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#### Abstract

How distinct cell fates are specified at correct positions within the plant embryo is unknown. In Arabidopsis, different cell fates are generated early on, starting with the two daughter cells of the zygote. To address mechanisms of position-dependent gene activation and cell fate specification, we analyzed the regulatory region of the Arabidopsis thaliana MERISTEM LAYER 1 (ATML1) gene, which is already expressed at the one-cell stage and whose expression is later restricted to the outermost, epidermal cell layer from its inception. A sensitive, multiple GFP reporter revealed a modular organization to the ATML1 promoter. Each region contributes positively to specific spatial and temporal aspects of the overall expression pattern, including position-dependent but auxin-independent regulation along the apical-basal axis of the embryo. A 101 bp fragment that conferred all aspects of ATML1 expression contained known binding sites for homeodomain transcription factors and other regulatory sequences. Our results suggest that expression patterns associated with cell fate determination in the plant embryo result from positional signals targeting different regulatory sequences in complex promoters.
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## INTRODUCTION

Embryogenesis generates distinct cell fates, which correspond to specific gene expression profiles. In the flowering plant Arabidopsis, the zygote divides asymmetrically, producing a small apical cell and a large basal cell (Jürgens and Mayer, 1994). These two cells differ in their gene expression profile, suggesting that they have adopted different cell fates (Lu et al., 1996; Friml et al., 2003; Haecker et al., 2004). The apical cell gives rise to most of the embryo, whereas the basal cell generates part of the root meristem and the extraembryonic suspensor (Scheres et al., 1994). At the eight-cell stage, the apical-basal axis of the embryo is divided into three tiers: an apical region that will mainly give rise to the cotyledons and the shoot apical meristem; a central region that will give rise to the hypocotyl and the root; and a basal region represented by the uppermost suspensor cell called the hypophysis that will give rise to the lower part of the root meristem (quiescent centre and columella cells). Consistent with their distinct fates, these three cell tiers express different sets of genes (Haecker et al., 2004). Subsequently, the eight cells of the proembryo divide tangentially, and the outer daughter cells, which will give rise to the epidermis, start to express different genes than the inner daughter cells (Lu et al., 1996; Abe et al., 2003). Later in embryo development, expression patterns become more complex and many transcriptional domains are formed (Takada and Tasaka, 2002; Weijers and Jürgens, 2005). However, differential gene expression is only a consequence of cell fate specification and thus does not provide information about the underlying mechanism of pattern formation. How a specific cell fate arises at a defined position within the embryo is a central unanswered question in the study of pattern formation. In particular, it is not known what factors provide the necessary positional information.

[^0]Molecular mechanisms of pattern formation have been studied in detail in the Drosophila embryo where gradients of maternal transcription factors provide positional cues for the positiondependent activation of the zygotic genes (Lawrence and Struhl, 1996). The regulatory region of the gene plays an important role in the interpretation of these positional signals, acting as a transcriptional switch. Extensive studies in Drosophila have demonstrated that the position, number and affinity of the binding sites for maternal transcription factors are important factors for sensing the ratio and/or concentration of activators and repressors in the nucleus, thereby recognizing the position within the embryo, and for activating the gene at a correct position in the embryo (Clyde et al., 2003; Howard and Davidson, 2004; Kulkarni and Arnosti, 2005). Regulatory regions of developmental genes thus contain information about the binding sites of transcription factors that provide positional information, as well as information about the interpretation of the positional signals. Until now, no comparable dissection of regulatory gene regions that function in early plant embryos have been performed.

To gain insight into positional cues that regulate pattern formation in plant embryos, we studied cis-regulatory sequences of the ATML1 gene, which is expressed in specific cells of the Arabidopsis early embryo. ATML1 encodes an HD-ZIP-type homeodomain protein, and its transcripts are detected in the outermost, or epidermal cell layer of the embryo from the earliest stages onwards ( Lu et al., 1996). Interestingly, ATML1 expression was observed only in the apical daughter cell of the zygote (Lu et al., 1996). ATML1 protein has been shown to bind in vitro to an 8 bp sequence called the L1 box, which is found in the promoter of ATML1 as well as in other epidermis-specific genes, suggesting a positive-feedback regulation of ATML1 expression (Abe et al., 2001). However, there is no experimental evidence that the L1 box is required for ATML1 expression. The atmll single mutant shows no obvious mutant phenotype, whereas a double mutant of ATML1 and its closest homolog, PROTODERMAL FACTOR2 (PDF2), interferes with cotyledon formation and causes partial loss of leaf epidermal tissue, indicating that ATMLI is required for epidermis specification (Abe et al., 2003). Using a sensitive, multiple GFP reporter gene, we have
identified a 101 bp sequence that contains the L1 box and a putative WUSCHEL-binding site, and is sufficient for all aspects of ATML1 expression in the embryo. Unexpectedly, the L1 box itself was not sufficient for the activation of ATML1 expression in all epidermal cells, and several promoter fragments lacking the L1 box sequence were able to activate GFP expression in some epidermal cells. Our studies demonstrate that the ATML1 promoter has a complex modular structure, and that distinct combinations of several promoter regions regulate ATML1 expression during embryogenesis in space and time.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia (Col) was used as wild type. monopteros-B4149, emb30-1 and atml1;pdf2 in Col background have been described previously (Mayer et al., 1993; Abe et al., 2003; Weijers et al., 2006). Plants were grown in long-day conditions ( 16 hours light, 8 hours dark) under white fluorescent light at $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Embryos were staged according to Jürgens and Mayer (Jürgens and Mayer, 1994). Expression analyses in mutant backgrounds were performed in embryos from F3 plants homozygous for the transgene and heterozygous for the mutant.

## Plasmid construction and transgenic plants

## NLS:3xEGFP and 35Smini::NLS:3xEGFP in the pGreen II vector

An NLS:EGFP lacking a stop codon, an EGFP without a stop codon, and an EGFP with a stop codon, were generated by PCR. These three GFP fragments and the nopaline synthase terminator (nost) were fused together to generate NLS:3xEGFP::nost. 35Smini::NLS:3xEGFP::nost was generated by ligating the CaMV 35 S minimal promoter at the $5^{\prime}$ end of NLS:3xEGFP::nost. NLS:3xEGFP::nost and 35Smini::NLS:3xEGFP::nost were cloned into the BamHI site of the pGreen II-0229 vector (Roger et al., 2000).

## pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP

The 3.4 kb region upstream of ATML1 was amplified from the BAC clone F17L22 by PCR using Hi-fidelity Taq polymerase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) with primers ATML1-T1 (5'-ATTGATTCTGAACTGTACCC$3^{\prime}$ ) and ATML1-T2 ( $5^{\prime}$-TTTAAGCTTAACCGGTGGATTCAGGG-3'). The ATML1 promoter was fused to the $N L S: 3 x E G F P$ reporter in the pGreen II vector to create $p A T M L 1:: N L S: 3 x E G F P$.

## pATML1::CYCB1-N::NLS:3xEGFP in the pGreen II vector

A DNA fragment encoding the N -terminal region (amino acid residues 1 184) of CYCLINB1;2 (At5g06150) was cloned using PCR and fused to the $5^{\prime}$ end of NLS:3xEGFP in pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP in pGreen II to create pATML1::CYCB1-N::NLS:3xEGFP.

## Deletions of promoter regions A-D

A region from -3318 to -1468 , a region from -1463 to -664 , and a region from -667 to -219 , were deleted from the 3.4 kb promoter to produce $\Delta \mathrm{A}$, $\Delta B$, and $\Delta C$ promoters, respectively. For the $\Delta D$ promoter, a region from -213 to +66 was deleted using PCR. Regions from -3318 to -1463 , from -1467 to -214 , from -663 to +66 , and from -218 to +66 , were cloned and used as $\triangle \mathrm{BCD}, \triangle \mathrm{AD}, \Delta \mathrm{AB}$, and $\triangle \mathrm{ABC}$ fragments, respectively.

Small internal deletions in region D
Regions from -213 to -181 , from -180 to -155 , from -154 to -131 , from -130 to -80 , from -79 to +66 , from -40 to +66 , and from -170 to -164 , were deleted from region D to generate $\Delta 33 \mathrm{bp}, \Delta 26 \mathrm{bp}, \Delta 24 \mathrm{bp}, \Delta 51 \mathrm{bp}, \Delta 145 \mathrm{bp}$, $\Delta 106 \mathrm{bp}$, and $\Delta$ WUS constructs, respectively.

Hexamer constructs
The regions indicated in the Results section were amplified by PCR with specific forward primers containing an XbaI site, and reverse primers containing an SpeI site. Amplified fragments were cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega, Mannheim, Germany), and hexamer constructs were generated by sequentially inserting five XbaI-SpeI fragments at the XbaI site. Base substitutions in the WUS-binding site and in the L1 box were made using PCR.

All of the PCR-derived clones were sequenced. Wild-type Arabidopsis plants were transformed using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998), and T1 plants were selected on soil with BASTA.

## Confocal laser scanning microscopy analysis

The embryos were excised from the ovules in 4\% paraformaldehyde and 5\% glycerol solution. GFP signals were observed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica) by excitation at 488 nm and by collection at $504-526 \mathrm{~nm}$ (green). The background autofluorescence was collected in the range $613-648 \mathrm{~nm}$ (red).

## RESULTS <br> Visualization of ATML1 promoter activity with a nuclear-localized triple GFP reporter

It has been reported that a 3384 bp region upstream of the ATML1 open reading frame is sufficient to activate reporter gene expression in the epidermis of globular-stage embryos (Sessions et al., 1999). However, ATML1 promoter activity has not been analysed at earlier stages (Sessions et al., 1999). To improve the sensitivity and spatial resolution for detecting ATML1 promoter activity in the embryo, we generated an NLS:3xEGFP reporter gene that consists of the SV40 nuclear localization signal (NLS) and three tandem enhanced green fluorescence protein ( $3 x E G F P$ ) sequences (Fig. 1A). Nuclear localization of GFP was expected to increase the concentration of GFP locally. Indeed, this $N L S: 3 x E G F P$ reporter gene enabled us to visualize the 3.4 kb ATMLl promoter activity in the one-cell stage embryo (in 12 independent transgenic lines) (Fig. 1C). Unexpectedly, GFP signals were detected in both the apical and the basal daughter cell of the zygote (Fig. 1C, see below). During the 32- to 64 -cell stages, pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP expression disappeared from the inner cells and was restricted to the suspensor and the outer cell layer of the embryo proper (Fig. 1D,E). After the division of the hypophyseal cell, GFP signals disappeared from the inner daughter cells by the heart stage (Fig. $1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{G})$. In the mature embryos, GFP signals were still detected in the outermost cell layer (Fig. 1H). In summary, the ATML1 promoter was active only in the cells that were located at the surface of the embryo.

## The $3.4 \mathbf{k b}$ promoter of ATML1 is active in the suspensor

As mentioned above, pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP expression was detected in the basal cell and in the suspensor, in contrast to previous reports of ATML1 promoter activity in the apical lineage only (Lu et al., 1996; Sessions et al., 1999). This inconsistency might be due to the stable inheritance of the NLS:3xEGFP protein from the zygote, given that ATML1 promoter activity was detected in the dividing zygote (data not shown). To examine potential effects of NLS:3xEGFP protein stability on the expression pattern, we generated an unstable version by fusing an N-terminal destruction boxcontaining fragment of CYCLINB $1 ; 2(\mathrm{CYCB} 1-\mathrm{N})$ to the N -terminus of the NLS:3xEGFP reporter (Fig. 1B). Because CYCLINB1 is degraded during anaphase and its N -terminal region confers the same instability to proteins fused to it, only newly synthesized NLS:3xEGFP should be detected after cell division (Glotzer et al., 1991; Colon-Carmona et al., 1999). In the pATML1::CYCB1$N: N L S: 3 x E G F P$ lines, GFP signals disappeared from the inner cells at the 16 -cell stage, and thus earlier than in the NLS:3xEGFP lines, suggesting that ATMLI promoter activity is downregulated in the inner cells as early as the 16 -cell stage (in 4 of 4 lines) (Fig. 1J). By contrast, GFP signals were still detected in the suspensor and in the basal cell (in 5 of 5 lines that showed expression), which indicates that the ATML1 promoter is active in these cells (Fig. 1I). In support of this conclusion, some promoter-deletion lines displayed GFP signals in the suspensor but only from the eight-cell stage (see below). Our data


Fig. 1. ATML1 promoter activity in wild-type and mutant embryos. (A,B) ATML1 promoter-GFP reporter fusion constructs pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP (A) and pATML1::CYCB1-N:NLS:3xEGFP (B). NLS, SV40 nuclear localization signal sequence; GFP, enhanced green fluorescence protein sequence; nost, nopaline synthase terminator; CYCB1-N, a sequence encoding an N-terminal fragment of CYCLINB1;2, including the destruction box. (C-H) pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP expression in wild-type embryos at successively older stages: (C) one-cell; (D) 16-cell; (E) 32-cell; (F) mid-heart (arrowheads indicate quiescent center cells lacking GFP signal); (G) torpedo; (H) bent-cotyledon. (I,J) pATML1::CYCB1$N: N L S: 3 x E G F P$ expression in wild-type embryos at different stages: (I) twocell (arrowhead indicates GFP expression in nucleus of suspensor cell), and (J) 16-cell (compare with D). (K-N) pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP expression in mutant embryos: (K) ball-shaped gn; (L) heart-stage mp; (M) basal peg of $m p ;(\mathrm{N})$ atm11;pdf2. Green, GFP signals; red, chlorophyll autofluorescence. Scale bars: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-G,I-N; $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in H .
indicate that the ATML1 promoter is active in both daughter cells of the zygote and, thus, that ATML1 expression cannot be used as a marker for apical cell fate in the one-cell stage embryo.

## pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP is expressed normally in auxin-related mutant embryos

We examined whether the plant hormone auxin is necessary for ATML1 expression in the embryo, as auxin may provide positional information (Sabatini et al., 1999; Friml et al., 2003). We introgressed a pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP line into two auxin-related mutants, gnom (gn) and monopteros (mp) (Berleth and Jürgens, 1993; Mayer et al., 1993). Auxin distribution is abnormal in $g n$ mutant embryos, and auxin response is defective in $m p$ mutant embryos (Friml et al., 2003). $g n$ embryos fail to form the root, and in extreme cases the embryo is ball-shaped and does not exhibit any apical-basal polarity (Mayer et al., 1993). $m p$ is defective in organising the basal region of the
embryo (Berleth and Jürgens, 1993). Although these two embryo mutants display clear auxin-related defects, pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP was expressed in the epidermis of both ball-shaped $g n$ mutant embryos (Fig. 1K) and $m p$ embryos, including their abnormal basal end (Fig. 1L,M). These observations suggest that the pattern of ATML1 expression is determined independently of auxin distribution or signaling. Moreover, this implies that the epidermis is specified independently of apical-basal patterning.

## Promoter regions required for early activation and apical expression

In order to define regulatory sequences that control ATMLI expression in the embryo, we generated promoter-deletion constructs. The ATML1 promoter was divided into four regions denoted A, B, C and D, that correspond to nucleotide positions -3318 (HindIII) to -1468 (NcoI), -1467 to -664 (PstI), -663 to -219 (XbaI) and -218 to +66 , respectively, relative to the transcription initiation site (Fig. 2). Initially, regions A, B or C were deleted from the 3.4 kb promoter, whereas region D was replaced by a minimal promoter derived from the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S rRNA promoter ( -53 to +4 ) (Benfey et al., 1990). Deletion of region $\mathrm{D}(\Delta \mathrm{D})$ abolished reporter expression in the early embryo ( 12 of 12 lines that showed expression), whereas other deletions did not show the same effect, indicating that region D is necessary for early expression (Fig. 2). Further deletion studies revealed that regions $C$ and $D(\Delta A B)$ rarely activated $G F P$ expression in the early embryo ( 1 of 9 lines), and region D alone ( $\triangle \mathrm{ABC}$ ) was not sufficient for the expression in the early embryo ( 0 of 11 lines). However, the combinations $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}(\Delta \mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{D}(\Delta \mathrm{C})$ conferred $G F P$ expression in the early embryo (12 of 12 and 7 of 7 lines, respectively), indicating that either region $A B$ or (B)C is also required for region D-mediated early expression (Fig. 2).

In the $\Delta D$ lines, GFP signals were first detected in the suspensor at the eight-cell stage and then in the epidermis from the 32-cell stage onwards (Fig. 3J,K). Interestingly, deletion of region D abolished GFP expression in the apical half of the embryo proper until the late-heart stage ( 12 of 12 lines that showed expression) (Fig. 3K,L). Thus, although not essential for expression in the epidermis, region D is necessary for expression in the apical half of the embryo proper. By contrast, other promoter deletions still gave GFP expression in the apical half of globular-stage embryos, although it was reduced in a subset of the lines (see below). Deletion of both regions A and D $(\triangle \mathrm{AD})$ abolished $G F P$ expression in the epidermis ( 0 of 11 lines), whereas region A alone ( $\triangle \mathrm{BCD}$ ) was still sufficient for the expression in the central region of the embryo ( 13 of 13 lines that showed expression) (Fig. 3I). Thus, regions A and D seem to contain functionally redundant cis-regulatory elements for epidermis-specific expression (Fig. 2). However, in contrast to region $A(\triangle B C D)$, region $D$ alone ( $\triangle \mathrm{ABC}$ ) was able to activate expression in wider regions at the heart stage ( 10 of 10 lines examined at this stage) (Fig. 2; Fig. 3E,F), although GFP signals were not detected in the apical half of the embryo proper until the mid-heart stage (Fig. 3E), and GFP expression was reduced in the adaxial side of the cotyledons even at later stages (Fig. 2; Fig. 3F). Thus, region D plays a major regulatory role in ATML1 expression during embryogenesis.

## Specific promoter fragments stabilize expression in different regions of the embryo

Deletion of region B abolished expression in the cells of the basal lineage in one out of ten independent transgenic lines from early stages onwards, and in one of ten lines from the late-globular stage (Fig. 3H). In $\Delta A B$, this 'apical lineage' expression was also observed in three out of nine independent lines from early stages onwards, and


Fig. 2. Expression analysis of ATML1 promoter regions. Deletions of promoter regions $A, B, C$ and $D$ are illustrated to the left; corresponding GFP expression patterns at the one-cell stage (early embryo) and heart stage (epidermis) are shown to the right. Presence or absence of GFP expression (green or yellow) are indicated by + or -, respectively. *1, one of nine lines showed expression at the one-cell stage; *2, no GFP expression in the apical half of the embryo proper; *3, GFP expression only in the central region of the embryo. In the schematic, the arrow (top diagram) indicates the transcription start site (+1); 35Smini, minimal promoter derived from the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. Scale bars: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
in three of nine lines from the heart stage (Fig. 3B). One explanation for these variable expression patterns is that region B and the other regions might contain functionally redundant regulatory elements


Fig. 3. Position-dependent GFP expression along the apical-basal axis conferred by ATML1 promoter deletions. (A) 'Basal half' expression at the 32-cell stage in $\Delta A$. (B) 'Apical lineage' expression at the early-heart stage in $\triangle A B$. (C-F) GFP expression in $\triangle A B C$ at the eightcell (C), 32-cell (D), mid-heart (E) and late-heart (F) stages. (G) 'Basal lineage' expression at the eight-cell stage in $\triangle A D$. (H) 'Apical lineage' expression at the 16 -cell stage in $\Delta B$. (I) 'Central' expression at the lateheart stage in $\triangle B C D$. (J-L) GFP expression in $\Delta D$ at eight-cell (J), 32-cell (K) and late-heart (L) stages. Scale bars: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
that are responsible for gene activation in the suspensor. Consistent with this idea, deletion of regions $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ completely abolished $G F P$ expression at the basal pole from the heart stage onwards (10 of 10 lines) (Fig. 3E). In addition, regions B and C alone ( $\Delta \mathrm{AD}$ ) were able to activate weak GFP expression only in the suspensor until the globular stages ( 9 of 9 lines that showed expression) (Fig. 3G), suggesting that region B might indeed regulate ATML1 expression in the suspensor. Other deletion lines and the full-length ATML1 promoter lines never showed this 'apical lineage' expression (Table 1).

Surprisingly, in a subset of the deletion lines including $\Delta A, \Delta B$ and $\Delta C$ lines, GFP signals were not detected in the apical half of the embryo proper at the globular stages (Fig. 3A, Table 1). In these lines, the apical expression was recovered by the early-heart stage, although GFP signals were sometimes weak in the adaxial side of

Table 1. Frequency of expression patterns observed in globular-stage embryos

| Promoter construct | Deleted base-pairs | 'Apical lineage' expression | 'Basal half' expression | Normal expression | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pATML1 (3.4 kb) | - | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ | -3318 to -1468 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{AB}$ | -3318 to -664 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{B}$ | -1463 to -664 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 10 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}$ | -667 to -219 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{D}$ | -213 to +66 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| $\Delta 33 \mathrm{pp}$ | -213 to -181 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| $\Delta 26 \mathrm{bp}$ | -180 to -155 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| $\Delta 24 \mathrm{bp}$ (3.4 kb $\Delta \mathrm{L} 1$ ) | -154 to -131 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| $\Delta 51$ bp | -130 to -80 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| $\Delta 145 \mathrm{bp}$ | -79 to +66 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| $\Delta 106 \mathrm{bp}$ | -40 to +66 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 3.4 kb $\Delta$ WUS | -170 to -164 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 11 |

The number of lines that showed the above expression patterns is indicated for each construct, along with the total number of independent transgenic lines that showed GFP expression $(n)$. The position of deleted nucleotides is indicated relative to the transcription initiation site. 'Apical lineage' refers to the proembryo derived from the apical daughter cell of the zygote. 'Basal half' expression refers to that in the basal half of the proembryo.
the cotyledons until the late-heart stage (data not shown). This 'basal half' expression pattern was also observed in a subset of the lines with small deletions within region D (Table 1). These results suggest that all regions are necessary for the stable expression in the apical half of the embryo proper at the globular stages.

## A 179 bp promoter fragment is sufficient for ATML1 expression in the embryo

The initial deletion studies suggested the existence of a regulatory sequence within region D for ATML1 activation in the early embryo. However, a series of small deletions covering region D did not abolish GFP expression (see Table 1 for the numbers of lines examined) (Fig. 4), indicating that several regions regulate ATML1 expression in the early embryo. In addition, these deletions did not cause ectopic GFP expression in the inner cells (Fig. 4), which suggests that there might not be a simple, negative-regulatory sequence that represses ATML1 expression in the inner cells.
Region D alone conferred expression in the epidermis of globular-stage embryos, but not earlier. This raised the possibility of an early promoter activity of region $D$ that, however, was below the detection limit owing to the absence of general enhancers in the other regions. To enhance the signal, we made a construct that contains six tandem repeats of a 179 bp fragment ( -219 to -41 ) from region D , fused to the 35 S minimal promoter and the NLS:3xEGFP coding sequence (Fig. 5). This artificial promoter generated GFP signals from the one-cell stage onwards in a manner indistinguishable from the full-length promoter (6 of 6 lines) (Fig. 5A-E, compare with Fig. 1C-F). This result suggests that the 179 bp fragment of region D can mediate all aspects of ATML1 expression in the embryo.

## Mutational analysis of the L1 box and WUSbinding site reveals composite regulation of ATML1 expression

The 179 bp fragment of region D contains two known cis-regulatory elements; a WUSCHEL (WUS)-binding site and an L1 box (Abe et al., 2003). The WUS-binding site was identified in the regulatory region of the floral homeotic gene AGAMOUS, which is positively regulated by $W U S$ in the center of the floral meristem (Lohmann et al., 2001). Although WUS is expressed in the inner cells in the apical half of the embryo proper, but not in the ATML1 expression domain (Mayer et al., 1998), there is a family of WUS-related (WOX) transcription factors, some of which are expressed in the ATML1-
expressing cells of the embryo (Haecker et al., 2004), suggesting that one or more of them might bind to the WUS-binding site of the ATML1 gene. The L1 box was first identified in the promoter of the PROTODERMAL FACTOR1 (PDF1) gene and was shown to be essential for PDF1 expression in the outermost cell layer of the shoot apical meristem (SAM) (Abe et al., 2001). As ATML1 binds directly to the L1 box in vitro, the L1 box may be involved in positivefeedback regulation (Abe et al., 2001). However, there was no evidence that the WUS-binding site and the L1 box are required for ATML1 expression in the embryo. To examine the role of these putative binding sites, we deleted either the WUS-binding site or a 24 bp region including the L1 box from the $\triangle \mathrm{ABC}$ construct and named the derivatives D $\Delta$ WUS and $\mathrm{D} \Delta \mathrm{L} 1$, respectively (Fig. 4). With these constructs, GFP signals were detected in the epidermis at a low level in only a few transgenic plants ( 4 of 22 transgenic lines for $\mathrm{D} \Delta \mathrm{L} 1$, and 6 of 20 lines for $\mathrm{D} \Delta \mathrm{WUS}$ ), in contrast to the construct without deletions ( 12 of 18 lines). This suggests that the L1 box and the WUS-binding site are necessary to enhance the expression level of ATML1 (Fig. 4).
To examine the role of the L1 box and the WUS-binding site at earlier stages, we analyzed how mutations in these binding sites affected the activity of the $6 \times 179 \mathrm{bp}$ construct (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, both mutations had similar effects on the $G F P$ expression pattern. The mutations in the L1 box (from TAAATGCA to GCCCGTAC; $6 \times 179 \mathrm{bpmL} 1$ ) and the WUS-binding site (from TTAATGG to GGCCGTT; 6x179bpmWUS) did not abolish the early activation of GFP in the one-cell stage ( 11 of 12 and 7 of 8 lines, respectively) (Fig. 5F,K). However, GFP signals were downregulated by the eightcell stage ( 7 of 7 and 7 of 7 lines, respectively) (Fig. 5G,L). GFP expression was reactivated in the epidermis by the late-globular or early-heart stage, although GFP signals were not detected in the apical half of the embryo proper nor at the basal pole (14 of 14 and 12 of 12 lines, respectively) (Fig. 5H,M). Expression in the columella root cap cells and in the abaxial side of the cotyledons was recovered by the late-heart stage in $6 x 179 \mathrm{bpmWUS}$ ( 11 of 11 lines) but at best rarely in $6 x 179 \mathrm{bpmLl}$ [ 0 of 10 lines (columella), 3 of 10 lines (abaxial)] (Fig. 5I,J and 5N,O). Deletion of the WUS-binding site or the 24 bp region in the context of the 3.4 kb promoter [3.4 $\mathrm{kb} \Delta \mathrm{WUS}$ and $3.4 \mathrm{~kb} \Delta \mathrm{~L} 1(\Delta 24 \mathrm{bp})$ ] also reduced the expression in the apical half of the globular-stage embryo proper at a high frequency ( 7 of 11 and 7 of 8 lines, respectively), although GFP expression was detected normally at the basal pole (11 of 11 and 8 of 8 lines, respectively) (Fig. 4).


Fig. 4. Effects of small deletions within region D on ATML1 promoter activity. To the right of each deletion construct, presence or absence of promoter activity at the one-cell stage (early embryo) and heart stage (epidermis) are indicated by + or - , respectively. 35 Smini, 35 S minimal promoter; n.d., not done; *1, only six of 20 transformants showed expression; *2, only four of 22 transformants showed expression. Scale bars: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

In summary, the L1 box and the WUS-binding site are required for ATML1 expression in the apical half and the basal pole of the embryo from the globular stage, and for the maintenance of the expression during the globular stages, but are not necessary for the initial activation nor for expression in the basal half of the embryo from the late-globular stage. Interestingly, the L1 box is not
necessary for the expression in some epidermal cells. More importantly, the L1 box, which might be involved in the autoregulation of ATML1, is not sufficient for the expression in all epidermal cells in the absence of the WUS-binding site.
To further assess the role of autoregulation, pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP expression was examined in the atmll;pdf2 double mutant. Severe mutant embryos lack cotyledon primordia, indicating that epidermal cells are required for the formation of organ primordia, as suggested by laser ablation experiments in the tomato SAM (Abe et al., 2003; Reinhardt et al., 2003). Weak GFP signals were detected in the outer cell layer of atmll;pdf2 embryos (Fig. 1N). This indicates that autoregulation plays a minor role in determining the expression pattern and suggests that ATML1 itself is not necessary for its own expression in the outermost cell layer, although it has a role in maintaining the expression level.

## The 179 bp region has a modular organization

To further narrow down the region sufficient for ATML1 expression in the epidermis, four overlapping fragments [89 bp (from -219 to -131), 101 bp (from -180 to -80), 114 bp (from -154 to-41), and 90 bp (from-130 to -41)] derived from the 179 bp region were used to drive the $N L S: 3 x E G F P$ reporter gene (Fig. 6). Six copies of each fragment were cloned in front of the 35 S minimal promoter fused to the $N L S: 3 x E G F P$ reporter ( $6 \mathrm{x} 89 \mathrm{bp}, 6 \mathrm{x} 101 \mathrm{bp}, 6 \mathrm{x} 114 \mathrm{bp}$ and $6 x 90 \mathrm{bp}$ ). Among these four constructs, only $6 x 101 \mathrm{bp}$ showed normal expression ( 15 of 15 lines), indicating that six copies of the 101 bp region can confer all aspects of ATML1 expression in the embryo (Fig. 6F-J).
$6 \times 89 \mathrm{bp}$ ( 9 of 9 lines) and 6 x 114 bp ( 8 of 11 lines) constructs were able to activate $G F P$ expression in the one-cell stage embryo (Fig. $6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~K}$ ), whereas 6 x 90 bp was not sufficient for early expression ( 0 of 19 lines) (Fig. 6P), indicating that a 24 bp fragment (from -154 to -131) contains a sequence required for the early activation. Also, in $6 x 114 b p$, early GFP expression was weak and sometimes undetectable ( 3 of 11 lines), suggesting that a 26 bp region (from -180 to -155 ) is also necessary for stable expression in the early embryo.

During the globular stages, $6 x 114 b p$ expression was not detected in the apical half of the embryo proper ( 13 of 14 lines) nor at the basal pole ( 12 of 13 lines) (Fig. 6M), whereas the expression in the apical half of the embryo was not affected in $6 x 89 \mathrm{bp}$ ( 11 of 11 lines) or 6x101bp ( 10 of 10 lines) (Fig. 6C,H), indicating that the 26 bp region is necessary for the expression in the apical half of the embryo proper at the globular stage. Since $424 b p$ and $6 x 179 b p m L$ were also defective in the expression in the apical half, both the 26 bp and 24 bp regions are required for the expression in the apical half.
During the heart stages, $6 x 114 b p$ was defective in reporter expression in the very apical region, including the adaxial side of the cotyledons and the presumptive SAM region between the two cotyledon primordia ( 12 of 12 lines) (Fig. 6N). Expression at the basal pole was recovered by the late-heart stage in $6 x 114 b p$ ( 11 of 13 lines) (Fig. 60). These expression patterns are similar to those in $6 \times 179 \mathrm{mWUS}$, implying that the activity of the 26 bp region is largely dependent on the WUS-binding site.
$6 x 89 b p$ showed normal expression until the 16 -cell stage. However, at the 32-cell stage, GFP signals started to disappear from the basal pole ( 6 of 10 lines examined). Moreover, in seven of eleven lines that showed GFP signals, GFP expression was downregulated in the SAM region (Fig. 6D,E). Since $6 x 179 b p m L 1$ and $6 x 114 b p$ were also defective in reporter expression in the SAM and the suspensor, we conclude that the 26 bp region (or the WUS-binding


Fig. 5. GFP expression driven by six tandem repeats of the 179 bp promoter fragment. The diagrams to the left indicate the position of the 179 bp fragment in the ATML1 promoter, the WUS-binding site (red circle) and the L1 box (yellow circle). The arrow indicates the transcription start site. Red crosses indicate mutations in cis-regulatory sequences. All fragments consisted of six tandem copies ( $x$ 6) fused to the 355 minimal promoter (35Smini). (A-E) 6x179bp expression at one-cell (A), eight-cell (B), 32-cell (C), early-heart (D) and late-heart (E) stages. (F-J) $6 \times 179 b p m L 1$ expression at one-cell (F), eight-cell (G), late-globular (H), early-heart (I) and late-heart (J) stages. (K-O) 6x179bpmWUS expression at one-cell (K), eight-cell (L), 32-cell (M), early-heart (N) and late-heart (O) stages. Scale bars: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
site), the 24 bp region (or the L1 box), and a 51 bp region (from - 130 to -80), are required for stable expression in the SAM and the suspensor, although the 26 bp region plays a minor role in the expression at the basal pole at the late-heart stage.

Interestingly, some of the $6 x 90 b p$ lines ( 7 of 19 lines) still showed weak expression from the mid-heart stage in some epidermal cells (Fig. 6S,T), indicating that epidermis-specific expression can be activated independently of the L1 box and the WUS-binding site.

In summary, we found that the combination of the $26 \mathrm{bp}, 24 \mathrm{bp}$ and 51 bp regions (a 101 bp fragment from -180 to -80) is necessary for expression in the SAM and at the basal pole of the embryo, and can mediate all aspects of ATML1 expression in the embryo.

## DISCUSSION

## The ATML1 promoter is active not only in the epidermis of the embryo proper (apical lineage) but also in the basal cell lineage

The activity of the 3.4 kb ATMLI promoter was previously detected in the apical cell and its progeny but not in the basal cell and its derivatives, as monitored by mRNA hybridization of a uidA reporter (Sessions et al., 1999). By contrast, our data clearly reveal activity of the same 3.4 kb ATML1 promoter fused to nuclear-localised GFP in both apical and basal daughter cells of the zygote as well as their progeny. One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that mRNAs are difficult to detect in the basal cell, which is highly vacuolated and less cytoplasm-rich than the apical cell. Moreover, the basal cell is larger than the apical cell, which would reduce the signal intensity if transcripts accumulate to the same level in both apical and basal cells. By contrast, the nuclear-localized triple GFP
used in this study is very sensitive and does not face the same problem because the sizes of the nuclei do not vary much in early embryogenesis.

## Stage-dependent activation of ATML1

Region D of the promoter is required for the early activation of ATML1. Although region D on its own was not able to activate a detectable level of expression in the early embryo, six copies of a 179 bp fragment derived from region D were able to do so, suggesting that region D contains a cis-regulatory sequence that mediates ATML1 expression in the early embryo. The 179 bp fragment contains two known regulatory motifs, an L1 box and a WUS-binding site. Mutation of one or other motif did not affect the early activation of ATML1. Rather, both motifs seem to be necessary for the maintenance of early expression, as GFP reporter expression was downregulated before the globular stage in the absence of the L1 box or the WUS-binding site. However, GFP signals were reactivated by the early-heart stage, indicating that an additional later-acting transcriptional mechanism activates ATML1 expression independently of the L1 box or the WUSbinding site. Collectively, these results suggest that ATML1 expression is regulated by at least three different mechanisms at different stages of embryogenesis: initial activation, subsequent maintenance of expression, and reactivation at later stages. Our deletion studies also revealed that the 90 bp fragment of region D activates the expression only at later stages, and that the adjacent 50 bp fragment is required for stable expression in the early embryo, implying that stage-specific factors might act through distinct cis-regulatory sequences.


Fig. 6. GFP expression driven by four overlapping subfragments of the 179 bp promoter
fragment. The constructs are shown to the left, the corresponding GFP expression patterns in embryos to the right. All the promoter subfragments were hexamerized ( x 6 ) and fused to the 355 minimal promoter (35Smini). Sequence numbering refers to the distance in bp from the transcription start site (+1; arrow in top diagram). Circles indicate the WUS-binding site (red) and L1 box (yellow). GFP expression in (A-E) 6x89bp, (F-J) 6x101bp, (K-O) 6x114bp, (P-T) 6x90bp. Embryo stages: (A,F,K,P) one-cell; (B,G,L,Q) eight-cell; (C,H,M,R) 32-cell; ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ ) mid-heart; ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{T}$ ) lateheart. White arrowheads (D,E,N) indicate reduced expression in the presumptive SAM region; yellow arrowheads (C-E,M) indicate reduced expression at the basal pole; white arrows (S) indicate patchy expression in epidermis. Scale bars: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Epidermis-specific expression of ATML1 is controlled by several regulatory sequences

Regions A and D contain regulatory sequences for the expression in the epidermis. Notably, multiple copies of a 101 bp sequence from region D , which includes the L1 box and the WUS-binding site, were sufficient for expression in all epidermal cells of the embryo.

Some epidermis-specific expression can be activated independently of the L1 box, as six copies of the 179 bp fragment containing a mutated L1 box can still confer transcriptional activity in the epidermis. Moreover, region A, and a 90 bp fragment of region D, both of which lack an L1-box sequence, can activate reporter gene expression in the epidermis, indicating that the epidermis-specific expression of ATML1 is regulated by several independent inputs through different cis-regulatory elements.
Importantly, the L1 box was not sufficient for the expression in all epidermal cells in the $6 \times 179 b p m W U S, 6 x 89 b p$ and $6 x 114 b p$ lines. These observations indicate that the function of the L1 box is context-sensitive and that other regulatory sequences (e.g. the WUSbinding site) are required for L1 box-mediated transcription in the embryo. This idea is supported by the fact that the SCARECROW promoter, which contains an L1 box sequence, is active in the epidermal cells of the postembryonic shoot meristem, but not in those of the embryo (Wysocka-Diller et al., 2000; Abe et al., 2001).

## ATML1 expression is differentially regulated along the apical-basal axis of the embryo

Our analysis indicates that different combinations of regulatory sequences regulate $A T M L 1$ expression in different regions of the developing embryo (Fig. 7). ATML1 expression in the globular embryo can be broken down into three distinct domains - apical and basal halves of the embryo, and the basal pole of the embryo plus the
suspensor (Fig. 7B). A 50 bp sequence in region D (D50), encompassing the WUS-binding site and the L1 box, makes a major contribution to ATMLI expression in the apical half of the embryo (Fig. 7A,B). In addition, our deletion experiments indicate that all promoter regions (A, B, C and D) are required for stable expression in the apical half (Table 1). These findings might suggest that the apical half, in which cells divide more frequently than in the basal half (Jürgens and Mayer, 1994), needs relatively more transcriptional enhancers to maintain the expression level during rapid cell divisions, and is thus sensitive to the deletion of general enhancer(s). In the basal half of the embryo, $6 x 89 b p, 6 x 101 b p$ and $6 x 114 b p$ are all sufficient for expression, indicating that the overlapping 24 bp fragment in region D (D24) is important. However, there appear to be other functionally redundant elements in D , as $D \Delta L 1$ still showed some expression in the basal half of the embryo (Fig. 4). In the absence of D, the combination of regions A, $B$ and $C$ is also sufficient for the expression in the basal half of the embryo, suggesting even more redundancy. At the basal pole, ATML1 expression is regulated by the 101 bp fragment of region D (D101) encompassing the WUS-binding site and the L1 box. In addition to D101, a combination of B and C is sufficient for expression in the basal pole, reflecting the redundant organization of the ATMLI promoter.

Heart-stage embryos display six domains of ATML1 expression (Fig. 7B). At the apical end of the embryo, D50 and D101 are required for the expression at the adaxial side of the cotyledon primordia and in the SAM region, respectively. These expression domains are dependent on the WUS-binding site and the L1 box. The D24 fragment is involved in the expression in a wider lateral region of the embryo at the heart stage than at the earlier globular stage. The 90 bp fragment of region D (D90) can also activate ATMLI expression, though weakly, in epidermal cells located


Fig. 7. Model for the transcriptional regulation of ATML1 in embryogenesis. (A) Regulatory organization of the ATML1 promoter. Target regions of putative positional signals (arrows) are indicated. Sequence numbering refers to the distance in bp from the transcription start site (+1). (B) Regulation of ATML1 expression in embryogenesis. Each color represents a different expression domain. The regulatory sequences that mainly contribute to the expression in each domain are indicated (see text for details). Successive embryo stages are depicted (from left to right): one-cell stage, eight-cell stage, globular stages, heart stage.
apically within the D24 domain. At the basal pole, the 26 bp fragment and the WUS-binding site are not necessary for the expression at the late-heart stage. Instead, D75 (D101 minus the 26 bp fragment) and the L1 box mediate the expression at the basal pole. Promoter region A alone can confer activity in the central region of the embryo, whereas the combined regions $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ can activate expression in the basal half of the embryo proper.

## Positional cues that restrict the expression of ATML1 to the epidermis

The plant hormone auxin has been implicated in apical-basal axis specification of the embryo (Friml et al., 2003). Although the expression of ATML1 in the epidermis is differentially regulated along the apical-basal axis, we observed no evidence for its auxindependent regulation. Thus, position-dependent gene activation in embryogenesis might also involve other, unknown signaling molecules that provide positional information.

With the formation of the epidermal cell layer by periclinal divisions of the octant-stage proembryo cells, ATML1 expression is discontinued in the newly-formed inner cells. Our deletion analysis makes it highly unlikely that a negative regulatory sequence represses ATML1 expression in the inner cells, suggesting instead that ATML1 expression in the epidermis is regulated by positive regulators. It has been proposed that cell wall components of the zygote may provide positional cues for epidermal cell specification in the embryo because only the outermost cells of the embryo retain the cell walls derived from the zygote (Laux et al., 2004). This idea
is consistent with pATML1::NLS:3xEGFP expression, which is detected in the cells located at the surface of the embryo and is downregulated in cells that have lost the cell walls derived from the zygote (e.g. quiescent center cells). It is tempting to speculate that ATML1 expression is positively regulated by as yet unknown transcription factors that are directly activated by ligands available only in the outermost cell layer. Indeed, some transcription factors can directly bind to lipid or sterol ligands and could thus convey positional cues provided by these molecules (Schrick et al., 2004; Alvarez-Venegas et al., 2006). The identification of several promoter fragments that regulate specific aspects of ATML1 expression in the embryo can now be used to isolate trans-acting factors that receive positional cues for epidermis specification, which will eventually lead to the elucidation of mechanisms by which transcription factors convey positional cues in the embryo.
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