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#### Abstract

The morphogenesis of left-right (LR) asymmetry is a crucial phase of organogenesis. In the digestive tract, the development of anatomical asymmetry is first evident in the leftward curvature of the stomach. To elucidate the molecular events that shape this archetypal laterality, we performed transcriptome analyses of the left versus right sides of the developing stomach in frog embryos. Besides the known LR gene pitx2, the only gene found to be expressed asymmetrically throughout all stages of curvature was single-minded 2 (sim2), a Down Syndrome-related transcription factor and homolog of a Drosophila gene (sim) required for LR asymmetric looping of the fly gut. We demonstrate that sim2 functions downstream of LR patterning cues to regulate key cellular properties and behaviors in the left stomach epithelium that drive asymmetric curvature. Our results reveal unexpected convergent cooption of single-minded genes during the evolution of $L R$ asymmetric morphogenesis, and have implications for dose-dependent roles of laterality factors in non-laterality-related birth defects.
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## INTRODUCTION

Vertebrate anatomy is characterized by left-right (LR) asymmetries in multiple organs of the cardiovascular, respiratory, digestive and lymphatic systems (Grimes and Burdine, 2017), many of which are derived from or closely associated with, the primitive gut tube (Grzymkowski et al., 2020). Examples of gut tube-derived asymmetries include the differentially lobed left and right lungs, or the disparate proportions of the left and right halves of the liver. However, in most species, the first region of the gut tube to manifest LR asymmetry is the stomach, which curves sharply leftward. The curvature process is driven by asymmetries in cell rearrangement that thin and expand the layers of the left stomach wall (Davis et al., 2017); differential expansion of the contralateral walls of the stomach tube thus impels the organ to curve. Although this process is dependent on global embryonic LR patterning cues, including expression of Pitx2 on the left side of the foregut (Grzymkowski et al., 2020), the side-specific morphogenetic programs that

[^0]distinguish the left versus right sides of most asymmetric organs, including the stomach, remain largely unknown. Such knowledge is vital for understanding the evolution of digestive organ morphology and function, as well as for illuminating the etiology of lateralityrelated birth defects in gut tube-derived tissues in diverse organ systems.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## $\operatorname{sim} 2$ is expressed in the left stomach endoderm

To identify factors likely to drive stomach curvature, we took advantage of Budgett's frog embryos (Lepidobatrachus laevis); their extra-large size enables facile bisection of early organs not possible in other vertebrate models (Amin et al., 2015). We performed transcriptome profiling of the left and right halves of the developing stomach at successive phases of morphogenesis: before morphological asymmetry [Gosner stage (GS) 18], and early (GS19), mid- (GS20) and late (GS21) curvature (Fig. 1A; Gosner, 1960).

Differential expression analyses revealed 544 genes with significantly ( $q$-value $\leq 0.05$ ) left or right-enriched expression during at least one phase of curvature morphogenesis (Table S1). The temporal laterality of 278 of these genes (with q -values $\leq 0.01$ ) is highlighted in Fig. 1B, with more left-enriched (179) than right-enriched (99) genes identified (Fig. 1C). Our list of leftenriched genes includes the transcription factor pitx2, which is known to be expressed on the left side of the stomach and other organs (Davis et al., 2017; Grzymkowski et al., 2020). As expected for this master regulator of LR organogenesis, pitx2 was asymmetrically expressed at all phases of stomach curvature morphogenesis (Fig. 1C,D).

Surprisingly, only one other gene also displayed consistent asymmetric (left-sided) expression across all four stages of curvature (Fig. 1C,D): the highly conserved Per/Arnt/Sim bHLH transcription factor, single-minded 2 (sim2). The prominence of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ in our dataset is noteworthy as this gene is a vertebrate homolog of the Drosophila single-minded (sim) gene previously found to be required for LR asymmetrical looping of the fly midgut - a structure functionally equivalent to the vertebrate stomach and intestine (Maeda et al., 2007).

Unlike pitx2, which is expressed in both inner endodermal (future epithelial lining) and outer mesodermal (future smooth muscle) layers of the left stomach wall (Fig. 1E,F), $\operatorname{sim} 2$ is expressed exclusively in the endoderm layer (Fig. 1G), as revealed by RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) in the common laboratory frog, Xenopus. The gene is also expressed bilaterally in craniofacial structures (e.g. Fig. S1A-D), pronephric tubules (Fig. S1E), lungs (Fig. S1F) and in a narrow domain of epithelium comprising the dorsal midline of the foregut (asterisks, Fig. 1G and Fig. S1E,F). Below, we describe the functional validation of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ as a new organ-specific regulator of asymmetric morphogenesis. Further analysis of other


Fig. 1. Left versus right stomach transcriptome analyses identify sim2. (A) Left lateral views of Lepidobatrachus laevis embryos at stages before (GS18), during (GS19-GS20) and after (GS21) overt stomach curvature; the stomach region is indicated by the white dashed box. Color-coding of transverse sections indicates the left (L; blue) and right (R; yellow) stomach tissues dissected for transcriptome analyses at each phase of curvature. (B) Heat map of 278 L. laevis transcripts with significant left (blue; 179) or right (yellow; 99) enrichment at each stage of curvature ( $q$-value $\leq 0.01$ ). (C) Venn diagram showing the number of transcripts with left- or right-enrichment at each stage ( $q$-value $\leq 0.01$ ), as well as those asymmetrically expressed at more than one stage. Although no transcripts are consistently right-sided across all four stages, two transcripts are left-sided throughout stomach curvature: pitx2 and sim2. (D) Left (blue) and right (yellow) expression of L. laevis pitx2 and sim2 at each stage of stomach curvature. FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads. Significant differences between sides and stages are indicated by lower case letters, used to label means such that bars bearing different letters are statistically different from one another ( $P<0.05$ ). (E) Diagrams of Xenopus embryos (left lateral and transverse views) at equivalent phases (NF35, NF37, NF39, NF40) of stomach curvature illustrate the approximate planes of section (red dotted lines), and the position of the left (blue) and right (yellow) stomach walls shown in the corresponding tissue sections in $F$ and $G$. ( $F, G$ ) The spatial expression patterns of pitx2 (F) and sim2 (G) were validated by RNA ISH. Images in G are neighboring sections from the same embryo shown in F; asterisks demarcate expression in the stomach dorsal midline. See Fig. S1 for additional sim2 expression patterns. Scale bar: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(A) ; 500 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{G})$.
left- and right-enriched genes in our LR transcriptome dataset (Table S1) will be reported elsewhere.

## sim2 expression is regulated by LR patterning

To confirm that the left-sided expression of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ is dependent on LR patterning cues, we exposed Xenopus embryos to a selective TGF- $\beta$ receptor inhibitor (SB505124). This compound disrupts the Nodal signaling in the left lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) that is necessary to establish global LR asymmetry (Dush et al., 2011), consequently inhibiting left-sided pitx2 expression in the left LPM and ultimately
leading to random stomach laterality, including straightened and reversed curvatures (Davis et al., 2017).
As expected, the expression of a pan-stomach marker (sox2) was unaffected by SB505124 exposure (compare Fig. 2A and D). However, compared with DMSO controls (Fig. 2B), the expression of pitx2 was reduced or eliminated in stomachs with SB505124induced straightened or reversed curvatures (Fig. 2E). $\operatorname{sim} 2$ expression in the left stomach wall of SB505124-treated embryos was likewise reduced or eliminated (Fig. 2F) compared with controls (Fig. 2C), paralleling perturbed pitx2 expression.


Fig. 2. Sim2 expression is regulated by LR patterning. (A-F) The expression patterns of Xenopus sox2 (A,D), pitx2 (B,E) and sim2 (C,F) were determined by RNA ISH on anterior and posterior stomach sections from DMSO (A-C; two examples are shown) and two different SB505124-exposed embryos (D-F; NF39) with straightened (Example 1) and reversed (Example 2) stomach curvatures. In A-C and D-F, serial sections from the same individual were hybridized with each probe. Black asterisks and arrowheads in F indicate sim2 expression retained in the stomach dorsal midline and pronephric tubules, respectively. (G-J) Whole mount RNA ISH with a probe for sim2 was also performed on embryos injected with either a control (CoMO; G) or pitx2 morpholino ( pitx2-MO; I), targeted to the embryo's left side, or injected with mRNA encoding a dexamethasone-inducible pitx2 construct (pitx2-GR) on the right side and exposed to ethanol control $(E t O H ; H)$ or dexamethasone (Dex; J). Each embryo in G-J is shown in right and left views, with a representative transverse section through the stomach (NF40) (dotted lines indicate location of lumen). Arrows indicate sim2 expression evident in the left and/or right stomach walls; red asterisks in I indicate reduction or absence of the expected left side $\operatorname{sim} 2$ expression domains.

However, the midline (asterisks, Fig. 2F) and bilateral pronephric (arrowheads, Fig. 2F) expression domains of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ remained unaffected by SB505124.

Left-sided sim2 expression is evident as early as Nieuwkoop and Faber stage (NF) 32 (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1994) (Fig. S1A,B), shortly after pitx2 expression is established in the left LPM, and overlaps identically with the endodermal domain of pitx2 in the left stomach wall (Fig. 1E-G). To test whether $\operatorname{sim} 2$ expression is regulated by Pitx2, we targeted a pitx2 morpholino (MO) to the left side of the developing stomach, a manipulation that we previously showed knocks down Pitx2 translation and perturbs stomach curvature (Davis et al., 2017). In $94.9 \% \quad(n=46)$ of embryos injected with a control-MO, $\operatorname{sim} 2$ expression was left-sided as usual (Fig. 2G). However, sim2 expression was barely detectable in $66.1 \%$ of pitx2-MO-injected embryos ( $n=26 ; P<0.01$; one-way ANOVA; Fig. 2I), suggesting that Pitx2 activity is indeed required for proper expression of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ in the left endoderm.

In the converse experiment, we ectopically expressed Pitx2 on the right side of the stomach by microinjecting mRNA encoding a hormone-inducible version of Pitx2 (pitx2-GR; Davis et al., 2017); exposure to dexamethasone was then used to activate ectopic Pitx2 before stomach curvature morphogenesis (Davis et al., 2017). Although aberrant $\operatorname{sim} 2$ expression was rarely seen in ethanolexposed controls ( $4.9 \%$; $n=65$; Fig. 2H), dexamethasone activation of ectopic Pitx2 activity led to expansion and/or bilateral expression of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ on both sides of the stomach in $52.4 \%$ of cases ( $n=71$; $P<0.01$; Fig. 2J). Together, these pharmacological perturbation and epistasis results suggest that Pitx2 is both necessary and sufficient for asymmetrical $\operatorname{sim} 2$ expression.

## sim2 is required for cellular events underlying stomach curvature

To determine whether $\operatorname{Sim} 2$ is required for proper stomach curvature, we performed CRISPR-mediated editing of the genomic sequence encoding the HLH domain of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ in Xenopus embryos (Fig. 3A-H'). Although control F0 embryos (Fig. 3A,C) rarely ( $5.7 \% ; n=35$ ) exhibited aberrant stomach curvature, $60.1 \%(n=58 ; P<0.01)$ of sim2 gRNA+Cas9-injected F0 embryos developed straightened stomachs (Fig. 3B,D), correlating with the induction of predicted deleterious indels in the Xenopus sim2 genomic region (Fig. S2). This result confirms that Sim2 activity is required for proper execution of stomach curvature.

We previously showed that thinning and expansion of the left stomach wall is driven by radial intercalary rearrangement of left endoderm cells, which leads to a significant LR difference in stomach wall thickness (Davis et al., 2017). As sim2 is expressed in only the endoderm layer of the stomach, we hypothesized that it may play a role in execution of these cell rearrangements. Indeed, the LR difference in stomach wall thickness seen in control embryos $(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{L}$ ratio $=1.31)$ was found to be significantly perturbed in sim 2 CRISPants ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{L}$ ratio $=0.79 ; P<0.05$ ). Moreover, in control stomachs, the left wall exhibited layers of radially aligned nuclei that have accumulated near the basement membrane after rearranging (Fig. 3E, G, $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ ). In contrast, endoderm cells in the straightened sim2-CRISPant stomachs remained distributed more broadly and randomly across the width of the left stomach wall, consistent with a failure to undergo the requisite rearrangements (Fig. 3F,H, $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ).

To more specifically elucidate the cellular roles of $\operatorname{Sim} 2$ in stomach morphogenesis, we localized a sim2-MO to the left stomach endoderm (along with GFP mRNA as a lineage tracer for identifying Sim2-deficient cells). Although only $6.6 \%(n=151)$ of control-MO injected embryos (Fig. 3I,K,O) had abnormal stomach
curvature, $84.5 \%(n=155)$ of $\operatorname{sim} 2-\mathrm{MO}-\mathrm{injected}$ stomachs failed to curve ( $P<0.01$; Fig. 3J,L,P). A second $\operatorname{sim} 2-\mathrm{MO}$ had a similar effect (Fig. S3A). This phenotype correlated with translation knockdown (Fig. S3B) and could be partially rescued by co-injection of exogenous MO-resistant $\operatorname{sim} 2$ mRNA (Fig. S3C), confirming the specificity of the result. (Importantly, targeting sim2-MO to the right endoderm had no effect on stomach curvature; Fig. S3D.)

As observed in $\operatorname{sim} 2$ CRISPants, the R/L ratio of the width of the stomach epithelium was reduced from 1.51 in controls to 1.01 in $\operatorname{sim} 2$ morphants $(P<0.001)$, indicating failure of the process that normally thins the left wall with respect to the right. Furthermore, whereas control-MO injected endoderm cells formed radially aligned layers in the left stomach wall (Fig. 3M-M"), Sim2-deficient endoderm cells remained randomly distributed (Fig. 3N-N"). As expected for radially intercalating cell populations, endoderm cells injected with control-MO exhibited columnar shapes (Fig. 3M, M', Q) and parallel arrays of microtubules (MTs) aligned perpendicular to the basement membrane (Fig. 3Q'). In contrast, Sim2-deficient endoderm cells appeared to be round (Fig. 3N, $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}, \mathrm{R}$ ), with misaligned, randomly-oriented MTs (Fig. 3R'). Importantly, sim2MO injected cells remained proliferative (Fig. S4A,B), suggesting that the abnormal architecture of Sim2-deficient epithelia is not due to decreased viability.

Control cells also displayed robust apicolateral localization of cell-cell adhesion markers, including $\beta$-catenin (Fig. $3 \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ ) and E-cadherin (Fig. 3S, $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ), as necessary to maintain tissue integrity during epithelial remodeling (Dush and Nascone-Yoder, 2013). In contrast, Sim2-deficient cells exhibited irregularly distributed $\beta$-catenin (Fig. 3N') and E-cadherin (Fig. 3T, $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ). These abnormal cell properties were observed at stages before overt curvature (Fig. S4C-J), confirming they precede, and are likely causal to, the stomach morphological defects. Interestingly, $\beta$-catenin was sometimes localized to the nucleus in sim2-MO-injected cells (Fig. S4H,I), a condition that has been associated with epithelial plasticity (e.g. Kim et al., 2019b); this observation is consistent with previous results suggesting that $\operatorname{Sim} 2$ function may be mediated by $\beta$-catenin-TCF signaling (Chen et al., 2014). At later stages, GFPlabeled apoptotic cells were occasionally found in the lumen of sim2-MO injected stomachs (asterisks, Fig. 3R, R'), suggesting the changes in Sim2-deficient cell properties had rendered them unable to intercalate and ultimately excluded them from the epithelial layer. All cellular phenotypes were rescuable by co-injection of exogenous MO-resistant $\operatorname{sim} 2$ mRNA (Fig. S4K-V), confirming that these effects are specific to $\operatorname{Sim} 2$ function. Taken together, our results indicate that $\operatorname{Sim} 2$ acts in the left stomach endoderm to govern the properties necessary for cells to radially rearrange and expand the left wall during curvature.

This study comprises the first genome-wide profiling of the left versus right halves of a developing organ as it acquires morphological LR asymmetry, revealing $\operatorname{sim} 2$ as a new LR gene. Our results reveal that, as in flies, single-minded genes also orchestrate asymmetric digestive organ morphogenesis in frogs, suggesting an intriguing cooptive convergence in this bHLH/PAS gene function in insect and amphibian lineages.

In both the fly and frog, $\operatorname{sim} / \operatorname{sim} 2$ expression is confined to the epithelial layer of the gut tube, and our findings are consistent with the known roles of single-minded genes in regulating epithelial plasticity in other contexts. For example, in Drosophila, sim controls the delamination of neuroectoderm cells (Bossing and Technau, 1994; Thomas et al., 1988) and in the mammary epithelium, Sim2s (a short isoform) regulates duct and gland formation (Laffin et al., 2008). As in the stomach, $\operatorname{Sim} 2 s$ deficiency in the mammary epithelium perturbs


Fig. 3. sim2 is required for cellular events underlying stomach curvature. (A-T') Xenopus embryos were injected with sim2 guide RNA alone (sim2gRNA; A,C,E,G,G'), sim2 gRNA plus Cas9 RNA (sim2-gRNA+Cas9; B,D,F,H,H'), control morpholino (CoMO; I,K,M-M",O,Q,Q',S, S'), sim2 morpholino (sim2MO; J,L,N-N",P,R, R', T, $T^{\prime}$ ) and mRNA encoding GFP (K-T'). The greater curvature of the stomach (NF42) is indicated by arrows in control embryos (A,I; ventral views); asterisks indicate the absence of the greater curvature in Sim2 loss-of-function embryos (B,J). Sections through the stomach of sim2-gRNA or sim2-gRNA+Cas9 embryos (NF39) were stained to reveal Integrin (Int; magenta in C,D, white in E,F) and nuclei (nuc; blue in C,D, white in G-H'). The slit of the gut lumen dividing the right $(R)$ and left $(L)$ stomach walls is demarcated by yellow lines. The boxed areas in $C, D, G$ and $H$ are shown in magnified view in E and G, F and H, G', and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$, respectively. In the left wall of control stomachs ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ ), endoderm nuclei are aligned (red arrowheads, $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ ) and accumulating in close proximity to the basement membrane (BM, red line in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ ). However, in the left wall of sim2-CRISPR stomachs ( $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), endoderm nuclei remain broadly distributed between the apical surface (yellow line) and BM (red line). Sections through the stomach region of CoMO or sim2-MO embryos (NF39) were stained to reveal $\beta$-catenin ( $\beta$ cat, red; K-N'), $\alpha$-tubulin ( $\alpha$ Tub, red in O-R, white in $Q^{\prime}, R^{\prime}$ ), E-cadherin (Ecad, magenta in S,T, white in $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ), GFP (green; $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ ) and/or nuclei (nuc; blue in K-N'; white in $\mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$ ). The boxed areas in K, L, O and P are shown in magnified view in $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}($ with a neighboring section shown in $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ) and $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ (with a neighboring section shown in $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ), respectively. In the left wall of CoMO stomachs, endoderm cells are columnar (M,Q,S), with consistently basolaterally localized $\beta$ cat (arrowheads, $M^{\prime}$ ) and E -cad (arrowheads, $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ), and apicobasally polarized microtubules ( $\alpha$ Tub; arrowheads, $Q^{\prime}$ ). In contrast, endoderm cells in sim2-deficient stomachs are rounded ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T}$ ), with irregular $\beta$ cat (arrowheads, $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ ) and E -cad (arrowheads, $T^{\prime}$ ) distribution, and sparse, randomly-oriented microtubules (arrowheads, $R^{\prime}$ ). sim2-MO injected (GFP-positive) apoptotic cells, devoid of tubulin staining, are evident in the gut lumen (asterisks in $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ ). In the left wall of CoMO stomachs ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}$ ), endoderm nuclei are radially aligned (arrowheads) and accumulating near the BM (red line). However, in the left wall of sim2-MO stomachs ( $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$ ), the nuclei of the disorganized endoderm layer remain broadly and randomly distributed. See Fig. S2 for $\operatorname{sim} 2$ CRISPR-induced indels, Fig. S3 for $\operatorname{sim} 2$ morpholino validation and Fig. S4 for additional characterization/ validation of sim2-MO cellular phenotypes. Scale bars: $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (A,B,I,J); $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}(C, D, K, L, O, P) ; 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}\left(E, F, G-H^{\prime}, M_{-}-N^{\prime \prime}, Q-T^{\prime}\right)$.
cell polarity and decreases E-cadherin, contributing to metastatic epithelial-mesenchymal transitions (EMT) in breast cancer (Micalizzi et al., 2010). In other cancers, Sim2s is upregulated, repressing the expression of differentiation genes (e.g. Aleman et al., 2005; DeYoung et al., 2003a; Halvorsen et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2011).

The ability of single-minded genes to modulate epithelial plasticity in a context-dependent manner may be advantageous in the developing stomach wall, where cell rearrangement (i.e. tissue expansion) and differentiation (i.e. gastric epithelial maturation) must be dynamically balanced (Davis et al., 2017).

The effects of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ deficiency are similar to those previously reported for loss of pitx2 function (Davis et al., 2017). However, in contrast to Pitx2, Sim2 is insufficient to drive stomach curvature itself, i.e., overexpression of $\operatorname{sim} 2$ on the right side has no effect on stomach morphology (Fig. S5), suggesting it may exert its effects only in the context of other bHLH/PAS dimerization partners or other cues also present only in the left stomach wall. It is notable that several potential direct targets of Sim2 (Letourneau et al., 2015) are LR asymmetrically expressed in the stomach. Interestingly, such molecules include vimentin, an intermediate filament protein involved in epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity, and Zic2, a zincfinger molecule required for multiple earlier phases of LR asymmetry development (Dykes et al., 2018; Barratt et al., 2013).

In the fly, sim is expressed in the midline of both the CNS and gut tube and is a master regulator of midline development (Nambu et al., 1991), consistent with its association with pioneer factors and binding of super-enhancers (Letourneau et al., 2015). In vertebrates, $\operatorname{Sim} 2$ is also expressed in the midline of the brain (Marion et al., 2005) and, as shown here, in the dorsal midline of the foregut. It is well known that midline structures (e.g. notochord) are crucial for the acquisition and maintenance of the LR body axis, acting as physical and/or chemical barriers to isolate the left versus right sides of the early embryo (e.g. Bisgrove et al., 2000; Danos and Yost, 1996; Przemeck et al., 2003). The expression of sim2 in the midline of the foregut could serve a similar purpose within the stomach itself, segregating the distinct signaling and morphogenetic events occurring in the contralateral walls of a single organ.

In humans, SIM2 maps within the Down syndrome (DS)-critical region of chromosome 21 (Shamblott et al., 2002). In mammalian embryos, SIM2 is expressed in the brain and craniofacial structures affected in DS (Dahmane et al., 1995; Fan et al., 1996; Yamaki et al., 1996) and the triplication of SIM2, a transcriptional repressor (Moffett et al., 1997), may contribute to DS phenotypes (Chrast et al., 2000; Ema et al., 1999). SIM2 is also expressed in the human embryo stomach (Rachidi et al., 2005), although whether it is leftsided is unknown, and whether single-minded genes are expressed asymmetrically in the mouse embryo has not yet been determined. Nonetheless, we note that individuals with DS exhibit an increased incidence of upper GI conditions also observed in patients with LR anomalies, such as gastric inlet/outlet obstructions (e.g. duodenal atresia, esophageal atresia; Benjamin et al., 1996; Cleves et al., 2007; Heinke et al., 2020; Stoll et al., 2015), annular pancreas, diaphragmatic hernia (Morris et al., 2014) and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD; Holmes, 2014; Macchini et al., 2011). Our discovery that a DS-related gene is expressed LR asymmetrically suggests the intriguing possibility that altered dosage (i.e. ectopic or overexpression) of LR genes may contribute to phenotypes seen in non-laterality-related birth defects.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Animals and breeding

Animals were housed in the North Carolina State University College of Veterinary Medicine vivarium in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) regulations. Xenopus laevis in vitro fertilization, embryo culture and staging (according to Nieuwkoop and Faber; Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1994) were performed according to established methods (Sive et al., 2000). L. laevis embryos were collected from natural matings as previously described (Amin et al., 2015) and were staged according to Gosner (Gosner, 1960). Embryos were raised at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and anesthetized according to standard procedure (Sive et al., 2000) before phenotyping or further processing for ISH and immunohistochemical analyses.

## RNA sequencing

The left and right stomach walls of $L$. laevis were manually dissected from anesthetized embryos at GS18, GS19, GS20 and GS21 with sharpened forceps. At each stage, the anterior portion of the embryo (including the head, heart and pharyngeal region of the foregut) and the posterior portion of the embryo (immediately caudal to the liver) were removed and discarded, leaving only a transverse slice of tissue containing the stomach region of the foregut. The dorsal portion of the slice (containing the notochord, somites and pronephros) was discarded, leaving only the stomach, liver and ventral pancreas. The left and right halves of the stomach tube, i.e., the tissues on either side of the slit-like central lumen, surrounded by the outer epidermis, were then easily bisected and collected in Trizol (Ambion). Tissues from multiple embryos were pooled such that each replicate consisted of ten left or ten right half-stomachs; three pooled replicates were collected for each stage. The tissues were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until RNA isolation, which was accomplished by standard Trizol extraction followed by lithium chloride precipitation. Integrity and quantity of RNA yield was confirmed on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Nanodrop 1000 and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. RNA-seq was performed by Novogene with a poly-A selected non-stranded library prepared with NEBNext ${ }^{\mathbb{Q}}$ Ultra ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ RNA Library Prep Kit (New England BioLabs). An Illumina Novaseq 6000 was used for sequencing (PE150; Q30 $\geq 80 \%$ ).

## Bioinformatics

Raw reads were trimmed for quality and removal of sequencing adaptors (NEBNext ${ }^{\mathbb{B}}$ Ultra ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ RNA Library Prep Kit) using Trimmomatic version 0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014). Trimmed, paired-end reads for each stage and replicate were independently aligned to the soft-masked $L$. laevis genome (provided by Austin Mudd and Daniel Rokhsar, University of California, Berkeley, USA) using HiSat2 version 2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2019a). The aligned reads were then sorted with samtools, and soft-clipping was removed with custom bash scripts (Li et al., 2009). Gene models were defined by cufflinks and merged into a single gene-transfer file (gtf format) with cuffmerge (Trapnell et al., 2012). A fasta file of the merged transcriptome was produced using gffread (Pertea and Pertea, 2020).
Differential expression analysis was performed by CuffDiff2 version 2.2.1 (Trapnell et al., 2013). The resulting files were then analyzed using the R package, cummeRbund version 2.30 .0 (http://bioconductor.org/ packages/devel/bioc/html/cummeRbund.html). Human gene symbols were assigned to the $L$. laevis genes using the top hit found by querying the $L$. laevis transcriptome with BLASTx against human protein sequences from Ensembl (Altschul et al., 1990; Zerbino et al., 2018).

Left or right-enriched genes were defined as having a $\log 2$ right/left ratio with a $q$-value $\leq 0.05$ during at least one phase of stomach morphogenesis. Genes with no read counts in at least one sample were removed from further analysis; fifteen genes that reversed expression from significantly leftenriched to significantly right-enriched (or vice versa) during stomach morphogenesis were also excluded, as such expression patterns tended to be generated by outliers among the biological replicates. The R packages 'VennDiagram' and 'Heatmap2' were used to create figures (Chen, 2016; https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gplots/index.html) with only highly significant genes ( $q$-value $\leq 0.01$ ).

## In situ hybridization

Whole mount ISH was performed on Xenopus embryos as previously described (Dush and Nascone-Yoder, 2013). Embryos were fixed in MEMFA [ 100 mM MOPS ( pH 7.4 ), 2 mM EGTA, $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO} 4,3.7 \%(\mathrm{v} /$ v) formaldehyde] for 3 h , or $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight, at room temperature before being gradually dehydrated in methanol and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes were synthesized from linearized plasmids containing X. laevis Sox2, Sim2 or Pitx2 coding sequences using standard methodology (Sive et al., 2007).
ISH on tissue sections was performed as previously described (Butler et al., 2001) with the following modifications. Upon rehydration, sections were re-fixed with MEMFA for 30 min . After overnight probe hybridization, slides were washed with saline-sodium phosphate-EDTA, pH 7.4 (SSPE) before being treated with $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNase A in $4 \times$ SSPE for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Slides were blocked with $0.5 \%$ blocking reagent (Roche,
11096176001) in Buffer 1 (Butler et al., 2001) for 2 h at room temperature before incubating with anti-DIG-AP Fab fragments (Roche, 11093274910, 1:5000) overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the conclusion of the color reaction, slides were fixed for 15 min with $4 \%$ PFA before being washed with PBS plus $0.1 \%$ (v/v) Tween 20 (PBT), dehydrated to Xylene, mounted with Permount and cover-slipped.

## Functional perturbations

Pharmacological dosing of Xenopus embryos with SB505124 (SigmaAldrich, S4696) was conducted as previously described (Davis et al., 2017). Briefly, SB505124 was diluted to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in Xenopus culture medium ( $0.1 \times$ MMR); an equal volume of DMSO (solvent) was used as control. Embryos were treated from stage NF 19/20 through to collection stage.

Microinjection of loss-of-function reagents (i.e. gRNAs/Cas9 reagents and MO oligonucleotides; see Supplementary Materials and Methods, 'Morpholino sequences', for sequences) in Xenopus embryos was performed at the one-cell stage ( 300 pg gRNA), or at the eight-cell stage ( 8 ng MO), targeting a blastomere fated to contribute to the left or right side of the foregut, as previously described (Davis et al., 2017). Cas9 mRNA and $\operatorname{sim} 2$ gRNA were transcribed in vitro as previously described (Guo et al., 2014); Cas 9 mRNA was injected at $200 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{nl}$. The MO-resistant version of $\operatorname{sim} 2 \mathrm{mRNA}$ includes seven nucleotide changes that preserve the wild-type Sim2 amino acid sequence (see 'Morpholino-resistant sim2 mRNA' in Supplementary Materials and Methods).

For gain-of-function studies, a dexamethasone-inducible synthetic mRNA encoding Xenopus Pitx2c (Pitx2-GR) was injected at the eight-cell stage, targeting a blastomere fated to contribute to the right side of the foregut, as previously described (Davis et al., 2017). Pitx2-GR mRNA injected embryos were subsequently exposed to dexamethasone $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ or ethanol (solvent control) from stage 19/20. In all microinjection experiments, synthetic GFP and/or RFP mRNA, transcribed in vitro using the mMessage mMachine kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), was co-injected as a lineage tracer to validate proper tissue targeting.

## Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on transverse stomach sections of control and experimental Xenopus embryos, as previously described (Davis et al., 2017). Embryos were fixed by washing eight times with Dent's fixative ( $80 \%$ methanol/20\% DMSO) before overnight storage at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Processing for cryosectioning was carried out as previously described (Dush and Nascone-Yoder, 2013). Slides were post-fixed with 4\% PFA [ 100 mM Hepes ( pH 7.4 ), $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 4 \%$ PFA] for 2 min , washed with PBT, and blocked for 1 h . Immunohistochemical staining was performed overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with blocking buffer containing primary antibodies (see Supplementary Materials and Methods, 'List of Antibodies', for the list of primary and secondary antibodies used). Sections were washed with PBT, stained with secondary antibodies as previously described (Davis et al., 2017; Dush and Nascone-Yoder, 2013), and slides were again washed with PBT and PBS before staining with Topro-3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, T3604, 1:1000) in PBS for 5 min . Final slides were washed with PBS and mounted with Prolong Gold (Thermo Fisher Scientific), cured overnight in the dark, and visualized with a Leica DM 2500 confocal microscope.

## Statistical analysis

Morphometric measurements of the width of the left and right stomach walls were performed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). For ISH and immunohistochemistry data, multiple sections (anterior to posterior) of at least three different embryos were analyzed for each experimental condition. All perturbation experiments were performed at least in triplicate (total $n=35$ - 155 embryos per condition, with similar results across independent trials). Statistical significance was analyzed by one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD.
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