 5.11, P = 0.039). When taking into account differences in SVL, differences in body mass (ANCOVA $F_{1,14}$ = 22.00, P < 0.001), tail

258259

260

261

262263

264

265266

267

268

269

270271

272

273

274

275

length (ANOVA on residuals $F_{1,15}$ = 23.45, P < 0.001), humerus length (ANCOVA $F_{1.14}$ = 5.66, P243 = 0.032), hand length (ANCOVA $F_{1,14}$ = 12.05, P = 0.004), and foot length (ANCOVA $F_{1,14}$ = 244 245 18.74, P = 0.001) were significant. Differences in performance were also different between 246 arboreal and terrestrial chameleons (Wilks' Lambda = 0.36; $F_{5,11}$ = 3.92; P = 0.028). Univariate 247 F-tests indicated significant differences in all traits except sprint speed ($F_{1.15} = 0.06$, P = 0.81). 248 When taking into account body size, differences in performance remained with the exception of 249 sprint speed which did not differ between species ($F_{1.14} = 0.06$, P = 0.81). Stepwise multiple 250 regressions between sprint speed and morphology retained no significant model indicating that 251 variation in sprint speed was poorly explained by variation in morphology across these two 252 species. Yet, variation in hand grip strength was explained by hand length only (broad dowel: R² = 0.81, P < 0.001; narrow dowel: $R^2 = 0.74$, P < 0.001) with animals with larger hands having 253 greater grip strength. Similarly, tail grip strength was explained by tail length only (broad dowel 254 $R^2 = 0.66$, P < 0.001; narrow dowel: $R^2 = 0.80$, P < 0.001) with longer tails being associated with 255 256 greater tail grip strength.

Discussion

Our results show that habitat structure drives the evolution of morphology and performance in chameleons. Terrestrial species in both clades show poorer grasping performance compared to more arboreal species and have smaller hands and feet. Moreover, hand size best predicts gripping performance. Given that selection acts at the level of the performance of the whole organism (Arnold 1983), this suggests that the structural habitat drives the evolution of hand morphology through its effects on grasping performance. These results mimic results obtained for different morphs of the Cape Dwarf Chameleon (B. pumilum) where species inhabiting forest characterized by wider perches have bigger hands and better grasping performance (Hopkins and Tolley, 2011; Herrel et al., 2011). The reasons why larger hands and feet are beneficial to arboreal chameleons have not been explored explicitly, but it seems intuitively obvious that having larger hands and feet would allow chameleons to close their hands or feet around wider perches, thus allowing them to generate a torque preventing them from toppling sideways (Losos et al., 1993; Herrel et al., 2012). All chameleons, even the most terrestrial species, have prehensile hands and feet, the structure of which is highly derived compared to other lizards. The proximal hand, for example, involves the fusion of the carpal elements of the second row to form a true ball and socket joint with the carpal elements of the first row (Renous-Lécuru, 1973). Whereas the fusion of the carpals observed in the arboreal species included in our study (Fig. 2) may provide greater structural integrity of the base of the hand associated with the relatively

larger grasp forces, the independence of the carpals in the terrestrial species may, on the other hand, allow the hand to flatten more, and may consequently provide a better grip on a flat substrate. Why terrestrial species generally have shorter phalanges and hands (Fig. 2), or why having longer hands and feet does not provide a benefit to the more terrestrial species remains unclear. Possibly the larger hands of the arboreal species prevent the closure around the very fine and narrow substrates occasionally used by the more terrestrial species for roosting (see Herrel et al., 2011). Alternatively, the longer phalanges may prevent effective locomotion on a flat substrate. Yet, the functional advantages or handicaps associated with these divergent morphologies remain to be tested experimentally. Moreover, a larger number of species needs to be examined before these observations can be generalized.

Our data also show that arboreal species also have longer tails and better tail gripping performance. Again this is in accordance to what was observed for different populations of the Cape Dwarf Chameleon (Herrel et al., 2011). The reasons why longer tails appear to provide chameleons with a performance benefit again remains unsure, but minimally longer tails allow animals to coil their tails around wider perches. Moreover, this may allow chameleons to have more coils around a substrate of a given diameter, allowing for an increased contact area which positively affects frictional and adhesive forces. In addition, the longer tails of arboreal chameleons are characterized by smaller distal vertebrae in the prehensile part that grow with negative allometry (Bergmann et al., 2003). As vertebrae are smaller this may permit the generation of tighter coils around the substrate and thus better gripping. Finally, at least some terrestrial chameleons use their short tails as a walking stick to improve balance while walking on wide substrates (Boistel et al., 2010).

Our data also show that some of effects observed are specific to each clade (*Chamaeleo* vs. *Bradypodion*). For example, no differences in sprint speed were observed between the two *Chamaeleo* species suggesting that at least in the *Chamaeleo* clade no trade-off exists between running and gripping. However, it must be noted that *C.dilepis* is by some considered to be among the more terrestrial species within the clade as it is often seen crossing roads. As such this may bias our analyses and other species need to be tested. One other caveat that needs to be mentioned is that all species were run at similar temperatures. If optimal performance temperatures are different for different species then this could potentially induce a bias in the results. Although preferred temperatures for chameleons are generally low (Andrews, 2008) and optimal performance temperatures thus likely not too different from the temperature at which animals were run, no data exist on the thermal dependence of performance of sprinting in

311

312313

314

315316

317

318

319

320

321322

323324

325

326

327

328 329

330

331

332

333334

335

336337

338

339

340

chameleons and this remains to be tested. Within *Bradypodion*, however, differences in sprint speed were significant after correcting for body size. Yet, arboreal species were faster than terrestrial ones, consistent with what was observed for B. pumilum (Herrel et al. 2012). This can be explained by the fact that hand length positively affected both sprint speed and gripping strength in Bradypodion species. In the Chamaeleo species, however, sprint speed was not dependent on specific morphological traits and did not differ between species. Moreover, limb long-bones which typically determine sprint speed in lizards (Vanhooydonck and Van Damme, 2001) were not different between terrestrial and arboreal Chamaeleo species. These results demonstrate that neither in Chamaeleo (no relationship), nor in Bradypodion (positive relationship) trade-offs between sprinting and clinging exist. Consequently, previously demonstrated trade-offs between running and clinging (Losos et al., 1993) likely reflect differences in performance in different functional tasks between clades of chameleons rather than being the result of an intrinsic design conflict between gripping and running. Future analyses examining these relationships across a much broader range of chameleons are needed to fully understand the evolution of running and gripping performance and the associated morphology in chameleons.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the South African National Research Foundation (Key International Science Capacity Fund Program), the PHC-NRF Protea, the South African National Biodiversity Institute, City of Cape Town, and Oppenheimer & Sons for financial and logistical support. This study was also partially supported by a European Synchrotron Radiation Facility Project through allocation of beam time. We particularly like to thank Paul Tafforeau and José Baruchel at the ESRF for their help. We would like to thank Werner Conradie and Bill Branch from the Port Elizabeth Museum, South-Africa and Ivan Ineich from the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, France for Ioaning specimens for scanning. We are grateful to Tommy Collard, Penny Glanville, Stuart Nielsen, and Duncan MacFayden for their help in the field. We also thank the CEMIM team at the MNHN in Paris for allowing us access to computers and reconstruction software. This study was carried out under permits from Namibian Ministry of Environment and Tourism (1616/2011), Northern Cape Province (ODB 2679/2008), Western Cape Province (AAA007-0002-0056 & AAA007-00875), Limpopo Province (018-CPM403-00001 & CPM333-0002), KwaZulu-Natal Province (OP4596-2010, OP1647-2009 & OP3794-2011), and under South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) ethics clearance (0010-08 & 002/10).

341 References

- 342 Ali, S.M. (1948) Studies on the anatomy of the tail in Sauria and Rhynchocephalia. II.
- 343 Chameleon zeylanicus Laurenti. Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 28, 151-165.
- Abu-Ghalyun, Y., Greenwald, L., Hetherington, T.E. and Gaunt, A.S. (1988). The
- physiological basis of slow locomotion in chameleons. J. Exp. Zool. 245, 225-231.
- Andrews, R.M. (2008) Lizards in the slow lane: thermal biology of chameleons. J. Therm. Biol.
- 347 **33**: 57-61.
- 348 Arnold, S.J. (1983). Morphology, performance and fitness. Am. Zool. 23, 347-361.
- Arnold, S.J. (1992). Constraints on phenotypic evolution. Am. Nat. 140, 85-107.
- Bergmann, P.J., Lessard, S. and Russell, A.P. (2003). Tail growth in *Chamaeleo dilepis*
- 351 (Sauria: Chamaeleonidae): functional implications of segmental patterns. J. Zool., Lond. 261,
- 352 **417-425**.
- Bickel, R. and Losos, J.B. (2002). Patterns of morphological variation and correlates of habitat
- use in chameleons. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **76**, 91-103.
- 355 Boistel, R., Herrel, A., Daghfous, G., Libourel, P.-A., Boller, E., Tafforeau, P. and Bels, V.L.
- 356 (2010). Assisted walking in Malagasy dwarf chameleons. Biol. Lett. 6, 740-743.
- Boistel, R., Swoger, J., Kržič, U., Fernandez, V., Gillet, B. and Reynaud, E.G. (2011). The
- future of three-dimensional microscopic imaging in marine biology. *Mar. Ecol.* **32**, 438-452.
- 359 Bonine, K.E., Gleeson, T.T., Garland, T.Jr. (2005). Muscle fibre-type variation in lizards
- (Squamata) and phylogenetic reconstruction of hypothesized ancestral states. J. Exp. Biol. 208,
- 361 **4529-4547**.
- Burrage, B.R. (1973). Comparative ecology and behavior of *Chamaeleo pumilus* (Gmelin) and
- 363 C. namaguensis Smith (Sauria: Chamaeleonidae). Ann. S. Afr. Museum 61, 1-158.
- Fischer, M.S., Krause, C. and Lilje, K.E. (2010). Evolution of chameleon locomotion, or how to
- become arboreal as a reptile. *Zoology* **113**, 67-74.
- 366 **Gans, C.** (1967). The chameleon. *Nat. Hist.* **76**, 52-59.

- Herrel, A., Podos, J., Vanhooydonck, B. and Hendry, A.P. (2009). Force-velocity trade-off in
- Darwin's finch jaw function: a biomechanical basis for ecological speciation? Funct. Ecol. 23,
- 369 119-125.
- Herrel, A., Measey, G.J., Vanhooydonck, B. and Tolley, K.A. (2011). Functional
- consequences of morphological differentiation between populations of the Cape Dwarf
- 372 Chameleon (Bradypodion pumilum). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 104, 692-700.
- Herrel, A., Measey, G.J., Vanhooydonck, B. and Tolley, K.A. (2012). Got it clipped? The
- effect of tail clipping on tail gripping performance in chameleons. *J. Herpetol.* **46**, 91-93.
- Higham, T. E. and Jayne, B.C. (2004). Locomotion of lizards on inclines and perches: hindlimb
- kinematics of an arboreal specialist and a terrestrial generalist. J. Exp. Biol. 207, 233-248.
- Hopkins, K.P. and Tolley, K.A. (2011). Ecomorphological variation in dwarf chameleons and
- the dynamics between natural and sexual selection. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **102**, 878-888.
- Hurle, J.M., Garcia-Martinez, V., Gañan, Y., Climent, V. and Blasco, M. (1987).
- Morphogenesis of the prehensile autopodium in the Common Chameleon (Chamaeleo
- 381 chamaeleo). J. Morphol. 194, 187-194.
- Konuma, J. and Chiba, S. (2007). Trade-offs between force and fit: extreme morphologies
- associated with feeding behavior in carabid beetles. *Am. Nat.* **170**, 90-100.
- Labiche J.-C., Mathon, O., Pascarelli, S., Newton, M.A., Ferre, G.G., Curfs, C., Vaughan,
- 385 G., Homs, A. and Carreiras, D.F. (2007). The fast readout low noise camera as a versatile X-
- 386 ray detector for time resolved dispersive extended X-ray absorption fine structure and diffraction
- 387 studies of dynamic problems in materials science, chemistry, and catalysis. Rev. Sci. Instrum.
- 388 **78**, 091301.
- 389 Levinton, J.S. and Allen, B.J. (2005). The paradox of the weakening combatant: trade-off
- between closing force and gripping speed in a sexually selected combat structure. Funct. Ecol.
- 391 **19**, 159-165.
- Losos, J.B., Walton, B.M. and Bennett, A.F. (1993). Trade-offs between sprinting and clinging
- ability in Kenyan chameleons. *Funct. Ecol.* **7**, 281-286.

- Mutungi, G. (1992). Slow locomotion in chameleons: histochemical and ultrastructural
- 395 characteristics of muscle fibers isolated from iliofibularis muscle of Jackson's chameleon
- 396 (Chamaeleo jacksonii). J. Exp. Zool. 263, 1-7.
- 397 **Peterson, J.A.** (1984). The locomotion of *Chamaeleo* (Reptilia: Sauria) with particular reference
- 398 to the forelimb. *J. Zool., Lond.* **202**, 1-42.
- 399 Renous-Lécuru, S. (1973). Morphologie comparée du carpe chez les Lépidosauriens actuels
- 400 (Rhynchocéphales, Lecretiliens, Amphisbéniens). Gegenbaurs Morph. Jahrb. 119, 727-766.
- 401 **Rieppel, O.** (1993). Studies on skeleton formation in reptiles II. *Chamaeleo hoehnelii*
- 402 (Squamata: Chamaeleonidae), with comments on the homology of carpal and tarsal bones.
- 403 *Herpetologica* **49**, 66-78.
- 404 **Tilbury, C.R. and Tolley, K.A.** (2009). A re-appraisal of the systematics of the African genus
- 405 Chamaeleo (Reptilia: Chamaeleonidae). Zootaxa 2079, 57-68.
- Tolley, K.A. and Burger, M. (2007). Chameleons of Southern Africa. Cape Town: Struik
- 407 Publishers.
- Tolley, K.A., Burger, M., Turner, A.A. and Matthee, C.A. (2006). Biogeographic patterns and
- 409 phylogeography of dwarf chameleons (*Bradypodion*) in an African biodiversity hotspot. *Mol.*
- 410 *Ecol.* **15**, 781-793.
- 411 Tolley, K.A., Chase, B.M. and Forest, F. (2008). Speciation and radiations track climate
- 412 transitions since the Miocene Climatic Optimum: a case study of southern African chameleons.
- 413 *J. Biogeogr.* **35**, 1402-1414.
- 414 Townsend, T.M., Tolley, K.A., Glaw, F., Bohme, W. and Vences, M. (2011). Eastward from
- 415 Africa: palaeocurrent-mediated chameleon dispersal to the Seychelles islands. *Biol. Lett.* 7, 225-
- 416 228.
- 417 Vanhooydonck, B. and Van Damme, R. (2001) Evolutionary trade-offs in locomotor capacities
- in lacertid lizards: are splendid sprinters clumsy climbers? *J. Evol. Biol.* **14**, 46-54.
- 419 Vanhooydonck, B., Van Damme, R. and Aerts, P. (2001). Speed and stamina trade-off in
- 420 lacertid lizards. *Evolution* **55**, 1040-1048.

Zippel, K.C., Glor, R.E. and Bertram, J.E.A. (1999). On caudal prehensility and phylogenetic 421 422 constraint in lizards: the influence of ancestral anatomy on function in Corucia and Furcifer. J. 423 Morphol. 239, 143-155. 424 Figure legends Figure 1: Cladogram modified after Townsend et al. (2011) illustrating the relationships 425 426 between the genera included in the present study. Photographs to the right illustrate animals 427 and their habitat. From top to bottom: Chamaeleo namaquensis, Chamaeleo dilepis, 428 Bradypodion occidentale, Bradypodion damaranum. 429 Figure 2: three-dimensional reconstructions of the hands of the four species included in this 430 study based on µCT data in frontal, dorsal and ventral view. From left to right are represented Chamaeleo namaguensis, Bradypodion occidentale, Chamaeleo dilepis, and Bradypodion 431 damaranum. Colors illustrate similar skeletal elements in the different species. Non-fused carpal 432 elements are colored alternatingly red and green to indicate that elements are not fused. When 433 434 adjacent elements have the same color this indicates fusion of the carpal elements as suggested by our µCT data. Note, however, that the resolution of the scan of B. damaranum 435 was lower and consequently it is more difficult to detect the independence of different elements. 436 Figure 3: Graphs illustrating differences in radius (A), lateral forefoot (B), and tail (C) length 437 between species. Open symbols represent arboreal species, closed symbols terrestrial ones. 438 Diamonds represent Chamaeleo species and circles represent Bradypodion species. Note how 439 in contrast to the lateral forefoot and tail, the radius does not differ between habitat groups. 440 441 Regression lines represent within clade regressions. 442 Figure 4: Graphs illustrating differences in performance between species. A) hand grip strength, B) tail grip strength, and C) sprint speed. Open symbols represent arboreal species, closed 443 symbols terrestrial ones. Diamonds represent Chamaeleo species and circles represent 444 445 Bradypodion species. Note how habitat groups differ in hand and tail strength but not in sprint speed. 446







