Research article 1425

A forkhead protein controls sexual identity of the *C. elegans* male somatic gonad

Weiru Chang^{1,2}, Christopher Tilmann^{3,4}, Kara Thoemke^{1,2}, Finn-Hugo Markussen^{3,4}, Laura D. Mathies^{3,4,*}, Judith Kimble^{3,4} and David Zarkower^{1,2,†}

¹Department of Genetics, Cell Biology and Development, University of Minnesota, 321 Church Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

²Developmental Biology Center, University of Minnesota, 321 Church Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

³Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin, 433 Babcock Drive, Madison, WI 53706-1544, USA

⁴Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Wisconsin, 433 Babcock Drive, Madison, WI 53706-1544, USA

*Present address: Department of Genetics, North Carolina State University, 3513 Gardner Hall, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

†Author for correspondence (e-mail: zarkower@gene.med.umn.edu)

Accepted 25 November 2003

Development 131, 1425-1436 Published by The Company of Biologists 2004 doi:10.1242/dev.01012

Summary

In sex determination, globally acting genes control a spectrum of tissue-specific regulators to coordinate the overall development of an animal into one sex or the other. In mammals, primary sex determination initially occurs in the gonad, with the sex of other tissues specified as a secondary event. In insects and nematodes, globally acting regulatory pathways have been elucidated, but the more tissue- and organ-specific downstream effectors of these pathways remain largely unknown. We focus on the control of sexual dimorphism in the C. elegans gonad. We find that the forkhead transcription factor FKH-6 promotes male gonadal cell fates in XO animals. Loss-of-function fkh-6 mutant males have feminized gonads and often develop a vulva. In these mutant males, sex-specific cell divisions and migrations in the early gonad occur in the hermaphrodite mode, and hermaphrodite-specific gonadal markers are expressed. However, sexual transformation is not complete and the male gonad is malformed. By contrast, fkh-6 mutant hermaphrodites exhibit no sign of sex reversal. Most fkh-6 hermaphrodites form a two-armed symmetrical gonad resembling that of the wild type, but differentiation of the spermatheca and uterus is variably abnormal. The function of fkh-6 appears to be restricted to the gonad: fkh-6 mutants have no detectable defects in extra-gonadal tissues, and expression of a rescuing fkh-6 reporter is gonad-specific. Genetic and molecular analyses place fkh-6 downstream of tra-1, the terminal regulator of the global sex determination pathway, with respect to the first gonadal cell division. We conclude that fkh-6 regulates gonadogenesis in both sexes, but is male specific in establishing sexual dimorphism in the early gonad.

Key words: Sex determination, Gonad, Gonadogenesis, *C. elegans*, Forkhead

Introduction

Sex determination is the process by which cells, tissues and indeed animals are directed to develop as one of two sexes. The gonad must be specialized to support different modes of gametogenesis in each sex, and development of other tissues and organs also is sexually dimorphic in most animals. For sexual reproduction to occur, not only must the gonad differentiate into a functional testis or ovary, but other sexually dimorphic tissues must also adopt the same mode as the gonad, either male or female. Two general strategies have evolved to ensure that sexual dimorphism of the gonad is coordinated with that of other tissues. In vertebrates, the gonad itself plays a dominant role: primary sex determination initially occurs in the gonad, which then secretes hormones that induce appropriate sexual differentiation of other tissues (reviewed by Nef and Parada, 2000). Consequently, master regulatory genes like the mammalian testis determining gene Sry, although expressed only in the gonad, initiate a process that masculinizes the entire body. A second strategy, which is used by insects and nematodes, relies on more direct control of target tissue differentiation. Although cell-cell interactions play a role (Hunter and Wood, 1992), master regulators such as *tra-1* of *C. elegans* or *Sex lethal* of *Drosophila* are expressed in all sexually dimorphic tissues, both gonadal and extra-gonadal, and cell-autonomously control their sexual differentiation (reviewed by Cline and Meyer, 1996). Despite differences in how sex determination is coordinated, some aspects of the process may be evolutionarily conserved between phyla: members of a protein family sharing the DM DNA-binding domain regulate sex determination in vertebrates, insects and nematodes (Erdman and Burtis, 1993; Lints and Emmons, 2002; Matsuda et al., 2002; Nanda et al., 2002; Raymond et al., 2000; Raymond et al., 1998).

The global regulators of sexual development presumably act via more tissue-restricted downstream genes. Indeed, several tissue-specific sexual regulators have been identified in worms and flies; for example, in the nematode *C. elegans* the DM domain protein MAB-3 controls male development of the intestine and parts of the nervous system (Shen and Hodgkin, 1988; Yi et al., 2000), the related protein MAB-23 regulates

male development of mating muscles, nervous system and posterior hypodermis (Lints and Emmons, 2002), and FOG-1 and FOG-3 act in the germline to promote spermatogenesis (Barton and Kimble, 1990; Ellis and Kimble, 1995). Similarly, in *Drosophila*, Fruitless controls male development of the CNS and musculature (Ito et al., 1996; Ryner et al., 1996), Takeout acts in fat cells in the head to promote male courtship behavior (Dauwalder et al., 2002) and Dissatisfaction regulates courtship behavior in the nervous system of both sexes (Finley et al., 1997). Although the action of such proteins begins to reveal how global regulators impose sexual dimorphism on individual tissues, the downstream regulators remain unknown in many tissues. In particular, no gonad-specific sexdetermining gene has been identified in any invertebrate, despite the central role in sexual reproduction of the gonad and its highly dimorphic anatomy in most species.

This paper focuses on control of sexual dimorphism in the gonad of C. elegans. The two sexes of C. elegans are the selffertilizing hermaphrodite (chromosomally XX) and the male (XO). Hermaphrodites can be considered females with sperm, a view underscored by the existence of closely related nematodes that reproduce as typical females and males. Therefore, C. elegans sex determination is likely to rely on a primal male/female control mechanism, with more recent regulatory modifications that permit hermaphroditism (Fitch and Thomas, 1997). Arguably the most crucial global regulator of sex determination in C. elegans is tra-1, which promotes female development in hermaphrodites and encodes TRA-1A, a zinc-finger protein of the Ci/GLI class of transcription factors (Hodgkin, 1987; Zarkower and Hodgkin, 1992). tra-1 activity is essential for all aspects of female sex determination in somatic cells and also plays a crucial role in the germline (Hodgkin, 1987; Schedl et al., 1989). TRA-1A controls some downstream targets by direct transcriptional repression (Chen and Ellis, 2000; Conradt and Horvitz, 1999; Yi et al., 2000). The picture emerges, therefore, that TRA-1A promotes female cell fates by repressing the expression or activity of genes that otherwise would direct male development in specific tissues (reviewed by Zarkower, 2001).

Despite major differences in mature gonad morphology between the two sexes, most regulators of gonadogenesis identified so far play similar roles in both sexes (Hubbard and Greenstein, 2000; Friedman et al., 2000; Mathies et al., 2003; Miskowski et al., 2001; Siegfried and Kimble, 2002). Indeed, even tra-1, in addition to its essential role in directing hermaphrodite gonadal development, also has a minor role in male gonadogenesis (Hodgkin, 1987; Schedl et al., 1989) (L.M. et al., unpublished). Therefore, sexual dimorphism in the gonad may not arise by execution of unrelated parallel developmental programs in each sex, but rather by sex-specific modulation of an underlying common gonadogenesis program. In this respect, control of C. elegans gonadogenesis may resemble genital disc development in Drosophila, in which the Doublesex branch of the sex-determination pathway sexspecifically modulates the response to cell signaling pathways found in both sexes (reviewed by Christiansen et al., 2002).

In the work described here, we identify the forkhead transcription factor FKH-6 as a regulator of sexual dimorphism in the *C. elegans* gonad. The gonad of *fkh-6* mutant males is feminized: hermaphrodite-specific gonadal reporters are expressed; a vulva is frequently present; and early

gonadogenesis resembles that of hermaphrodites. By contrast, the overall morphology of mutant hermaphrodite gonads is normal in most animals, although mutants are infertile, because of defects in the differentiation of the hermaphrodite somatic gonad. Extra-gonadal tissues in both sexes appear to be normal in *fkh-6* mutants, and *fkh-6* reporters are expressed only in the gonad. Genetic and molecular analyses suggest that *fkh-6* acts downstream of *tra-1* in the first division of the somatic gonadal precursor cells, which establishes gonadal sexual dimorphism. Collectively, these results indicate that FKH-6 is an organ-specific regulator of sexual dimorphism.

Materials and methods

Strains and genetic methods

C. elegans strains were cultured and genetically manipulated as described previously (Sulston and Hodgkin, 1988). Strains were maintained and experiments conducted at 20°C unless otherwise stated. Most strains included a him-8(e1489) or him-5(e1490) high incidence of male mutation. The following mutations were used in this study: LGII, bli-2(e768); lin-31(n301); unc-4(e120); tra-2(e1095); LGIII, tra-1(e1099); LGIV, him-8(e1489); LGV, him-5(e1490).

Integrated transgene arrays were as follows: qIs56[lag-2::gfp, unc-119+]V; ayIs2[egl-15::gfp, dpy-20+]IV; ezIs1[K09C8.2::gfp, pRF4]X; bxIs13[egl-5::gfp, lin-15+]X;

syIS50[cdh-3::gfp, dpy-20+]; tnIs5[lim-7::gfp, pRF4]; leIs8[pes-8::gfp; pRF4];

 $ez Is 2 [fkh-6(pro)::gfp,\ unc-119+] III;$

and qIs76[tra-1::gfp, pRF4].

Extrachromosomal transgene arrays were leEx780[*ZK813.3*::gfp, pRF4]; and ezEx133[*fkh-6*(FL)::gfp, pRF4].

fkh-6 mutations were maintained in trans to the balancer mIn1[dpy-10(e128) mIs14]II, where mIs14 carries the integrated array ccEx9747. ccEx9747 is an extrachromosomal array composed of three GFP constructs, one driven by a gut-specific enhancer and the others by myo-2 and pes-10 promoters (Edgley and Riddle, 2001). Heterozygotes were identified as animals of normal size with GFP expression in the pharynx and intestine, and mutants were identified as animals of normal size lacking GFP expression in these tissues.

Isolation, mapping, and genetic manipulation of *fkh-6* mutations

fkh-6(ez16) was isolated in an F2 EMS mutagenesis screen (Sulston and Hodgkin, 1988) of him-8(e1489) animals carrying the integrated array ezIs1, which contains the male seminal vesicle and vas deferens marker K09C8.2::gfp. K09C8.2 was identified in a cDNA microarray screen for sex-enriched L4 mRNAs, comparing XX wild-type (N2) hermaphrodites with XX pseudomales (tra-2; xol-1) (K.T., W. Yi, V. Reinke, and D.Z., unpublished). Mutant lines with abnormal or absent K09C8.2::gfp expression were identified using a dissecting microscope with fluorescence optics. The fkh-6(q641) allele was isolated in a F2 EMS mutagenesis screen of him-5(e1490) animals for gonadogenesis defects.

The ez16 and q641 mutations were mapped between lin-31 and let-172 on LGII by three-factor mapping, and further localized by deficiency mapping. The deficiencies maDf4, nDf3 and nDf4 complemented ez16 and q641, whereas ccDf4, ccDf7, ccDf5 and ccDf1 failed to complement. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) recombinant mapping (Wicks et al., 2001) placed ez16 to the left of a polymorphism contained in the cosmid M03A1. A cosmid from this region (B0286) was injected with pRF4 [rol-6(su1006sd)] (Mello et al., 1991) into ez16/mln1 hermaphrodites and found to rescue the ez16 mutation. Fertile fkh-6 homozygous hermaphrodites and fkh-6 males with normal gonadal morphology were scored as positive for rescue.

A PCR fragment containing 6.7 kb of fkh-6 upstream of the predicted start codon and 2 kb downstream of the predicted stop codon also rescued ez16 mutants. In addition, RNAi directed against fkh-6 gave the same phenotypes as ez16 and q641. RNAi directed against fkh-6 was performed by feeding bacteria expressing double-stranded RNA corresponding to fkh-6 (B0286.5; a gift from J. Ahringer) as described (Ashrafi et al., 2003; Kamath et al., 2001).

Molecular analysis of fkh-6 alleles

Template DNA for sequencing was made by amplifying exons from genomic DNA prepared from N2 or fkh-6(ez16, q641) animals using the ExpandLongTM or ExpandTM High Fidelity PCR System (Roche). Three independent PCR reactions were sequenced for each exon from each strain using Big-Dye Terminator Ready Reaction Mix (PE/Applied Biosystems). Sequencing of ez16 DNA identified a mutation in the predicted first codon, changing ATG to ATA (methionine to isoleucine). Sequencing q641 DNA identified a G to A change in the first position of intron 2.

To confirm that the fkh-6(q641) mutation affects splicing, RT-PCR was performed. RNA was isolated from N2 or fkh-6(q641) L1 and L4 XO and XX animals using Tri ReagentTM (Molecular Research Center), and cDNAs were prepared using Superscript II (Gibco). Sequencing of PCR products from two overlapping primer sets identified identical transcripts in wild-type XO and XX animals at L1 and L4. To predict the consequences of the q641 insertion for the FKH-6 structure, the primary amino acid sequence of FKH-6 was aligned with residues in the Foxd3 (2HDC) solution structure (Jin et al., 1999) using Clustal-W (Thompson et al., 1994). The insertion caused by the q641 mutation is in helix 2, which is immediately prior to two hydrophobic amino acids that are integral to the forkhead domain hydrophobic core (Phe-32 and Ile-33 in 2HDC; Phe-50 and Ile-51 in FKH-6). By altering the register of helix 2, the insertion is likely to disrupt formation of the hydrophobic core in FKH-6.

Lineage analysis and laser ablations

Cell divisions and migrations were followed by DIC microscopy using standard methods (Sulston and Horvitz, 1977). To determine whether Z1.a and Z4.p can divide, we laser ablated Z1.p and Z4.a (plus two of the four germ cells present at the time, to simplify the analysis). In wild-type males, this ablation does not affect the divisions of remaining cells (Kimble and White, 1981) (J. Kimble, unpublished). Ablations were performed as previously described (Bargmann and Avery, 1995) using a Micropoint Ablation Laser System (Photonics Instruments, Arlington, IL). L1 XO fkh-6(q641) and fkh-6(ez16) homozygotes were obtained as self-progeny from fkh-6(q641)/mIn1; him-5(e1490) or fkh-6(ez16)/mIn1; him-8(e1489) mothers. XO animals were identified based on the presence of an enlarged B blast cell. L1 fkh-6(q641); tra-1(e1099) double homozygotes were identified as self-progeny with a large B cell from fkh-6(q641)/mIn1; tra-1(e1099)/+ mothers.

fkh-6 reporters

fkh-6(pro)::gfp (pWC1) was made by inserting a genomic DNA PCR fragment, extending from 6708 bp upstream of the predicted start codon to 239 bp downstream, into the pPD95.69 GFP vector (gift of A. Fire). The fkh-6(FL)::gfp (pWC2) rescuing GFP was made by inserting a genomic DNA PCR fragment, from 6708 upstream of the predicted start codon to 3402 downstream, into pPD95.67. Transgenic strains were created by microinjection as previously described (Mello and Fire, 1995). pWC1 was injected with pMM106b (unc-119+; a gift from D. Pilgrim) into unc-119(ed3) worms to generate ezEx147, and this strain was subsequently integrated to generate ezIs2. pWC2 was injected with pRF4 (Mello et al., 1991) into fkh-6(ez16) to generate the extrachromosomal array ezEx133.

pWC1 contains a single consensus TRA-1A binding site. pWC7, a derivative of this reporter with the consensus sequence changed from TTGGTGGTC to TTCTGCAGC was made by site-directed mutagenesis with the QuikChangeTM Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene).

Genetic mosaic analysis

fkh-6(ez16) mutant hermaphrodites carrying an extrachromosomal array of fkh-6(FL)::gfp and pRF4 were assayed for the ability to lay eggs, indicating rescue of the fkh-6 somatic gonadal defects. Progeny of rescued animals were examined for viability and inheritance of pRF4 (which causes a dominant roller, or Rol, phenotype). Five hermaphrodites produced large broods of fully viable mutant progeny, all non-Rol. In these hermaphrodites, the extrachromosomal array must have been lost, prior to the embryonic cell division forming P4, the blastomere from which the germline precursors Z2 and Z3 derive. Male and hermaphrodite progeny of germline mosaic hermaphrodites had the same gonadal phenotypes as homozygous fkh-6 progeny from fkh-6 heterozygotes. This indicates that any maternal contribution of FKH-6 to the germline is not functionally significant.

tra-1 reporter

The tra-1::gfp reporter (pJK876) was generated by inserting a PstI to BamHI fragment from cosmid F56C2 into pPD96.04 (a gift from A. Fire). This construct contains 8138 bp upstream of the tra-1 start codon and fuses the first six amino acids of TRA-1 to GFP and β GAL. pJK876 was injected with pRF4 to generate qEx480, which was integrated to make qIs76.


Results

A forkhead transcription factor required for male gonadal morphogenesis

To find regulators of sexual dimorphism of the C. elegans gonad, we screened for mutants with sex-specific gonadal defects. In these screens, we examined F2 progeny of mutagenized hermaphrodites for mutations that either disrupted expression of the male seminal vesicle and vas deferens marker K09C8.2::gfp, or altered the morphology of the male gonad. Among the mutations identified were the alleles ez16 and q641, on which we focus here. Both mutations are recessive and map between lin-31 and let-172 on LGII; they also fail to complement, indicating that they are mutations in the same gene (see Materials and methods).

We cloned the gene defective in ez16 and q641 by a combination of fine genetic mapping, cosmid rescue and RNAi (Materials and methods). The gene identified was fkh-6, one of a previously described family of C. elegans forkhead-related genes (Hope et al., 2003). The FKH-6 protein contains 323 amino acids, including a 96 amino acid region with high similarity to the DNA-binding domain of the forkhead/wingedhelix family of transcription factors (Hope et al., 2003; Weigel and Jackle, 1990) (Fig. 1A). Sequencing genomic DNA from ez16 and q641 homozygotes revealed their molecular lesions: ez16 is a G-to-A transition predicted to alter the initiation codon from methionine to isoleucine, and q641 is a G-to-A transition at the first nucleotide of intron 2, predicted to affect splicing between exons 2 and 3 (Fig. 1A). To confirm the effect of q641 on splicing, we sequenced fkh-6 cDNAs prepared by RT-PCR from wild-type and fkh-6(q641) L1 XO animals, and from mixed-stage fkh-6(q641) XX animals. fkh-6(q641) cDNA has a six nucleotide insertion at the junction of exons 2 and 3, owing to use of a downstream cryptic 5' splice site. This is predicted to insert two amino acids into helix 2 of the forkhead domain. Alignment of FKH-6 to known forkhead domain structures (Materials and methods) suggests that the q641

Fig. 1. *fkh-6* is required for gonadal development. (A) Molecular basis of fkh-6 mutations. Boxes indicate exons; lines indicate introns. The forkhead domain (black) is encoded by two exons. Arrows indicate locations of mutations. The ez16 and q641 alleles are both single base changes, indicated in red: ez16 affects the ATG start codon and q641 affects the predicted splice donor site of the second intron. The q641 transcript is spliced aberrantly, resulting in a two amino acid insertion in the forkhead domain (see text). (B,C) Adult XO males stained with DAPI to highlight gonadal nuclei. (B) Wild-type adult male gonad with elongate, J-shaped gonad. (C) fkh-6(ez16) adult male with disorganized gonad that has failed to elongate. Sperm are indicated by arrow. (D-F) Male gonads, differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. (D) Wild-type adult male with germline (gl), mature sperm, seminal vesicle (sv) and vas deferens (vd) indicated. (E) fkh-6(ez16) adult male with vulva indicated (arrowhead). (F) fkh-6(ez16) male at higher magnification, with mature sperm indicated (arrow). (G) Wild-type young adult XX hermaphrodite showing posterior gonad arm, with spermatheca (spth), oocytes (ooc) and embryo (emb) indicated. (H) Young adult fkh-6(ez16) hermaphrodite; embryo is



lodged in spermatheca and fertilized eggs, and oocytes can be seen backing up behind embryo. (I,J) Adult hermaphrodites stained with DAPI. (I) Wild-type adult hermaphrodite with two gonad arms indicated by brackets. (J) *fkh-6* adult hermaphrodite showing the one-armed gonad phenotype that occurs in ~10% of XX mutants. (K,L) Early L3 wild-type and *fkh-6* hermaphrodites at ten-cell somatic gonad primordium (SPh) stage. Dorsal and ventral uterine precursor cells are indicated by triangles; larger sheath/spermathecal precursor cells (SS) are indicated by arrow. (K) Wild-type early L3 hermaphrodite. Three of the six dorsal and ventral uterine precursor cells are visible, as is one of the four SS cells (other SPh cells are out of focal plane or obscured by intestine). (L) *fkh-6* early L3 hermaphrodite showing normal position of SPh cells. Three of six dorsal and ventral uterine precursors are visible, including differentiating anchor cell (AC). Two of four SS cells are in focal plane. (M) Wild-type adult male tail, with sensory rays and other copulatory structures. (N) *fkh-6* adult male tail, showing normal morphology. (O) Ventrolateral view of wild-type adult male showing *egl-15::gfp* reporter expression in sext basels in the tail (Harfe et al., 1998).

(P) Ventral view of fkh-6 adult male expressing egl-15::gfp in sex muscles with normal morphology.

insertion destabilizes the hydrophobic core of the forkhead domain by shifting the orientation of two conserved hydrophobic residues in helix 2 (Phe-50 and Ile-51). The two fkh-6 alleles have essentially identical phenotypes, either as homozygotes or in trans to a deficiency, and closely resemble fkh-6(RNAi); in addition, fkh-6(RNAi) of fkh-6 homozygotes does not enhance the mutant phenotype (Table 1, and data not shown). Collectively, these results indicate that both fkh-6 alleles are strong loss-of-function mutations and may be null.

The gonadal defects in *fkh-6* mutant males are severe. Whereas wild-type adult males have an elongate one-armed

testis organized into distinct structures (Fig. 1B,D), *fkh-6* males have a gonad of variable size with no apparent elongation and with no obvious gonadal tissue organization (Fig. 1C,E,F). Spermatogenesis occurs in mutant males and mature sperm often develop (Fig. 1F), although the male germ line is disorganized, presumably owing to the severe dysgenesis of the somatic gonad. Strikingly, ~25% of *fkh-6* males have a vulva, and occasional animals (<1%) have two vulvae (Table 1, Fig. 1E,F).

The gonadal defects in fkh-6 mutant hermaphrodites, by contrast, do not include sexual transformation and usually

Table 1. fkh-6 adult gonad phenotypes

	M	Male gonad morphology (%)				Hermaphrodite gonad morphology (%)				
Genotype*	Wild type	Not elongated	Vulva	n	Two arms	One arm	No arm	n		
Wild type	100	0	0	104	100	0	0	146		
fkh-6(ez16)	0	100	26	211	90	9	1	312		
fkh-6(q641)	0	100	25	198	92	7	1	326		
fkh-6(ez16)/ccDf4	0	100	21	122	94	6	0	247		
fkh-6(q641)/ccDf4	0	100	28	119	96	4	0	113		

^{*}All strains harbor the high incidence of male mutation him-8(e1489) or him-5(e1490).

Table 2. Leader cells in fkh-6 males and hermaphrodites (%)*

	0	1	2	0	1	2	3+	
$Genotype^{\dagger}$	LC	LC	LC	DTC	DTC	DTC	DTC	n
lag-2::gfp XO	1	98	1	0	4	96	0	70
fkh-6(q641); lag-2::gfp XO	94	6	0	5	14	33	48	64
lag-2::gfp XX	na	na	na	0	3	97	0	40
fkh-6(q641); lag-2::gfp XX	na	na	na	0	16	83	1	97

^{*}Linker cell (LC) and distal tip cell (DTC) were scored by GFP fluorescence; LCs scored as intense expression with typical LC morphology, DTCs in hermaphrodite observed as bright cells at the anterior and posterior end. DTCs in males are observed as small, faint expression cells.

na, not applicable.

do not affect overall gonadal morphology. Wild-type hermaphrodites have two symmetrical ovotestes with sheath, spermatheca and uterus (Fig. 1G,I; Table 1), and most fkh-6 hermaphrodites have this same morphology (Fig. 1H; Table 1). In addition, sheath/spermathecal precursors are present at the normal time and position in early L3 (Fig. 1K,L; n=30) and form a spermatheca that expresses the spermathecal marker leEx780 (n=50; data not shown). However, during L4, sheath/spermathecal daughters assume unusual and variable positions, and the adult spermatheca appears blocked, with embryos accumulating in the proximal sheath (Fig. 1H). A rarer hermaphrodite defect is the presence of only one of the two normal ovotestes (compare Fig. 1I with 1J; Table 1), which appears to result from the loss of a distal tip cell (see below). We conclude that fkh-6 affects gonadogenesis in both sexes, but its affect on overall gonadal morphogenesis is largely male specific.

Gametogenesis appears normal in fkh-6 hermaphrodites: spermatogenesis is followed by oogenesis and fertilization occurs (Fig. 1H). However, embryonic development arrests prior to hatching and all fkh-6 hermaphrodites are infertile (n>500). This maternal effect lethality could reflect a requirement in the somatic gonad, the germline, or the early embryo. To distinguish between these possibilities, we analyzed germ line mosaics (Materials and methods). In these animals, a rescuing fkh-6 array was present in the mother but was not transmitted to progeny, indicating loss of the array in the embryonic cell lineage leading to the germline (n=5). All germline mosaic hermaphrodites produced large broods of viable fkh-6 mutant progeny, demonstrating that neither germ line nor zygotic expression of fkh-6 is required for viability. Instead, we suggest that the embryonic lethality results from somatic gonadal defects, perhaps owing to insufficient support of developing oocytes by somatic cells.

The fkh-6 defects appear to be restricted to the gonad. Hermaphrodites and males are of normal size and have a growth rate similar to that of wild type. In addition, we observed no obvious defects in male tail differentiation (Fig. 1M,N) or sex muscle morphology and function (Fig. 1O,P). Males also display normal mating behavior, and do not accumulate yolk, indicating that the nervous system and intestine, respectively, are not feminized (data not shown).


fkh-6 males lack gonadal leader cells

Gonadal morphogenesis is controlled by 'leader' cells, which guide elongation of the growing gonadal arms (Kimble and White, 1981). In males, the 'linker' cell has leader function, and two male distal tip cells (DTCs) control germline proliferation. The severe defects in elongation of fkh-6 male gonads suggested that the linker cell might be missing or defective. To test this, we used lag-2::gfp, a marker intensely expressed in the large round linker cell and more faintly in the two small flat male distal tip cells (Siegfried and Kimble, 2002). Most fkh-6(q641) males lacked cells intensely expressing lag-2::gfp with linker cell morphology (94%, n=64), and many had abnormal numbers of DTCs (Table 2). Because the linker cell and male DTCs are generated during L1, these results suggest that fkh-6 acts early in male gonadogenesis.

We also examined the generation of leader cells in hermaphrodites, again using lag-2::gfp. In hermaphrodites, one DTC resides at the distal end of each ovotestis. Hermaphrodite DTCs are bifunctional, controlling germline proliferation, as in males, and also guiding elongation of each growing gonadal arm (Kimble and White, 1981). Almost all wild-type hermaphrodites (97%, n=40, Table 2), and most fkh-6 hermaphrodites (83%, n=97, Table 2) had two lag-2::gfpexpressing DTCs. However, some fkh-6 hermaphrodites had only one lag-2::gfp expressing DTC (16%, n=97, Table 2), consistent with the percentage of one-armed fkh-6 hermaphrodite gonads we observed. The DTC deficit was already apparent in L2/L3 larvae: of 53 L2/L3s followed, 48 had two DTCs and also had two arms as adults, whereas five had one DTC and subsequently had one arm as adults. Loss of

[†]All strains harbor the high incidence of male mutation him-5(e1490).

Fig. 2. Sex reversal of cell fates in the fkh-6 male gonad. Left column (A,D,G,J,M): wild type XX hermaphrodites. Middle column (B,E,H,K,N): wild type XO males. Right column (C,F,I,L,O): fkh-6(ez16) mutant XO males. All panels are overlaid DIC and fluorescence images, except those showing leIs8, which are DIC images of β-gal stained animals. For each reporter, fluorescence images are identical exposures for all genotypes. Cell types expressing each reporter in wild-type hermaphrodites are as follows. cdh-3::gfp is expressed in the hermaphrodite anchor cell (AC, arrow), but not in wild-type males. *lim*-7::gfp is expressed in hermaphrodite sheath cells. leIs8 is a lacZ reporter



expressed in hermaphrodite spermathecal cells and some uterine cells. *leEx780* is a *gfp* reporter expressed in spermathecal cells. *ezIS1* is a *gfp* reporter not expressed in hermaphrodites, but expressed in male seminal vesicle and vas deferens (see Materials and methods).

the hermaphrodite DTC might result from an early lineage defect, the death of the DTC or its transformation to another cell type. Distinguishing these possibilities was impractical given the low penetrance. The *lag-2::gfp* transgene was a mild enhancer of one-armed gonads, an effect also seen in wild-type and with other gonadogenesis mutants (Siegfried and Kimble, 2002).

Feminization of cell fates in the fkh-6 male gonad

In wild-type hermaphrodites, vulval development is induced by the anchor cell, a hermaphrodite-specific cell in the somatic gonad (Kimble, 1981). The vulvae present in fkh-6 males suggested that the gonad might be feminized, possessing an anchor cell and possibly other hermaphrodite cell types. We tested this possibility using reporter transgenes specific for hermaphrodite gonadal cells. First, we asked whether fkh-6 male gonads make an anchor cell. In wild-type animals, the cdh-3::gfp marker is expressed specifically in hermaphrodite anchor cells and is not expressed in the male gonad (Pettitt et al., 1996) (Fig. 2A,B). Remarkably, almost all fkh-6(ez16) males (97%, n=64), expressed *cdh-3::gfp* in at least one anchor cell (Fig. 2C), and most had more than one (83%), with an average of four. The anchor cells present in fkh-6 XO gonads demonstrate that the fkh-6 male gonad is feminized and are likely to be responsible for induction of male vulvae. fkh-6 hermaphrodites do not have supernumerary anchor cells: all animals examined had a single cdh-3::gfp-expressing cell in the gonad (n=50). The frequency of vulvae in fkh-6 males is lower than the frequency of cdh-3::gfp-expressing cells (25%) versus 97%). This suggests that some of the cdh-3::gfpexpressing cells may not have full anchor cell function.

We next tested reporters for other hermaphrodite-specific somatic gonadal cell types. We used *lim-7::gfp* to mark production of hermaphrodite sheath cells (Hall et al., 1999),


leIs8 to mark spermatheca and uterine cells (Hope, 1991) and leEx780 to mark spermathecae (gift of Ian Hope). Each marker was expressed in wild-type hermaphrodite gonadal cells and absent from wild-type male gonads (Fig. 2, left and center columns) but all were expressed in fkh-6 male gonads [lim-7::gfp: 33%, n=51 (Fig. 2F), leIs8: 100%, n=35 (Fig. 2I), leEx780: 36%, n=20 (Fig. 2L)]. Expression of these hermaphrodite-specific markers was variable in fkh-6 males with respect to both the number and the position of cells. The expression of multiple hermaphrodite-specific gonadal markers indicates that the fkh-6 male gonad is extensively feminized.

To test whether the feminization of fkh-6 male gonads is complete, we examined expression of two male-specific gonadal markers. We used ezIs1 to score seminal vesicle and vas deferens cells. In wild type, ezIs1 is not expressed in hermaphrodites ($n \ge 1000$) (Fig. 2M), but is expressed in all male gonads from L4 through adulthood ($n \ge 1000$) (Fig. 2N). In fkh-6(ez16) males, a few gonadal cells expressed ezIs1 in 25% of animals (n=52), indicating the presence of seminal vesicle or vas deferens cells (Fig. 2). Similarly, egl-5::gfp, which is expressed in four seminal vesicle valve cells in wildtype adult males (Ferreira et al., 1999), was expressed in one cell in 21% of fkh-6 mutant male gonads (n=30). Neither male marker was expressed in fkh-6 hermaphrodites (not shown). We conclude that the feminization of fkh-6 XO gonads, although extensive, is not complete, and that fkh-6 XX gonads are not masculinized.

Feminization of early gonadogenesis in fkh-6 males

We next asked whether early gonadogenesis during the first larval stage (L1) in *fkh-6* mutants resembles that of males or hermaphrodites. At hatching, the L1 gonadal primordium consists of four cells: two somatic gonadal precursor cells (Z1 and Z4) occupy the poles and flank two central germ line

Fig. 3. Feminization of early cellular events in the fkh-6 male gonad. (A-D) Left, Z1/Z4 cell lineages; right, schematics of early gonadogenesis; L1, first larval stage; L2, second larval stage; a, anterior daughter; p, posterior daughter; AC, anchor cell; LC, linker cell; DTC, distal tip cell. Open arrows, size asymmetry of Z1/Z4 divisions in the wild-type males. In all schematics, Z1 and Z4 are black, and their daughters are either light grey (Z1.a, Z4.p) or dark grey (Z1.p, Z4.a). (A) Wild-type hermaphrodite. Z1 and Z4 produce daughter cells of similar size. Z1.a and Z4.p generate DTCs, while either Z1.p or Z4.a generates an AC. (B) fkh-6 hermaphrodite. Z1/Z4 early lineage appears normal, but is delayed relative to wild type (n=2). (C) Wild-type male. Z1 and Z4 divide asymmetrically to generate daughter cells with a pronounced size asymmetry (open arrows). Z1.p and Z4.a migrate anteriorly, and one or the other generates a LC. (D) fkh-6 male. Z1 and Z4 do not produce daughters with a dramatic size difference (n=8), Z1.p and Z4.a



do not migrate anteriorly (n=8), and Z1.a/Z4.p were able to divide (broken lines, 3/6 cells examined). In animals with Z1.a/Z4.p divisions, extra DTCs were observed. (E,F) DIC micrographs of L1 developing gonad. (E) Wild-type male. Z1.a is smaller than Z1.p. Z4.p (out of focal plane) is similarly smaller than Z4.a. (F) fkh-6 (g641) male. Z4.a and Z4.p are about the same size; Z1.a and Z1.p (out of focal plane) also are similar in size.

precursor cells (Z2 and Z3) (Hubbard and Greenstein, 2000). This four-cell primordium is morphologically indistinguishable in the two sexes; sexual dimorphism is established during and after the first Z1/Z4 division and involves three sex-specific events (Kimble and Hirsh, 1979) (Fig. 3A,C). First, Z1/Z4 daughter cells have a more pronounced size asymmetry in XO than XX gonads: in males, Z1.a and Z4.p are visibly smaller than their sisters Z1.p and Z4.a, while in hermaphrodites these sisters appear similar in size. Second, in males Z1.p and Z4.a migrate anteriorly, while in hermaphrodites they retain their central positions. Third, Z1.a and Z4.p do not divide in males, but do in hermaphrodites.

The four-cell gonadal primordium in fkh-6 males was morphologically normal (n=8), but the subsequent L1 development of Z1 and Z4 resembled that of hermaphrodites rather than males (Fig. 3). Thus, in fkh-6 XO gonads, the first Z1/Z4 division produced daughters of nearly equal size (8/8) cases, Fig. 3E versus 3F), Z1.p and Z4.a failed to migrate (8/8 cases, Fig. 3D), and Z1.a and Z4.p were able to divide (3/6 cases). After this stage the fkh-6 male gonad lineage became variably abnormal and specific cell divisions were not followed further; observation in later larval stages (L2, L3, L4) revealed that the eight-cell somatic gonadal primordium typical of male gonadogenesis did not develop in fkh-6 males. However, the

10-cell somatic gonadal primordium typical of hermaphrodites was not seen either; instead, the gonad became a disorganized mass composed of variable numbers of somatic gonadal and germ cells. To determine whether the extra division of Z1.a and Z4.p generates the extra DTCs seen in about half the fkh-6(q641) males (Table 2), we examined fkh-6(q641); lag-2::gfpmales. Indeed, the extra division of Z1.a or Z4.p could produce extra DTCs (2/4 did not divide and had no extra DTCs; 2/4 did divide and had extra DTCs, Fig. 3D). In summary, cellular events of L1 gonadogenesis are feminized in fkh-6 mutant males, consistent with the feminization of fkh-6 somatic gonadal cell fates we observed in later larval stages.

We also examined L1 gonadogenesis in fkh-6 XX hermaphrodites. The four-cell gonadal primordium appeared normal (n=30), and the first divisions of Z1 and Z4 were typical of wild-type hermaphrodites (n=2). However, Z1/Z4 cell divisions were slower than wild-type in both XX and XO fkh-6 mutants (Fig. 3B,D). By contrast, no delay occurred in the first two rounds of the B lineage (n=8), suggesting that fkh-6 does not affect cell divisions generally.

fkh-6::gfp expression is gonad-specific

To study fkh-6 expression, we made two GFP reporters. One, a transcriptional reporter, fkh-6(pro)::gfp, contains 6.7 kb




Fig. 4. *fkh-6::gfp* is expressed in the early somatic gonad and the spermatheca. (A-H) Expression of integrated *fkh-6(pro)::gfp* array. (A,B) DIC and fluorescence micrographs in four-cell gonad of wild-type L1 male, with *fkh-6::gfp* expression in Z1 and Z4 (arrows). (C,D) Four-cell gonad of wild-type L1 hermaphrodite showing expression in Z1 (arrow). Z4 is out of focal plane. (E,F) Wild-type male gonad in late L1, with expression of *fkh-6::gfp* reporter in four daughters of Z1 and Z4, which have moved to the anterior (bracket). Reporter expression is no longer detectable in hermaphrodites by this stage (not shown). (G,H) Wild-type L4 hermaphrodite expressing *fkh-6::gfp* reporter in spermatheca (spth) and weakly in sheath (sh). Fluorescence outside the gonad in these images is intestinal autofluorescence. Reporter expression is not detected in males at this stage (not shown).

upstream of the predicted initiation codon and fuses the *gfp*-coding region in frame at the beginning of exon 2. The other reporter, *fkh-6(FL)::gfp*, contains the same 6.7 kb of upstream sequences, followed by genomic sequences containing the complete *fkh-6* coding region, and the *gfp*-coding region fused in frame immediately 5' to the FKH-6 stop codon. This reporter fully rescued *fkh-6* male gonadal defects and restored hermaphrodite self-fertility, suggesting appropriate spatial and temporal expression.

The two reporters have the same expression pattern. Both are expressed in Z1 and Z4 of XO (Fig. 4A,B) and XX (Fig. 4C,D) L1 larvae. However, later during L1, the timing of expression is sexually dimorphic: in XO larvae reporter expression persists until late L1 in Z1/Z4 descendants, whereas in XX larvae it decreases in mid L1 and is undetectable by late L1 (Fig. 4E,F, not shown). Later expression also is sexually dimorphic. In L3 hermaphrodites, the *fkh-6* reporters resume expression in sheath-spermathecal precursor cells, continuing through adulthood in spermatheca and weakly in proximal sheath (Fig. 4G,H; data not shown). By contrast, no expression was observed in XO animals past the L1 stage. Reporter expression is consistent with *fkh-6* mutant defects in L1 male gonadal morphogenesis and in hermaphrodite gonadal differentiation during L3 and L4. No

expression was detectable outside the gonad in larvae or adults of either sex.

fkh-6 acts downstream of tra-1 and tra-2 to control early gonadogenesis

The gonad-specific sexual transformation of fkh-6 mutants indicates that fkh-6 is a tissue-specific sex-determining gene. We therefore investigated the relationship of fkh-6 to two key genes of the global sex determination pathway (Fig. 5A). The *tra-1* and *tra-2* genes normally promote female development: XX tra-1 or tra-2 null mutants are strongly masculinized (Hodgkin and Brenner, 1977). Two simple models could account for the role of fkh-6 in promoting male development of the gonadal primordium. First, fkh-6 could block the feminizing activities of tra-1 or tra-2 in males. Conversely, tra-1 or tra-2 could block the masculinizing activity of fkh-6 in hermaphrodites. To distinguish between these alternatives, we examined fkh-6; tra-1 and fkh-6 tra-2 double mutants. The gonads of fkh-6 tra-2 double mutants closely resembled those of fkh-6 single mutants (no elongation, disorganized, frequent vulval induction), indicating that fkh-6 is epistatic to tra-2 and is likely to act downstream of tra-2 to promote male development (Table 3).

The genetic relationship between fkh-6 and tra-1 is more


Table 3. fkh-6 is epistatic to the global sexual regulators tra-2 and tra-1

	Gonad phenotype (%)*							
$Genotype^\dagger$	Elongated wild type	Elongated abnormal	Not elongated	Four- to six-cell gonad	Vulva	n		
fkh-6(q641) XO‡	0	0	100	0	25	198		
tra-2(e1095) XX	100	0	0	0	0	97		
fkh-6(q641) tra-2(e1095) XX	0	0	100	0	28	128		
tra-1(e1099) XX	31	51	18	0	0	51		
fkh-6(q641)/+; tra-1(e1099) XX	17	57	20	6	0	69		
fkh-6(q641); tra-1(e1099) XX	0	0	10	90	0	42		

^{*}Gonad morphology was scored by DIC optics.

[†]XO animals all harbor the high incidence of male mutation *him-5(e1490)*.

 $[\]ddagger fkh-6(q641)$ data are also presented in Table 1.



complex. The terminal phenotype of fkh-6; tra-1 double mutants indicates a synergistic effect on gonadogenesis. Specifically, the entire gonad of most adult fkh-6; tra-1 XX double mutants possessed only ~10 total cells (somatic plus germline) and no vulva, and in fkh-6; tra-1 double mutants observed continuously, gonadal development arrested with only four to six total Z1/Z4 descendants and a similar number of germ cells (n=8) (Fig. 5B, Table 3). Development of nongonadal tissues was not similarly arrested, and these tissues were typical of tra-1 single mutants. This type of very early gonadal arrest has not been seen in either fkh-6 or tra-1 single

Fig. 5. fkh-6 acts downstream of tra-1 in the early gonad. (A) Simplified version of *C. elegans* sex-determination pathway (Hodgkin et al., 1986). The ratio of X chromosomes to autosomes (Madl and Herman, 1979) signals via a regulatory cascade and regulates tra-1, such that high tra-1 activity promotes female somatic development and represses male development. Early genes in the pathway and some genetic interactions are not shown. (B) Gonad is severely underdeveloped in fkh-6;tra-1 XX adult (broken line indicates gonadal boundary). (C-E) Comparison of Z4 daughters in fkh-6, tra-1, and fkh-6; tra-1 mutants. Z4.a and Z4.p are similar in size in fkh-6(q641) XO L1 male, whereas Z4.a is larger than Z4.p in *tra-1(e1099)* XX L1 pseudomale. In fkh-6; tra-1 double mutant XX L1 pseudomales, Z4.a and Z4.p are similar in size. Z1 daughters (out of focal plane) have the same size polarities. (F) Summary of early events of gonadogenesis in fkh-6 and tra-1 single mutants and fkh-6; tra-1 double mutants. Relative sizes of Z1 and Z4 daughters and male-specific anterior migration of Z1.p and Z4.a were scored. Eight Z1/Z4 divisions were scored for each mutant class (fkh-6 XO, tra-1 XX, and fkh-6; tra-1 XX). (G,H) DIC and fluorescence images showing tra-1::gfp expression in the gonadal primordium of fkh-6(q641) XO L1 male. Arrowhead indicates Z4. Reporter also is expressed in Z1, which is out of focal plane. Other brightly expressing nuclei are intestinal. (I,J) DIC and fluorescence images showing fkh-6(pro)::gfp expression in the gonadal primordium of tra-1(e1099) XX L1 pseudomale. Z1 (arrow) and Z4 daughters (arrowheads) express fkh-6::gfp. Z4 daughters are displaced to the dorsal side of the gonad, a common tra-1 defect (L.M. and J.K., unpublished). (K) Summary of fkh-6::gfp and tra-1::gfp expression. Wild-type timing of Z1/Z4 division and anterior migration of Z1.p/Z4.a is indicated at top (unbroken lines). Periods of GFP reporter expression in wild-type and mutant transgenic animals are indicated (broken-ended lines indicate imprecision in timing of expression).

mutants (Hodgkin, 1987; Schedl et al., 1989). We suggest that the two genes may have a partially overlapping function in gonadal cell proliferation.

We next examined the relationship of tra-1 and fkh-6 in controlling Z1/Z4 divisions. We found that Z1/Z4 divisions in fkh-6; tra-1 mutants resemble those in fkh-6 single mutants rather than those in tra-1 single mutants (Fig. 5C-F). The detailed cellular analysis of tra-1 single mutants will be described elsewhere (L.M., unpublished). The Z1/Z4 cell division and movements of their daughters in tra-1 mutants have characteristics typical of wild-type XO males (e.g. asymmetric division, anterior migration) (Fig. 5D,F). By contrast, in both fkh-6 single mutants (Fig. 5C) and in fkh-6; tra-1

double mutants (Fig. 5E), the Z1 and Z4 divisions are not markedly asymmetric, and none of the daughters move to the anterior (summarized in Fig. 5F). Thus, for these early events of gonadogenesis, fkh-6 is epistatic to tra-1, suggesting that fkh-6 acts downstream of tra-1 to specify a male-specific division of Z1 and Z4 and the male-specific anterior migration of Z1/Z4 daughters.

To investigate the relationship of tra-1 and fkh-6 at the molecular level, we employed GFP reporters for each gene (Fig. 5G-J; summarized in Fig. 5K). A tra-1::gfp transgene is expressed in Z1 and Z4 and their descendents, both in wildtype XX and XO L1 gonads (L.M. and J.K., unpublished) and in fkh-6 mutant L1 gonads, with no obvious change in pattern or timing (Fig. 5G,H). This lack of effect is consistent with the genetic epistasis result placing tra-1 upstream of fkh-6 at this early stage of gonadogenesis. By contrast, fkh-6::gfp expression in the L1 XX gonad was extended by removal of tra-1 activity. Thus, whereas fkh-6::gfp in wild-type XX animals was undetectable by late L1, its expression continued into late L1 in tra-1(null) XX pseudomales (Fig. 5I,J); likewise, the L3 to adult expression of fkh-6::gfp observed in wild-type XX hermaphrodites was absent in tra-1 XX pseudomales (not shown). This dependence of fkh-6::gfp expression on tra-1 but not vice versa (summarized in Fig. 5K) is consistent with the genetic epistasis observed in early L1 gonads of fkh-6; tra-1 double mutants. To ask whether TRA-1A might directly repress fkh-6 transcription, we examined the 5' flanking region of fkh-6 present in the GFP reporters, and identified one close match to the TRA-1A DNA-binding consensus (TTGGTGGTC from -6523 to -6531 relative to the initiation codon). However, we did not find this site in the related nematode C. briggsae, and mutating it to TTCTGCAGC, a change that should eliminate regulation by TRA-1A in vivo (Yi et al., 2000), did not affect the level or timing of fkh-6::gfp expression in either sex (data not shown). We conclude that tra-1 affects the timing of early fkh-6 expression, but probably not by direct transcriptional repression (see Discussion).

Discussion

fkh-6 and gonadal sex determination

In this paper, we show that fkh-6 is a gonad-specific sexual regulator that promotes male development: in fkh-6 mutant males, early cellular events of gonadogenesis occur in the hermaphrodite mode and later, when gonadal cells differentiate, they express hermaphrodite markers. The sexual transformations evident in fkh-6 males indicate that fkh-6 is not only required for male differentiation, but also determines male identity of gonadal cells. By contrast, overall gonadal morphogenesis in fkh-6 hermaphrodites is largely normal and sexual identity is unaffected. The function of fkh-6 seems to be limited to the gonad: non-gonadal aspects of male development and behavior appear normal, and fkh-6 reporter genes are expressed only in the gonad. Genetic epistasis experiments indicate that fkh-6 acts downstream of tra-2, and also downstream of tra-1, at least to control early malespecific events of gonadogenesis (male-specific Z1/Z4 division and male-specific migration of Z1/Z4 daughters). Therefore, we conclude that fkh-6 is a gonad-specific sexdetermining gene that acts downstream of the global sex determination pathway.

fkh-6 controls multiple aspects of male gonadogenesis

The L1 gonad in *fkh-6* mutant males is sexually transformed in several respects: the Z1/Z4 divisions lack male-specific size asymmetry, and their proximal daughters do not undergo their male-specific anterior migration. Furthermore, Z1.a and Z4.p, which do not divide in wild-type males, do divide in *fkh-6* XO gonads. The expression of hermaphrodite gonadal markers from L3 through adult stages in *fkh-6* mutant males confirms

the sexual transformation. In these *fkh-6* males, the number and position of cells expressing each gonadal marker gene varies. This is likely to reflect variability in the extent of feminization of the mutant gonad, resulting in a variably intersexual cell lineage. In wild-type males, *fkh-6* reporters are expressed only during L1, suggesting that it is FKH-6 activity during this stage that determines the later male differentiation of gonadal cells.

We have considered two models to account for the range of defects in fkh-6 male gonadogenesis. First, FKH-6 might independently control male-specific asymmetric division, cellular movement and cellular differentiation, canalizing each into the male mode. Alternatively, the initial division of Z1 and Z4 might determine whether subsequent events of gonadogenesis occur in the male mode, and FKH-6 might control the entire process by regulating only this first step. In this latter model, FKH-6 could, for example, regulate a malespecific determinant that is partitioned during the first Z1 and Z4 divisions, leading to male-specific cell migration and differentiation. There are a number of precedents for FKH transcription factors controlling asymmetry and cell polarity. For example, in C. elegans, UNC-130 acts in neuronal precursors to regulate their asymmetric division and confer distinct identities to daughter cells (Nash et al., 2000; Sarafi-Reinach and Sengupta, 2000). Similarly, in Drosophila the Jumeaux protein is required for proper localization and segregation of Numb in neuronal precursor cells (Cheah et al., 2000).

fkh-6 affects hermaphrodite and male gonadogenesis differently

The pattern of early gonadal cell divisions, migration and differentiation appear largely normal in *fkh-6* mutant hermaphrodites until early L3, in sharp contrast with the situation in mutant males. Most importantly, there is no evidence of sex reversal in *fkh-6* hermaphrodites. Normal hermaphrodite structures (sheath, spermatheca, uterus) are present, but malformations become apparent from L3 onwards, and *fkh-6* hermaphrodites are infertile. The onset of defective gonadogenesis correlates with the hermaphrodite-specific expression of *fkh-6::gfp* reporters from L3 onwards. Germline mosaic analysis demonstrates that embryonic viability requires maternal *fkh-6* activity in somatic cells, but not in the maternal germ line or in zygotic cells.

Thus, while *fkh-6* affects gonadogenesis in both sexes, its functions are mostly distinct in hermaphrodites and males. An exception is that early divisions of somatic gonadal cells are slower than normal in both sexes. One possibility is that *fkh-6* controls an early cellular process that not only is intrinsic to the rate of cell division in gonads of both sexes, but also is essential for asymmetric division in the male gonad.

FKH-6 is required in the early XO gonad for male gonadogenesis and cell fate determination, and *fkh-6* reporters are expressed longer in the L1 gonad in males than in hermaphrodites. Is persistent FKH-6 expression sufficient to direct male gonadal fates in hermaphrodites? To address this question, we overexpressed FKH-6 using either of two promoters (W.C. and D.Z., unpublished). Overexpression using a heatshock promoter was highly toxic even with very mild heatshock conditions. Overexpression using the *lag-2* promoter to drive expression of FKH-6::GFP specifically in Z1/Z4 lineages showed no clear signs of masculinization.

In summary, fkh-6 has largely distinct functions in the two sexes. In XO animals it specifies male-specific cellular events in the early gonad and is required for commitment to male rather than female cellular differentiation, whereas in XX animals it controls later gonadal differentiation. In both sexes it affects the rate of gonadal cell division.

fkh-6 links global and organ-specific sex determination

The tra-1 gene encodes the terminal regulator in the global somatic sex-determination pathway (Hodgkin, 1987). We suggest that fkh-6 acts downstream of tra-1 to establish sexual dimorphism during the division of Z1/Z4 and in their daughters. This suggestion is based on two lines of evidence. First, fkh-6 defects in the early L1 gonad are epistatic to those of tra-1; and second, fkh-6::gfp expression in XX animals is extended during L1 by a tra-1(null) mutation. As tra-1 promotes female development and fkh-6 promotes male development, tra-1 activity is likely to inhibit fkh-6 in the early XX gonad, allowing Z1 and Z4 to divide in the hermaphrodite mode. How does this occur? Mutating the only consensus TRA-1A binding site in a fkh-6::gfp reporter had no apparent effect on reporter expression (Fig. 5; data not shown). The simplest conclusion is that the inhibition of fkh-6 expression by TRA-1A is indirect; however, it remains possible that TRA-1A regulates fkh-6 transcription through a binding site we did not recognize or redundantly with another factor.

The roles of fkh-6 and tra-1 are not limited to sex determination. fkh-6 mutants exhibit delayed cell divisions in both sexes, and fkh-6;tra-1 double mutant males have a synthetic phenotype: shortly after the first division of Z1 and Z4, gonadogenesis arrests. By contrast, fkh-6 tra-2 double mutant gonads do not arrest, and they resemble the fkh-6 single mutant throughout gonadal development. This difference suggests that tra-1 and fkh-6 share a function distinct from that of the global sex determination pathway. A simple model is that both genes regulate proliferation of somatic gonadal cells, and are partially redundant for this function. This idea helps reconcile previous observations that tra-1 null mutant gonads, while strongly masculinized, often are smaller than normal and disorganized (Hodgkin, 1987; Schedl et al., 1989), whereas null mutants in tra-2 and other upstream genes develop gonads of normal size and morphology.

Is fkh-6 regulation of gonadal sexual development conserved?

fkh-6 is a gonad-specific regulator of sex determination. Is this role unique to C. elegans, or might forkhead genes be conserved regulators of gonadal sex determination? At least one forkhead gene, Foxl2, is expressed in developing gonads of a variety of vertebrates (Loffler et al., 2003), and is required in the mammalian gonad (Crisponi et al., 2001; De Baere et al., 2001). Like fkh-6, Foxl2 is required in the female gonad in somatic cells that support the germline. However, unlike fkh-6, Foxl2 is not required in the male gonad and Foxl2 mutations have not been shown to cause sexual transformation. It is difficult to assess whether gonadal regulation by fkh-6 and Foxl2 in different phyla reflects evolutionary conservation or is an example of convergent evolution. C. briggsae has an unambiguous fkh-6 homolog (Hope et al., 2003), but sequence conservation of the forkhead domain is insufficient for robust phylogenetic analysis in more distant species, and FKH-6 lacks other conserved sequence motifs that might aid in identifying orthologs.

fkh-6 provides an entry point for elucidating the process of gonadal sex determination in C. elegans. Once functionally equivalent genes are found in other phyla and more components of the fkh-6 regulatory pathway are discovered, the evolutionary history of gonadal sex determination should become much clearer.

We thank Julie Ahringer, David Greenstein, Ian Hope and Michael Stern for providing clones and strains, and Micah Gearhart for help with structure alignments. We are grateful to Julie Illi and Annie Bea Thompson for technical assistance. We thank Tom Hays, Bob Herman and Jennifer Ross for critical reading of the manuscript, and members of the Zarkower and Kimble laboratories for helpful discussion. Some nematode strains used in this work were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is funded by the NIH National Center for Research Resources (NCRR). This work was supported by a grant from the NIH to D.Z. (GM53099) and NIH Pre-doctoral Training Grant HD07480 (W.C. and K.T.). J.K. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- Ashrafi, K., Chang, F. Y., Watts, J. L., Fraser, A. G., Kamath, R. S., Ahringer, J. and Ruvkun, G. (2003). Genome-wide RNAi analysis of Caenorhabditis elegans fat regulatory genes. Nature 421, 268-272.
- Bargmann, C. I. and Avery, L. (1995). Laser killing of cells in Caenorhabditis elegans. Methods Cell Biol. 48, 225-250.
- Barton, M. K. and Kimble, J. (1990). fog-1, a regulatory gene required for specification of spermatogenesis in the germ line of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 125, 29-39.
- Cheah, P. Y., Chia, W. and Yang, X. (2000). Jumeaux, a novel Drosophila winged-helix family protein, is required for generating asymmetric sibling neuronal cell fates. Development 127, 3325-3335.
- Chen, P. and Ellis, R. E. (2000). TRA-1A regulates transcription of fog-3, which controls germ cell fate in C. elegans. Development 127, 3119-3129.
- Christiansen, A. E., Keisman, E. L., Ahmad, S. M. and Baker, B. S. (2002). Sex comes in from the cold: the integration of sex and pattern. Trends Genet. **18**, 510-516.
- Cline, T. W. and Meyer, B. J. (1996). Vive la difference: males vs females in flies vs worms. Annu. Rev. Genet. 30, 637-702.
- Conradt, B. and Horvitz, H. R. (1999). The TRA-1A sex determination protein of C. elegans regulates sexually dimorphic cell deaths by repressing the egl-1 cell death activator gene. Cell 98, 317-327.
- Crisponi, L., Deiana, M., Loi, A., Chiappe, F., Uda, M., Amati, P., Bisceglia, L., Zelante, L., Nagaraja, R., Porcu, S. et al. (2001). The putative forkhead transcription factor FOXL2 is mutated blepharophimosis/ptosis/epicanthus inversus syndrome. Nat. Genet. 27, 159-166.
- Dauwalder, B., Tsujimoto, S., Moss, J. and Mattox, W. (2002). The Drosophila takeout gene is regulated by the somatic sex-determination pathway and affects male courtship behavior. Genes Dev. 16, 2879-2892.
- De Baere, E., Dixon, M. J., Small, K. W., Jabs, E. W., Leroy, B. P., Devriendt, K., Gillerot, Y., Mortier, G., Meire, F., van Maldergem, L. et al. (2001). Spectrum of FOXL2 gene mutations in blepharophimosis-ptosisepicanthus inversus (BPES) families demonstrates a genotype-phenotype correlation. Hum. Mol. Genet. 10, 1591-1600.
- Edgley, M. L. and Riddle, D. L. (2001). LG II balancer chromosomes in Caenorhabditis elegans: mT1(II;III) and the mIn1 set of dominantly and recessively marked inversions. Mol. Genet. Genomics 266, 385-395.
- Ellis, R. E. and Kimble, J. (1995). The fog-3 gene and regulation of cell fate in the germ line of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 139, 561-577.
- Erdman, S. E. and Burtis, K. C. (1993). The Drosophila doublesex proteins share a novel zinc finger related DNA binding domain. EMBO J. 12, 527-
- Ferreira, H. B., Zhang, Y., Zhao, C. and Emmons, S. W. (1999). Patterning of Caenorhabditis elegans posterior structures by the Abdominal-B homolog, egl-5. Dev. Biol. 207, 215-228.

- Finley, K. D., Taylor, B. J., Milstein, M. and McKeown, M. (1997). dissatisfaction, a gene involved in sex-specific behavior and neural development of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 913-918
- Fitch, D. H. A. and Thomas, W. K. (1997). Evolution. In *C. elegans II* (ed. D. L. Riddle T. Blumenthal B. J. Meyer and J. R. Priess), pp. 815-849. Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Friedman, L., Santa Anna-Arriola, S., Hodgkin, J. and Kimble, J. (2000). gon-4, a cell lineage regulator required for gonadogenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 228, 350-362.
- Hall, D. H., Winfrey, V. P., Blaeuer, G., Hoffman, L. H., Furuta, T., Rose, K. L., Hobert, O. and Greenstein, D. (1999). Ultrastructural features of the adult hermaphrodite gonad of *Caenorhabditis elegans*: relations between the germ line and soma. *Dev. Biol.* 212, 101-123.
- Harfe, B. D., Branda, C. S., Krause, M., Stern, M. J. and Fire, A. (1998).
 MyoD and the specification of muscle and non-muscle fates during postembryonic development of the *C. elegans* mesoderm. *Development* 125, 2479-2488.
- **Hodgkin, J.** (1987). A genetic analysis of the sex-determining gene, *tra-1*, in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genes Dev.* **1**, 731-745.
- Hodgkin, J. A. and Brenner, S. (1977). Mutations causing transformation of sexual phenotype in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 86, 275-287.
- Hodgkin, J., Doniach, T. and Shen, M. (1986). The sex determination pathway in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*: variations on a theme. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* 50, 585-593.
- Hope, I. A. (1991). 'Promoter trapping' in Caenorhabditis elegans. Development 113, 399-408.
- Hope, I. A., Mounsey, A., Bauer, P. and Aslam, S. (2003). The forkhead gene family of Caenorhabditis elegans. Gene 304, 43-55.
- Hubbard, E. J. and Greenstein, D. (2000). The *Caenorhabditis elegans* gonad: a test tube for cell and developmental biology. *Dev. Dyn.* **218**, 2-22.
- **Hunter, C. P. and Wood, W. B.** (1992). Evidence from mosaic analysis of the masculinizing gene *her-1* for cell interactions in *C. elegans* sex determination. *Nature* **355**, 551-555.
- Ito, H., Fujitani, K., Usui, K., Shimizu-Nishikawa, K., Tanaka, S. and Yamamoto, D. (1996). Sexual orientation in *Drosophila* is altered by the satori mutation in the sex-determination gene fruitless that encodes a zinc finger protein with a BTB domain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 9687-9692.
- Jin, C., Marsden, I., Chen, X. and Liao, X. (1999). Dynamic DNA contacts observed in the NMR structure of winged helix protein-DNA complex. J. Mol. Biol. 289, 683-690.
- Kamath, R. S., Martinez-Campos, M., Zipperlen, P., Fraser, A. G. and Ahringer, J. (2001). Effectiveness of specific RNA-mediated interference through ingested double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genome Biol.* 2, RESEARCH0002.
- Kimble, J. (1981). Alterations in cell lineage following laser ablation of cells in the somatic gonad of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 87, 286-300.
- Kimble, J. and Hirsh, D. (1979). The postembryonic cell lineages of the hermaphrodite and male gonads in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 70, 396-417.
- Kimble, J. E. and White, J. G. (1981). On the control of germ cell development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 81, 208-219.
- Lints, R. and Emmons, S. W. (2002). Regulation of sex-specific differentiation and mating behavior in *C. elegans* by a new member of the DM domain transcription factor family. *Genes Dev.* 16, 2390-2402.
- Loffler, K. A., Zarkower, D. and Koopman, P. (2003). Etiology of ovarian failure in blepharophimosis-ptosis-epicanthus-inversus syndrome (BPES): FOXL2 is a conserved, early-acting gene in vertebrate ovarian development. Endocrinology 144, 245-251.
- Madl, J. E. and Herman, R. K. (1979). Polyploids and sex determination in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 93, 393-402.
- Mathies, L. D., Henderson, S. T. and Kimble, J. (2003). The *C. elegans* Hand gene controls embryogenesis and early gonadogenesis. *Development* 130, 2881-2892.
- Matsuda, M., Nagahama, Y., Shinomiya, A., Sato, T., Matsuda, C., Kobayashi, T., Morrey, C. E., Shibata, N., Asakawa, S., Shimizu, N. et

- **al.** (2002). *DMY* is a Y-specific DM-domain gene required for male development in the medaka fish. *Nature* **417**, 559-563.
- Mello, C. and Fire, A. (1995). DNA transformation. *Methods Cell Biol.* 48, 451-482
- Mello, C. C., Kramer, J. M., Stinchcomb, D. and Ambros, V. (1991). Efficient gene transfer in *C. elegans*: extrachromosomal maintenance and integration of transforming sequences. *EMBO J.* **10**, 3959-3970.
- Miskowski, J., Li, Y. and Kimble, J. (2001). The *sys-1* gene and sexual dimorphism during gonadogenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev. Biol.* **230**, 61-73.
- Nanda, I., Kondo, M., Hornung, U., Asakawa, S., Winkler, C., Shimizu, A., Shan, Z., Haaf, T., Shimizu, N., Shima, A. et al. (2002). A duplicated copy of *DMRT1* in the sex-determining region of the Y chromosome of the medaka, *Oryzias latipes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 11778-11783.
- Nash, B., Colavita, A., Zheng, H., Roy, P. J. and Culotti, J. G. (2000). The forkhead transcription factor UNC-130 is required for the graded spatial expression of the UNC-129 TGF-beta guidance factor in *C. elegans. Genes Dev.* 14, 2486-2500.
- Nef, S. and Parada, L. F. (2000). Hormones in male sexual development. *Genes Dev.* 14, 3075-3086.
- Pettitt, J., Wood, W. B. and Plasterk, R. H. (1996). *cdh-3*, a gene encoding a member of the cadherin superfamily, functions in epithelial cell morphogenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development* **122**, 4149-4157.
- Raymond, C. S., Murphy, M. W., O'Sullivan, M. G., Bardwell, V. J. and Zarkower, D. (2000). Dmrt1, a gene related to worm and fly sexual regulators, is required for mammalian testis differentiation. Genes Dev. 14, 2587-2595.
- Raymond, C. S., Shamu, C. E., Shen, M. M., Seifert, K. J., Hirsch, B., Hodgkin, J. and Zarkower, D. (1998). Evidence for evolutionary conservation of sex-determining genes. *Nature* 391, 691-695.
- Ryner, L. C., Goodwin, S. F., Castrillon, D. H., Anand, A., Villella, A., Baker, B. S., Hall, J. C., Taylor, B. J. and Wasserman, S. A. (1996). Control of male sexual behavior and sexual orientation in *Drosophila* by the *fruitless* gene. *Cell* 87, 1079-1089.
- Sarafi-Reinach, T. R. and Sengupta, P. (2000). The forkhead domain gene unc-130 generates chemosensory neuron diversity in C. elegans. Genes Dev. 14, 2472-2485.
- Schedl, T., Graham, P. L., Barton, M. K. and Kimble, J. (1989). Analysis of the role of *tra-1* in germline sex determination in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **123**, 755-769.
- Shen, M. M. and Hodgkin, J. (1988). mab-3, a gene required for sex-specific yolk protein expression and a male-specific lineage in C. elegans. Cell 54, 1019-1031.
- **Siegfried, K. R. and Kimble, J.** (2002). POP-1 controls axis formation during early gonadogenesis in *C. elegans. Development* **129**, 443-453.
- Sulston, J. and Hodgkin, J. (1988). Methods. In *The Nematode* Caenorhabditis elegans (ed. W. W. Wood), pp. 587-606. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Sulston, J. E. and Horvitz, H. R. (1977). Post-embryonic cell lineages of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 56, 110-156.
- **Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. and Gibson, T. J.** (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**, 4673-4680.
- Weigel, D. and Jackle, H. (1990). The fork head domain: a novel DNA binding motif of eukaryotic transcription factors? *Cell* **63**, 455-456.
- Wicks, S. R., Yeh, R. T., Gish, W. R., Waterston, R. H. and Plasterk, R. H. (2001). Rapid gene mapping in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using a high density polymorphism map. *Nat. Genet.* 28, 160-164.
- Yi, W., Ross, J. M. and Zarkower, D. (2000). *mab-3* is a direct *tra-1* target gene regulating diverse aspects of *C. elegans* male sexual development and behavior. *Development* 127, 4469-4480.
- **Zarkower, D.** (2001). Establishing sexual dimorphism: conservation amidst diversity? *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**, 175-185.
- **Zarkower, D. and Hodgkin, J.** (1992). Molecular analysis of the *C. elegans* sex-determining gene *tra-1*: a gene encoding two zinc finger proteins. *Cell* **70**, 237-249.