RESEARCH REPORT

Ovary-derived precursor gibberellin A_9 is essential for female flower development in cucumber

Maria João Pimenta Lange* and Theo Lange*,‡

ABSTRACT

Gibberellins (GAs) are hormones that control many aspects of plant development, including flowering. It is well known that stamen is the source of GAs that regulate male and bisexual flower development. However, little is known about the role of GAs in female flower development. In cucumber, high levels of GA precursors are present in ovaries and high levels of bioactive GA_4 are identified in sepals/petals, reflecting the expression of GA 20-oxidase and 3-oxidase in these organs, respectively. Here, we show that the biologically inactive precursor GA_9 moves from ovaries to sepal/petal tissues where it is converted to the bioactive GA_4 necessary for female flower development. Transient expression of a catabolic GA 2-oxidase from pumpkin in cucumber ovaries decreases GA_9 and GA_4 levels and arrests the development of female flowers, and this can be restored by application of GA_9 to petals thus confirming its function. Given that bioactive GAs can promote sex reversal of female flowers, movement of biologically inactive precursors, instead of the hormone itself, might help to maintain floral organ identity, ensuring fruit and seed production.

KEY WORDS: *Cucumis*, Female flower development, Gibberellin signalling, Gibberellin transport

INTRODUCTION

Gibberellins (GAs) form a large group of diterpenoid tetracyclic carboxylic acids, some of which are phytohormones that regulate many plant developmental processes (Fleet and Sun, 2005; Pimenta Lange and Lange, 2006; Yamaguchi, 2008; Mutasa-Göttgens and Hedden, 2009). In higher plants, the final part of the GA biosynthetic pathway is catalysed by enzymes encoded by small multigene families that belong to the class of 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases (2-ODDs) (Hedden and Phillips, 2015). As in other cucurbits, in cucumber two principal pathways exist: a major 'non-hydroxylation' and a minor '13-hydroxylation' pathway (Pimenta Lange et al., 2013). Both pathways require four families of ODDs: GA 7-oxidases (GA7ox), GA 20-oxidases (GA20ox), GA 3-oxidases (GA3ox) and catabolic GA 2-oxidases (GA2ox). In the non-hydroxylation pathway, biologically inactive precursor GA_{12}-aldehyde is oxidised to bioactive GA_4, the major plant hormone in cucumber, by GA7ox, GA20ox and GA3ox. The GA_4 is further oxidised by GA2ox to form biologically inactive GA_{34} (Fig. 1A). The 13-hydroxylation pathway is introduced by an early 13-hydroxylation step, by which GA_{12} is converted to GA_{53}. The GA

TU Braunschweig, Institut für Pflanzenbiologie, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany.
*These authors contributed equally to this work

‡Author for correspondence (theo.lange@tu-bs.de)

M.J.P.L., 0000-0002-3898-6130; T.L., 0000-0003-1294-2647

13-oxidases involved belong to the class of cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (Magome et al., 2013). GA_{53} is further oxidised to bioactive GA_1 and inactive GA_8, in parallel to the non-hydroxylation pathway (Fig. 1A).

Cucurbits form a large plant family, the members of which mostly develop unisexual flowers. Many are important crops, such as cucumber, that frequently serve as model plants for studying hormonal regulation of reproductive development (Pimenta Lange et al., 2012; Boualem et al., 2015). Sex determination and flower development are largely under the control of the plant hormones ethylene and GA, respectively (Cheng et al., 2004; Griffiths et al., 2006; Achard et al., 2007; van Doorn and Kamdee, 2014). Bioactive GA from stamen of bisexual and male flowers is translocated to, and controls the development of, the other floral organs (Koornneef and van der Veen, 1980; Nester and Zeevaart, 1988; Weiss and Halevy, 1989; Goto and Pharis, 1999; Hu et al., 2008; Pimenta Lange et al., 2012). This implies that hormonal control of floral organ development in female flowers is regulated differently than in male flowers, but surprisingly little is known about this process. To address this question, we investigated GA signalling during female flower development in cucumber (Fig. 1B).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Cucumber female flower development

Under our growth conditions, cucumber female flowers appear approximately 5 weeks after sowing. From the day of appearance until fully open, flower development is divided into four stages (Fig. 1B). Approximately 6 days after appearance, flowers reach developmental stage I, when flower buds contain greenish floral organs. Three days later, petal tissues turn yellowish and the flower reaches stage II. Another 4 days later, at stage III, the rapid growth phase starts. One day later, at stage IV, the corolla is fully open.

Endogenous GA precursors accumulate in ovaries and bioactive GAs in sepals/petals

In order to unravel GA status during female flower development, we analysed endogenous GA levels of different floral parts at the four developmental stages by combined gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Fig. 1C, Table S1). At stage I the full flower was analysed, and from stage II the flowers were dissected into pedicel, ovary, sepals together with petals (designated sepals/petals), and stigma tissues. In flower buds at stage I, GA levels are lower than in stages II and III. As in other cucurbits, in cucumber female flowers the levels of bioactive and catabolic GAs of the 13-hydroxylation pathway (GA_1, GA_8 and GA_{29}) are very low, although some GA precursors of this pathway accumulate (e.g. GA_{19} in sepals/petals and in stigma tissues), which might disturb 20-oxidation due to substrate competition (Table S1).

GA levels of the non-hydroxylation pathway are particularly high at stage II and III before the flower opens (Fig. 1C, Table S1). Highest levels of GA precursors (GA_{12}, GA_{15} and GA_9) are found in

DEVELOPMENT

Fig. 1. Gibberellin precursors and GA20ox transcript levels are high in ovary, whereas bioactive GA$_4$ and GA3ox transcript levels are high in sepals and petals. (A) Final part of the GA biosynthetic pathway in cucumber. Gibberellins (GAs) of the major, 'non-hydroxylation' pathway are in black letters. The '13-hydroxylation' pathway is in grey letters. Enzymes of the pathway are in bold. (B) Longitudinal sections of female flowers showing ovary, style and stigma, and sepals and petals at developmental stages I-IV as described in the text. Scale bar: 1 cm. (C,D) Endogenous GA levels (C) and transcript levels of GA-oxidase genes (D) in ovary (O) and sepals/petals (S&P). Stages of floral organ development as described in B. N.S., not significant; *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.005$, t-test. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences ($P<0.05$). Average with s.d. of three (or two where indicated by #) biological replicates. FW, fresh weight.

ovaries, and of bioactive GA$_4$ and catabolic GA$_{34}$ in sepal/petal tissues. Compared with the floral organs, the pedicel contains relatively low GA levels, but GA$_{34}$ and GA$_{51}$ levels are high, which indicates GA catabolism taking place in this organ (Table S1). In stigma, both GA precursors and bioactive GAs are present.

Taken together, these results suggest that ovary and sepals/petals are a rich source of GA precursors and GA hormone, respectively. To further unravel the involvement of the individual floral organs during female flower development, transcripts encoding elements of the GA signalling pathway were quantified.

Ovaries express GA 20-oxidases, whereas GA 3-oxidases are expressed in sepals/petals

The cucumber ovary has high transcript levels for two GA 20-oxidase genes, namely *GA20ox1* and *GA20ox2*, and relatively low levels of GA 3-oxidases and catabolic GA 2-oxidases, which correlates with the accumulation of GA precursors within this organ (Fig. 1D, Table S2). In sepals/petals the transcript levels of one GA 3-oxidase gene, *GA3ox1*, are particularly high at stage II, which correlates with its high bioactive GA$_4$ synthesis capacity (Fig. 1C,D). By contrast, transcripts of genes that encode GA 20-oxidases, which are important for GA precursor synthesis, are low in sepals/petals

(Fig. 1D, Table S2), suggesting GA precursor import from other tissues, such as the ovary. In sepals/petals of the mature flower (stage IV), the levels of transcripts that encode biosynthetic GA7ox1 and GA3ox2 and catabolic GA 2-oxidases (GA2ox2, GA2ox3 and GA2ox4) are high, the latter of which might explain the reduction of biosynthetic GA$_4$ in mature sepal/petal tissues. Transcripts for other putative GA-oxidases (putative GA3ox5, putative GA3ox6 and putative GA2ox6) recently identified in the cucumber genome (Huang et al., 2015) are absent or at very low levels in ovaries and sepals/petals (Table S3). As a consequence, the distribution of GA-oxidase transcripts suggests split GA biosynthetic pathways between ovary and sepals/petals.

In pedicels, transcript levels for one GA 20-oxidase (GA20ox1), one GA 3-oxidase (GA3ox1) and, particularly, two GA 2-oxidases (GA2ox1 and GA2ox5) are high compared with the floral organs, which might explain the accumulation of GA catabolites in the pedicel (Table S1). In stigma tissues, transcript levels of GA-oxidase-encoding genes are not particularly high, with the exception of biosynthetic GA 7-oxidase (GA7ox1) and three catabolic GA 2-oxidases (GA2ox2, GA2ox3 and GA2ox4) in the mature flower (developmental stage IV, Table S2). Similar to sepals/petals, the GA 2-oxidases might account for the decrease in

bioactive and the increase in catabolic GAs in the stigma at this stage (Table S1). However, relatively high levels of GA precursors, as found in stigma tissues from flowers at stage II and III, and of bioactive GA_4, as found at stage III, indicate import from other floral organs, such as ovary and the sepals/petals, respectively.

Transcripts encoding GA receptors (GID1a, GID1b) and DELLA growth repressors (DELLA1, DELLA2, DELLA3, DELLA4) are highly expressed in all floral parts at all developmental stages analysed (Table S4), the significance of which remains obscure in terms of the regulation of female flower development. We conclude that GA concentration is the primary means of regulating cucumber flower development.

Precursor d2-GA_9 moves from ovaries and accumulates as bioactive d2-GA_4 in sepals/petals

Our data suggest the translocation of GAs between ovary and sepal/petal tissues. Local and cell-to-cell transport of bioactive GAs within plants has been observed previously (Hu et al., 2008; Pimenta Lange et al., 2012; Shani et al., 2013) and bioactive GAs can be translocated even over long distances (Katsumi et al., 1983; Eriksson et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2008). However, recently it has been shown that the biologically inactive precursor GA_{12}, a GA precursor emerging earlier in the biosynthetic pathway (Fig. 1A), is the major GA that is mobile over long distances in *Arabidopsis* (Regnault et al., 2015).

To investigate the translocation of GAs, deuterated (17,17-d2) GAs were injected into the centre of ovaries of female flowers at developmental stage III. One day later, at stage IV, flowers were dissected into the different floral organs and GAs were analysed by determining the ratio of characteristic deuterium-labelled to unlabelled ions for each GA by combined GC-MS (Table 1, Table S5). GA_{12} isolated from the ovaries is highly 17,17-d2 labelled, but the deuterium label is weak in GA_{12} extracted from pedicel, sepals/petals and stigma, suggesting that most of the 17,17-d2-GA_{12} stays at the site of injections and only a little moves from the ovary to the other floral organs. Incorporation of the deuterium label into other GAs of the pathway is low, which might indicate that little of the injected 17,17-d2-GA_{12} reaches the site of 20-oxidation in floral organs, including the ovary, and the pedicel.

After injection of 17,17-d2-GA_9 into the ovary, the deuterium label was strong in GA_9, GA_4 and GA_{51} extracted from all floral organs, except in GAs extracted from the pedicel (Table 1, Table S5). These results indicate an efficient translocation of GA_9 (and possibly GA_4 and GA_{51}) from the ovary into the other floral organs, but not into the pedicel. After injection of 17,17-d2-GA_4 into the ovary, GA_4 extracted from this organ was highly 17,17-d2 labelled (Table 1), as endogenous GA_4 levels are low in this organ

(Table 1). Also, GA_4 extracted from sepals/petals was deuterium labelled, indicating translocation of bioactive GA_4 from the ovary into these organs in a manner that could be similar to that of GA_9 (Table 1). However, given that the ovary contains high levels of endogenous GA_9 and low levels of endogenous GA_4 (Fig. 1C), bioactive GA_4 translocation from this organ is likely to be of limited importance for female flower development.

Injection of labelled GAs into the cucumber ovary and the distribution of endogenous GAs in the female flower thus revealed that the biologically inactive precursor GA_9 is the major transported GA from ovary to sepals/petals in cucumber female flowers. Similarly, Proebsting et al. (1992) reported that the biologically inactive precursor GA_{20}, a product of the GA 20-oxidase, is the major transported GA from leaves to apices in pea.

Transient expression of pumpkin GA 2-oxidase injected into ovaries arrests cucumber female flower development

Because no efficient protocol for cucumber transformation is available to confirm the function of specific GAs for female flower development, transient expression of pumpkin catabolic *CmGA2ox1* (Radi et al., 2006) was performed in cucumber ovaries. This catabolic pumpkin enzyme acts on both GA_9 and GA_4 (Frisse et al., 2003) (Fig. 1A). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* harbouring a *CmGA2ox1* construct, either in antisense or sense orientation, was injected into the ovaries at developmental stage II. Five days later, at developmental stage IV, flowers expressing *CmGA2ox1* in antisense orientation develop normally, whereas the development of flowers expressing *CmGA2ox1* in sense orientation is arrested at stage II (Fig. 2A,B) and abort 1 week after the treatment (Fig. 2C). *A. tumefaciens* infection was localised to the ovary without spreading to sepals/petals (Table S6). In flowers, expressing the antisense construct, levels of the four GAs (GA_9, GA_4, GA_{34} and GA_{51}) analysed are high. However, in the arrested flowers expressing *CmGA2ox1* in sense orientation, precursor GA_9 and bioactive GA_4 levels are very low and undetectable, respectively (Table 2).

Application of 17,17-d2-GA_{12} to the petals of flowers expressing the *CmGA2ox1* sense construct in ovaries does not restore flower development (Fig. 2D). However, application of 17,17-d2-GA_9 to the petals fully restores flower development (Fig. 2E), indicating that GA_9 is essential and sufficient for normal female flower development. Only very little of the deuterated GAs were translocated from the sepals/petals/stigma to the ovary and the 17,17-d2 label of GA_{12} was hardly incorporated into other GAs of the biosynthetic pathway (Table 3). These results might indicate a lack of 20-oxidase activity in sepal/petal tissues, as expected on the basis of transcript analysis (Fig. 1D, Table S2). In addition, similar to the situation in ovaries (see above), the exogenously applied GA_{12} might not reach the site of GA 20-oxidation within the petals. However, the 17,17-d2 label of GA_9 was incorporated into bioactive GA_4 and into catabolic GA_{34} and GA_{51}, reflecting high expression of GA 3-oxidase and GA 2-oxidases, as found for sepal/petal tissues at developmental stage IV (Fig. 1D, Table 3).

The absolute requirement of GAs for cucumber female flower development resembles what has been described for bisexual flower development (Goto and Pharis, 1999; Griffiths et al., 2006). Moreover, our results suggest a limited importance of GAs for early ovary development. Two other hormones, ethylene and auxin, are known to control this process (Zhang and O'Neil, 1993) and their translocation from other floral organs might be necessary for ovary development.

Taken together, our results show that ovary-derived GA precursor GA_9, although biologically inactive, moves to sepals/petals, where

Table 1. Deuterated precursor GA_9 moves from ovary to sepals/petals

d2-GA/GA ratio	17,17-d2-GA_{12}		17,17-d2-GA_9		17,17-d2-GA_4	
	Ovary	Sepals/petals	Ovary	Sepals/petals	Ovary	Sepals/petals
GA_{12}	8.7±3.1	1.4±0.8				
GA_{15}	0.3±0.1	0.3±0.1				
GA_{24}	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.0				
GA_9	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.0	3.6±0.7	4.6±0.6		
GA_4	0.2±0.1	0.2±0.1	2.6±0.7	3.9±1.3	97±1.4	5.9±1.3
GA_{34}	0.2±0.0	0.2±0.0	0.5±0.1	0.4±0.0	5.5±1.3	0.8±0.1
GA_{51}	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.0	2.1±0.1	2.1±0.7		

Deuterated 17,17-d2-GA_{12}, -GA_9 or -GA_4 (100 ng each) were injected into ovaries. d2-GA/GA ratio refers to the intensity of characteristic 17,17-d2-labelled relative to unlabelled ions (e.g. d2-GA_{12}/GA_{12}), shown as the average with s.d. of three biological replicates.

Fig. 2. Transient expression of catabolic pumpkin *CmGA2ox1* in cucumber ovaries arrests female flower development, and this is rescued by deuterated GA$_9$ applied to the petals. (A) Representative cucumber female flowers expressing *CmGA2ox1* antisense (100% fully open, *n*=8, corresponding to developmental stage IV, see Fig. 1B) or (B,C) *CmGA2ox1* sense (83% arrested at stage II, *n*=24) expressed in ovaries. See Table 2. (D) *CmGA2ox1* sense expressed in ovaries with an additional 50 ng 17,17-d2-GA$_{12}$ (83% arrested at stage II, *n*=6) or (E) 17,17-d2-GA$_9$ (92% fully open, stage IV, *n*=13) added to the petals. See Table 3. Shown at 5 (A,B,D,E) or 7 (C) days after the treatment.

it is converted to bioactive GA$_4$ just before the rapid growth phase to promote anthesis of the female flower. Our findings also imply that female organs have a more prominent, yet underestimated, role in GA control of flower growth and development. Bioactive GA is necessary for stamen development in early flowers (Hu et al., 2008) and also promotes sex reversal of female flowers (Peterson and Anhder, 1960; Galun, 1961; Shifriss and George, 1964). Translocation of the GA precursor instead of the hormone has recently been proposed for regulating sex expression of fern (Tanaka et al., 2014). In a similar manner, translocation of GA as a biologically inactive precursor might help to maintain ovary identity, while stamen development is suppressed, realising site-specific GA-regulated sepal/petal development in female flowers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Plant material and growth conditions
Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* var. 'Hokus') seeds (Botanischer Garten der Technischen Universität Braunschweig) were imbibed for 2 h and sown in soil:vermiculite (2:1 v/v). Germination and growth conditions were as described for pumpkin (Lange et al., 2005).

Translocation of deuterated GAs
Deuterated GAs (5 µl containing 100 ng 17,17-d2-GA$_{12}$, -GA$_9$ or -GA$_4$ in 0.5% Tween-20) were injected into the centre of ovaries of *C. sativus* female flowers at development stage III (Fig. 2, ovaries 1.1-1.3 cm length). Flowers of each treatment were harvested 1 day later at stage IV, separated into different parts (pedicel, ovary, petals plus sepals, and stigma) and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen.

Transient expression of *CmGA2ox1*
Cells of *A. tumefaciens* EHA105 expressing sense or antisense copies of *Cucurbita maxima* (*Cm*) *GA2ox1* cDNAs (Radi et al., 2006) grown in LB medium plus antibiotics were harvested and resuspended in 10 mM

MES buffer containing 10 mM MgCl$_2$ and 200 µM acetosyringone to a final OD$_{600}$ of 1.0, modified according to Shang et al. (2014). After incubation at room temperature for 2 h, 5 µl of the suspension was injected into the centre of the ovaries of *C. sativus* female flowers at the beginning of developmental stage II (Fig. 2, ovaries 0.6-0.7 cm length). *A. tumefaciens* infection was quantified by real-time PCR using vector- and *CmGA2ox1*-specific primers (Radi et al., 2006). For quantitative analysis of endogenous GAs, 5 days later three full flowers of each treatment were harvested and analysed as described below.

For restoring flower formation, *A. tumefaciens* expressing sense copies of *CmGA2ox1* cDNAs were injected into the ovary as described above and, simultaneously, 17,17-d2-GA$_{12}$ or 17,17-d2-GA$_9$ (5 µl, 50 ng each in 0.5% Tween-20) was applied onto the petals of each flower. Three flowers were harvested 5 days later, separated into ovary and petals/sepals/stigma and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen.

Gene expression analysis
Total RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and real-time PCR analysis were performed essentially as described previously (Pimenta Lange and Lange, 2015). *Actin* (AB010922) was used as a reference gene for normalisation of the real-time PCR assays (Wan et al., 2010). The relative expression level of each gene was averaged over two repeats. qRT-PCR analyses were performed on at least two biological replicates. Primers are listed in Table S7.

Quantitative analysis of endogenous GAs
Quantitative analysis of endogenous GA levels by GC-MS was performed as described previously (Lange et al., 2005).

Table 3. Deuterated precursor GA$_9$ is metabolised in petals

d2-GA/GA ratio	17,17-d2-GA$_{12}$ petal application		17,17-d2-GA$_9$ petal application	
	Ovary	Sepals/petals/stigma	Ovary	Sepals/petals/stigma
GA$_{12}$	0.2±0.1	11.7±4.2	–	–
GA$_{15}$	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.0	–	–
GA$_{24}$	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	–	–
GA$_9$	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.1	0.0±0.0	1.3±0.6
GA$_4$	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.3±0.1
GA$_{34}$	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.0	0.3±0.3	0.9±0.4
GA$_{51}$	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.1	0.2±0.1	5.2±2.0

Deuterated GAs were applied to petals of female flowers expressing transiently CmGA2ox1 in ovaries (see Fig. 2D,E). d2-GA/GA ratio refers to the intensity of characteristic 17,17-d2-labelled relative to unlabelled ions (e.g. d2-GA$_{12}$/GA$_{12}$) for GAs from ovary or sepal/petal/stigma tissues. Average with s.d. of three biological replicates.

Table 2. *CmGA2ox1* in cucumber ovaries reduces endogenous GA levels in the female flowers

GA	*CmGA2ox1* antisense	*CmGA2ox1* sense
GA$_9$	19±3.5	0.9±1.2**
GA$_4$	12±4.8	0.0±0.0*
GA$_{34}$	13±0.3	8.2±2.9
GA$_{51}$	8.1±0.8	3.2±1.1*

Levels are given as ng/g FW. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, *t*-test. Average with s.d. of two (*CmGA2ox1* antisense) or three (*CmGA2ox1* sense) biological replicates (see Fig. 2A,B).

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistics software (IBM, version 24). For experiments shown in Fig. 1C and Table 2 the significance was determined using Student's t-test and in Fig. 1D by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the least significant difference (LSD).

Author contributions

Conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, writing - original draft preparation, review and editing, visualization and supervision: M.J.P.L. and T.L.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at
http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.135947.supplemental

References

Achard, P., Baghour, M., Chapple, A., Hedden, P., van der Straeten, D., Genschik, P., Moritz, T. and Harberd, N. P. (2007). The plant stress hormone ethylene controls floral transition via DELLA-dependent regulation of floral meristem-identity genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 6484-6489.

Boualem, A., Troadec, C., Camps, C., Lemhemdi, A., Morin, H., Sari, M.-A., Fraenkel-Zagouri, R., Kovalski, I., Dogimont, C., Perl-Treves, R. et al. (2015). A cucurbit androecy gene reveals how unisexual flowers develop and dioecy emerges. *Science* **350**, 688-691.

Cheng, H., Qin, L., Lee, S., Fu, X., Richards, D. E., Cao, D., Luo, D., Harberd, N. P. and Peng, J. (2004). Gibberellin regulates *Arabidopsis* floral development via suppression of DELLA protein function. *Development* **131**, 1055-1064.

Eriksson, S., Böhlenius, H., Moritz, T. and Nilsson, O. (2006). GA$_4$ is the active gibberellin in the regulation of *LEAFY* transcription and *Arabidopsis* floral initiation. *Plant Cell* **18**, 2172-2181.

Fleet, C. M. and Sun, T. P. (2005). A DELLAcate balance: the role of gibberellin in plant morphogenesis. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **8**, 77-85.

Frisse, A., Pimenta, M. J. and Lange, T. (2003). Expression studies of gibberellin oxidases in developing pumpkin seeds. *Plant Physiol.* **131**, 1220-1227.

Galun, E. (1961). Study of the inheritance of sex expression in the cucumber. The interaction of major genes with modifying genetic and non-genetic factors. *Genetica* **32**, 134-163.

Goto, N. and Pharis, R. P. (1999). Role of gibberellins in the development of floral organs of the gibberellin-deficient mutant, ga1-1, of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Can. J. Bot.* **77**, 944-954.

Griffiths, J., Murase, K., Rieu, I., Zentella, R., Zhang, Z.-L., Powers, S. J., Gong, F., Phillips, A. L., Hedden, P., Sun, T. P. et al. (2006). Genetic characterization and functional analysis of the GID1 gibberellin receptors in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **18**, 3399-3414.

Hedden, P. and Phillips, A. (2015). Gibberellin metabolism. In *2-Oxoglutarate-Dependent Oxygenases, RSC Metallobiology Series No. 3* (ed. R. P. Hausinger and C. J. Schofield), pp. 367-384. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.

Hu, J., Mitchum, M. G., Barnaby, N., Ayele, B. T., Ogawa, M., Nam, E., Lai, W.-C., Hanada, A., Alonso, J. M., Ecker, J. R. et al. (2008). Potential sites of bioactive gibberellin production during reproductive growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **20**, 320-336.

Huang, Y., Wang, X., Ge, S. and Rao, G. Y. (2015). Divergence and adaptive evolution of the gibberellin oxidase genes in plants. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **15**, 207.

Katsumi, M., Foard, D. E. and Phinney, B. O. (1983). Evidence for the translocation of gibberellin A$_3$ and gibberellin-like substances in grafts between normal, dwarf$_1$ and dwarf$_5$ seedlings of *Zea mays* L. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **24**, 379-388.

Koornneef, M. and van der Veen, J. H. (1980). Induction and analysis of gibberellin sensitive mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) heynh. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **58**, 257-263.

Lange, T., Kappler, J., Fischer, A., Frisse, A., Padeffke, T., Schmidtke, S. and Pimenta Lange, M. J. (2005). Gibberellin biosynthesis in developing pumpkin seedlings. *Plant Physiol.* **139**, 213-223.

Magome, H., Nomura, T., Hanada, A., Takeda-Kamiya, N., Ohnishi, T., Shinma, Y., Katsumata, T., Kawaide, H., Kamiya, Y. and Yamaguchi, S. (2013). CYP714B1 and CYP714B2 encode gibberellin 13-oxidases that reduce gibberellin activity in rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 1947-1952.

Mutasa-Göttgens, E. and Hedden, P. (2009). Gibberellin as a factor in floral regulatory networks. *J. Exp. Bot.* **60**, 1979-1989.

Nester, J. E. and Zeevaart, J. A. D. (1988). Flower development in normal tomato and a gibberellin-deficient (ga-2) mutant. *Am. J. Bot.* **75**, 45-55.

Peterson, C. E. and Anhder, L. D. (1960). Induction of staminate flowers on gynoecious cucumbers with gibberellin A$_3$. *Science* **131**, 1673-1674.

Pimenta Lange, M. J. and Lange, T. (2006). Gibberellin biosynthesis and the regulation of plant development. *Plant Biol.* **8**, 281-290.

Pimenta Lange, M. J. and Lange, T. (2015). Touch-induced changes in *Arabidopsis* morphology dependent on gibberellin breakdown. *Nat. Plants* **1**, 14025.

Pimenta Lange, M. J., Knop, N. and Lange, T. (2012). Stamen-derived bioactive gibberellin is essential for male flower development of *Cucurbita maxima* L. *J. Exp. Bot.* **63**, 2681-2691.

Pimenta Lange, M. J., Liebrandt, A., Arnold, L., Chmielewska, S.-M., Felsberger, A., Freier, E., Heuer, M., Zur, D. and Lange, T. (2013). Functional characterization of gibberellin oxidases from cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L. *Phytochemistry* **90**, 62-69.

Proebsting, W. M., Hedden, P., Lewis, M. J., Croker, S. J. and Proebsting, L. N. (1992). Gibberellin concentration and transport in genetic lines of pea: effects of grafting. *Plant Physiol.* **100**, 1354-1360.

Radi, A., Lange, T., Niki, T., Koshioka, M. and Pimenta Lange, M. J. (2006). Ectopic expression of pumpkin gibberellin oxidases alters gibberellin biosynthesis and development of transgenic Arabidopsis plants. *Plant Physiol.* **140**, 528-536.

Regnault, T., Davière, J.-M., Wild, M., Sakvarelidze-Achard, L., Heintz, D., Carrera Bergua, E., Lopez Diaz, I., Gong, F., Hedden, P. and Achard, P. (2015). The gibberellin precursor GA$_{12}$ acts as a long-distance growth signal in *Arabidopsis*. *Nat. Plants* **1**, 15073.

Shang, Y., Ma, Y., Zhou, Y., Zhang, H., Duan, L., Chen, H., Zeng, J., Zhou, Q., Wang, S., Gu, W. et al. (2014). Biosynthesis, regulation, and domestication of bitterness in cucumber. *Science* **346**, 1084-1088.

Shani, E., Weinstain, R., Zhang, Y., Castillejo, C., Kaiserli, E., Chory, J., Tsien, R. Y. and Estelle, M. (2013). Gibberellins accumulate in the elongating endodermal cells of *Arabidopsis* root. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 4834-4839.

Shifriss, O. and George, W. L. (1964). Sensitivity of female inbreds of *Cucumis sativus* to sex reversion by gibberellin. *Science* **143**, 1452-1453.

Tanaka, J., Yano, K., Aya, K., Hirano, K., Takehara, S., Koketsu, E., Ordonio, R. L., Park, S.-H., Nakajima, M., Ueguchi-Tanaka, M. et al. (2014). Antheridiogen determines sex in ferns via a spatiotemporally split gibberellin synthesis pathway. *Science* **346**, 469-473.

van Doorn, W. G. and Kamdee, C. (2014). Flower opening and closure: an update. *J. Exp. Bot.* **65**, 5749-5757.

Wan, H., Zhao, Z., Qian, C., Sui, Y., Malik, A. A. and Chen, J. (2010). Selection of appropriate reference genes for gene expression studies by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction in cucumber. *Anal. Biochem.* **399**, 257-261.

Weiss, D. and Halevy, A. H. (1989). Stamens and gibberellin in the regulation of corolla pigmentation and growth in *Petunia hybrida*. *Planta* **179**, 89-96.

Yamaguchi, S. (2008). Gibberellin metabolism and its regulation. *Annul. Rev. Plant Biol.* **59**, 225-251.

Zhang, X. S. and O'Neill, S. D. (1993). Ovary and gametophyte development are coordinately regulated by auxin and ethylene following pollination. *Plant Cell* **5**, 403-418.

DEVELOPMENT

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1. Endogenous GA levels (means ± s.d.) in different floral organs during development of cucumber female flowers from, if not otherwise indicated, three biological replicates (in ng·g^{-1} fresh weight). Stages of floral organ development were as described in Figure 1B.

Stages of floral organ development	Weight per floral organ (g)	non-hydroxylated GAs							13-hydroxylated GAs						
		GA_{12}	GA_{15}	GA_{24}	GA_9	GA_4	GA_{34}	GA_{51}	GA_{53}	GA_{44}	GA_{19}	GA_{20}	GA_1	GA_8	GA_{29}
Full flower															
I*	0.04	3.3±1.3	16±2.1	3.3±1.4	4.0±1.1	0.5±0.2	6.9±0.1	3.6±0.1	2.3±1.0	4.2±0.0	10±0.6	0.8±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.1	0.3±0.0
Pedicel															
II**	0.01	4.2	9.7	2.6	7.0	1.5	11	9.3	1.6	1.5	7.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3
III	0.02	2.1±1.1	6.0±2.5	1.6±1.1	5.0±3.4	2.7±1.3	16±5.9	5.5±2.5	0.6±0.2	1.9±0.9	4.1±0.7	0.6±0.1	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.3±0.0
IV	0.03	0.8±0.2	2.4±1.1	0.6±0.4	1.4±0.8	0.4±0.2	11±4.5	4.7±1.3	0.1±0.1	1.1±0.2	3.9±2.2	0.3±0.2	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.1
Stigma															
II	0.02	3.8±2.6	18±1.2	1.3±0.9	5.1±0.9	9.4±4.5	10±6.0	3.4±0.7	2.2±0.3	4.7±0.0	21±8.1	0.7±0.0	0.1±0.1	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
III	0.04	2.0±1.4	12±6.1	2.1±1.3	6.7±1.4	21±10	2.8±1.1	2.4±0.7	3.2±2.1	3.7±1.3	14±3.2	1.3±0.2	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.1
IV	0.04	0.5±0.1	3.6±2.1	1.5±1.0	1.1±0.4	2.0±0.7	10±2.6	3.2±0.5	1.0±0.7	3.9±1.7	15±8.1	1.5±0.3	0.1±0.1	0.0±0.0	0.2±0.2
Ovary															
II	0.11	3.9±2.2	24±14	4.1±1.4	16±4.9	1.6±0.5	4.6±1.0	8.0±2.3	2.0±0.2	3.4±0.8	8.8±3.4	2.2±0.5	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.1	0.8±0.5
III	0.22	4.0±1.6	23±14	3.7±1.2	19±5.6	3.8±0.7	6.0±0.7	8.7±0.7	2.0±0.2	3.8±1.2	8.5±3.4	3.2±0.5	0.1±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.6±0.2
IV	0.44	2.7±1.5	15±9.0	2.9±1.8	12±5.5	2.5±0.6	7.8±1.2	7.3±1.4	0.9±0.5	3.6±1.3	6.3±2.4	9.0±4.1	2.6±1.3	0.6±0.1	1.2±0.5
Sepal/Petal															
II	0.06	1.8±1.1	8.3±3.2	2.9±2.1	1.0±0.2	15±5.6	47±23	4.9±1.0	2.1±0.5	10±4.2	20±10	1.8±0.0	0.3±0.1	0.0±0.0	1.6±0.7
III	0.10	1.3±0.4	8.1±2.8	2.1±1.2	3.4±0.2	51±10	32±14	4.5±1.9	1.9±0.5	6.2±2.3	17±10	2.9±0.5	0.7±0.4	0.0±0.0	0.8±0.3
IV	0.29	0.5±0.0	5.2±2.1	1.2±0.5	0.9±0.2	6.4±0.3	19±4.3	6.8±1.5	0.8±0.4	4.0±1.8	15±6.9	1.6±0.2	0.7±0.2	0.1±0.0	1.9±0.2

Data based on **one or on *two biological samples.

Table S2. Transcript levels (means ± s.d., relative in % to *actin*) of GA oxidase genes in different floral organs during female flower development from two or three ([*]) biological replicates. For gene abbreviations, see Figure 1. Developmental stages and floral organs used for analysis by competitive RT-PCR were as described in Figure 1B.

Stages of floral organ development	*7ox1*	*7ox2*	*20ox1*	*20ox2*	*20ox3*	*20ox4*	*20ox5*	*3ox1*	*3ox2*	*3ox3*	*3ox4*	*2ox1*	*2ox2*	*2ox3*	*2ox4*	*2ox5*
Full flower																
I	$1.2_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$3.0_{\pm0.4}$	$0.8_{\pm0.2}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.3_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$3.3_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$1.0_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$1.6_{\pm0.4}$
Pedicel																
II	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$9.1_{\pm0.4}$	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.3_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$4.6_{\pm1.2}$	$0.3_{\pm0.0}$	$0.6_{\pm0.1}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$15_{\pm3.5}$
III	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$4.3_{\pm1.2}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$2.0_{\pm1.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.1}$	$0.5_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$4.7_{\pm2.9}$
IV	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$3.1_{\pm0.1}$	$0.3_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$2.3_{\pm0.9}$	$0.2_{\pm0.0}$	$0.6_{\pm0.0}$	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$	$3.4_{\pm2.6}$
Stigma																
II	$0.6_{\pm0.6}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.3_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.3_{\pm0.2}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$1.2_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$2.1_{\pm0.3}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$
III	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.1}$
IV	$15_{\pm0.4}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.2_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.3_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.5_{\pm0.2}$	$4.9_{\pm1.1}$	$2.7_{\pm0.1}$	$6.7_{\pm0.1}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$
Ovary																
II	$0.3_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$2.3_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$1.1_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$1.4_{\pm0.9}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.7_{\pm0.4}$
III	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$2.0_{\pm0.2}$[*]	$0.9_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.6_{\pm0.2}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.5_{\pm0.3}$
IV	$0.2_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$3.1_{\pm0.7}$[*]	$1.0_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$1.2_{\pm0.5}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.4_{\pm0.1}$
Sepal/Petal																
II	$0.3_{\pm0.2}$[*]	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.3_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.1_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm0.1}$	$0.6_{\pm0.3}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$1.7_{\pm0.7}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.9_{\pm0.5}$[*]	$0.8_{\pm0.8}$	$1.4_{\pm0.7}$[*]
III	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.8_{\pm0.3}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$[*]	$0.3_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.2_{\pm0.2}$[*]	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$[*]
IV	$7.8_{\pm2.9}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.3_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.1_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$[*]	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm0.0}$	$2.7_{\pm1.2}$[*]	$5.7_{\pm2.8}$[*]	$3.2_{\pm1.0}$[*]	$19_{\pm7.2}$[*]	$0.2_{\pm0.1}$[*]

Table S3. Transcript levels (means ± s.d., relative in % to *actin*) of putative GA oxidase genes (Huang et al., 2015) in different floral organs during female flower development from two biological replicates. For gene abbreviations, see Figure 1. Developmental stages and floral organs used for analysis by competitive RT-PCR were as described in Figure 1B.

Stages of floral organ development	putative *3ox5*	putative *3ox6*	putative *2ox6*
Ovary			
II	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$
III	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$
IV	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$
Sepal/Petal			
II	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm 0.0}$
III	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.1_{\pm 0.0}$
IV	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$	$0.4_{\pm 0.0}$

Table S4. Transcript levels (means ± s.d., relative in % to actin) of gibberellin signalling genes in different floral organs during female flower development from two biological replicates. Developmental stages and floral organs used for analysis by competitive RT-PCR were as described in Figure 1B.

Stages of floral organ development	GID1a	GID1b	DELLA1	DELLA2	DELLA3	DELLA4
Full flower						
I	$4.8_{\pm1.3}$	$5.9_{\pm0.6}$	$28_{\pm2.6}$	$17_{\pm0.1}$	$18_{\pm3.6}$	$8.6_{\pm0.7}$
Pedicel						
II	$5.7_{\pm0.9}$	$4.4_{\pm1.7}$	$12_{\pm1.6}$	$14_{\pm2.0}$	$10_{\pm2.0}$	$9.0_{\pm0.3}$
III	$3.0_{\pm0.0}$	$1.7_{\pm0.3}$	$10_{\pm0.6}$	$8.5_{\pm0.1}$	$7.6_{\pm1.0}$	$7.0_{\pm0.3}$
IV	$4.7_{\pm2.1}$	$2.4_{\pm1.0}$	$12_{\pm0.3}$	$10_{\pm2.8}$	$7.0_{\pm2.3}$	$4.4_{\pm0.0}$
Stigma						
II	$2.9_{\pm0.4}$	$1.7_{\pm1.1}$	$20_{\pm1.6}$	$21_{\pm0.2}$	$35_{\pm4.3}$	$8.5_{\pm0.8}$
III	$2.2_{\pm0.8}$	$0.9_{\pm0.5}$	$34_{\pm5.1}$	$24_{\pm5.9}$	$29_{\pm2.6}$	$5.1_{\pm0.1}$
IV	$7.1_{\pm0.1}$	$16_{\pm5.5}$	$110_{\pm14}$	$29_{\pm2.9}$	$6.3_{\pm1.3}$	$4.2_{\pm0.1}$
Ovary						
II	$2.8_{\pm0.7}$	$3.8_{\pm2.2}$	$20_{\pm3.5}$	$10_{\pm1.4}$	$13_{\pm1.8}$	$5.7_{\pm0.7}$
III	$1.6_{\pm0.2}$	$1.0_{\pm0.1}$	$13_{\pm1.6}$	$8.1_{\pm0.9}$	$11_{\pm1.0}$	$4.7_{\pm0.6}$
IV	$2.4_{\pm0.2}$	$2.3_{\pm0.5}$	$16_{\pm0.8}$	$10_{\pm0.9}$	$13_{\pm0.9}$	$4.9_{\pm0.6}$
Sepal/Petal						
II	$2.5_{\pm0.3}$	$2.6_{\pm1.1}$	$17_{\pm0.1}$	$16_{\pm1.6}$	$12_{\pm2.2}$	$6.4_{\pm0.8}$
III	$1.8_{\pm0.2}$	$2.4_{\pm0.2}$	$15_{\pm0.7}$	$18_{\pm2.2}$	$8.7_{\pm0.2}$	$5.0_{\pm0.3}$
IV	$5.1_{\pm0.5}$	$20_{\pm3.5}$	$48_{\pm0.7}$	$27_{\pm6.7}$	$3.0_{\pm0.1}$	$0.4_{\pm0.1}$

Table S5. 17,17-d2-GA$_{12}$, -GA$_9$ or -GA$_4$ (100 ng each) were injected into the ovaries as described in Material and methods. The ratio of the intensity of characteristic 17,17-d2-labelled ions to unlabelled ions (means ± s.d.) for respective GAs are given from three biological replicates.

	17,17-d2-GA$_{12}$		*17,17-d2-GA$_9$*		*17,17-d2-GA$_4$*	
	Pedicel	Stigma	Pedicel	Stigma	Pedicel	Stigma
d2-GA$_{12}$/GA$_{12}$	1.6$_{±1.4}$	1.2$_{±1.0}$				
d2-GA$_{15}$/GA$_{15}$	0.1*	0.2*				
d2-GA$_{24}$/GA$_{24}$	0.1*	0.1$_{±0.0}$				
d2-GA$_9$/GA$_9$	0.3*	0.1*	0.6$_{±0.3}$	3.6$_{±0.6}$		
d2-GA$_4$/GA$_4$	0.5$_{±0.2}$	0.2$_{±0.1}$	1.1$_{±0.2}$	1.9$_{±0.6}$	0.9$_{±0.3}$	4.8*
d2-GA$_{34}$/GA$_{34}$	0.1*	n.d.	0.1$_{±0.0}$	0.2$_{±0.1}$	2.2*	n.d.
d2-GA$_{51}$/GA$_{51}$	0.2*	0.1*	0.1*	0.4*		

n.d. = neither 17,17-d2-labelled nor endogenous GA were detected or *17,17-d2-labelled and endogenous GA were detected in only one sample

Table S6. Quantification of *A. tumefaciens* infection in ovaries and sepals/petals. Female flowers of *C. sativus* were harvested 5-d after injection of *A. tumefaciens* expressing sense copies of *CmGA2ox1* cDNA into ovaries at the beginning of development stage II. DNA levels (means ± s.d., *CmGA2ox1* relative to *actin*) in ovaries and sepals/petals from three biological replicates.

Floral organ	*CmGA2ox1*/*actin*
Ovary	$19.6_{\pm 2.2}$
Sepals/petals	$0.0_{\pm 0.0}$

Table S7. List of primers used for gene expression studies by real-time PCR.

Gene name	Accession nos	Forward primer (5'-3')	Reverse primer (5'-3')
CsGA7ox1	HE582622	GACACAGGCATTCCAACTGT	GAAGAGGTGCTCCTGGCTTG
CsGA7ox2	HE582623	TTGGATACCCTTTGGATCGT	CTAAAGCCTTGAGGATGGGA
CsGA20ox1	FR720083	TCGGTTCTACGACACCAACA	ACGGCTTCTCGAACTGAATC
CsGA20ox2	HE582624	GATTGGTGGGCAGAGAAGG	GGAATTTTTGGAGGGTGAGG
CsGA20ox3	HE582625	GCCAAAGTCCGGAACAAAC	GACAACGAATGCTTGAGGG
CsGA20ox4	HE582626	AACACAGCGTGTGGAATTTG	CAAGCTTCATCCACCAACC
CsGA20ox5	HE680065	TCCACGCTTCCAAATTAACC	GCTTTCCTCACGAGCTTGG
CsGA3ox1	FR720085	GTTGTGAACCGGACCAGG	GGTGCGCAAAGACGAACG
CsGA3ox2	HE582627	CTGACCCTAACGCAATTTCG	GCAATCCATATCCAGTGACGT
CsGA3ox3	HE582628	GCTTTCCCTTCATTTAGAGACC	TGTGGGGAGAAGGAAGATCA
CsGA3ox4	HE680066	GTTATCGGCTGCGATCAAG	CGAGTCTCCTCCTCTGCTTCC
Putative *CsGA3ox5*	Csa004550*	GTCCCCCTTTCCCTACTCAA	CTTGAGGCCAGAGTTTTTGC
Putative *CsGA3ox6*	Csa7M435470**	TGATCGAGGCCTACAAAACC	GGGGGCATTAGGGAGAGATA
CsGA2ox1	HE582629	CCTCTCCAGCATTTCTCTCG	GTGGATTCTGATTCGAGAGTGG
CsGA2ox2	HE582630	GATCTCTCCCAAAGCAAAGC	GGAAGAAGAAGGTATTCGAGGTC
CsGA2ox3	HE582631	CCTGTAAACGCACTGCTCTG	CTCCGATTGAGGGAGATGG
CsGA2ox4	HE680067	TCTCCCATGACTTCCTTTGC	TTGCTAATGGCGTTTCTTCG
CsGA2ox5	HE983622	GCAAAGTGGAAGAGGTGGAA	GCGGAGAAATTCGAAAATTG
Putative *CsGA2ox6*	Csa059620*	CACAGAGTGGTGGCTTCAGA	GCCAACTTTGTTGCCTGTTT
CsGID1a	HE680068	TGCATCAAGAGCAGTAAAGTCG	CCTCTTGGCCATCATTCTCC
CsGID1b	HE680069	GGCTGGGAGTAATGAAGTCAAC	GCCAGCTGATAAACTCGATTG
CsDELLA1	FR720086	GGAGCATCACCATCTTCATCC	GACCAGCATCCTGAACTTGAC
CsDELLA2	HE680070	ATCTACTACCCCTGGGGATGC	AAGCAGTTCCCATGACCATC
CsDELLA3	HE680071	GACATGGGCAGTCGAAGAG	AAGGAAGTGGATTCAGCTATGG
CsDELLA4	HE680072	AGCAGTGGCAGCTCTTCCT	GGCAAAGTAGCCGTTTGG
CsActin	AB010922	TTCTGGTGATGGTGTGAGTC	GGCAGTGGTGGTGAACATG

Gene code according to *Huang et al. (2015) or **http://www.icugi.org/.