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#### Abstract

Sin1 is a substrate-binding subunit of Target Of Rapamycin Complex 2 (TORC2), an evolutionarily conserved protein kinase complex. In fission yeast, $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ was also identified as a protein that interacts with Spc1/Sty1 stress-activated protein kinase (SAPK) and therefore, this study examined the relationship between TORC2 and Spc1 signaling. We found that the common docking (CD) domain of Spc1 interacts with a cluster of basic amino acid residues in Sin1. Although diminished TORC2 activity in the absence of the functional Spc1 cascade suggests positive regulation of TORC2 by Spc1, such regulation appears to be independent of the $\operatorname{Sin} 1-\mathrm{Spc} 1$ interaction. Hyperosmotic stress transiently inhibits TORC2, and its swift recovery is dependent on Spc1, the Atf1 transcription factor, and the Gpd1 glycelrol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, whose expression is induced upon osmostress by the Spc1-Atf1 pathway. Thus, cellular adaptation to osmostress seems to be important for TORC2 reactivation, though Spc1 and Atf1 contribute to TORC2 activation also in the absence of osmostress. These results indicate coordinated actions of the SAPK and TORC2 pathways, both of which are essential for fission yeast cells to survive environmental stress.


## Introduction

Stress-activated protein kinases (SAPKs) comprise a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) subfamily that is responsive to environmental stress conditions. Following the discovery of budding yeast Hog1 MAPK as a SAPK prototype (Brewster et al., 1993), identification of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38 SAPKs demonstrated the evolutionary conservation of this stress-responsive subtype of MAPKs (Kyriakis and Avruch, 2001). Spc1 (also known as Sty1, Phh1) in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Kato et al., 1996; Millar et al., 1995; Shiozaki and Russell, 1995a) is an ortholog of budding yeast Hog1 and mammalian p38, and plays a crucial role in cellular survival of diverse forms of stress, such as high osmolarity, oxidative stress, heat shock, and starvation (Nguyen and Shiozaki, 2002; Toone and Jones, 2004). In response to stress stimuli, Spc1 MAPK is phosphorylated by Wis1 MAPK kinase (MAPKK) (Shiozaki and Russell, 1995b; Warbrick and Fantes, 1991) and activated Spc1 then phosphorylates the Atf1 transcription factor (Gaits et al., 1998; Shiozaki and Russell, 1996; Wilkinson et al., 1996) to induce a set of stress resistance genes (Chen et al., 2003). Among the stress resistance genes regulated by the Spc1-Atf1 pathway is gpd1+ that encodes glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, a key enzyme in glycerol synthesis (Aiba et al., 1995; Degols et al., 1996; Shiozaki and Russell, 1996; Wilkinson et al., 1996). Induced expression of $\mathrm{gpd}^{+}$results in cellular accumulation of glycerol, which serves as a major cytoplasmic solute to counterbalance extracellular hyperosmotic stress (Ohmiya et al., 1995).

Sin1 (SAPK-interacting protein 1) was isolated by a yeast two-hybrid screen as a protein that interacts with Spc1 MAPK and proposed to regulate the Spc1-mediated expression of the stress resistance genes (Wilkinson et al., 1999). A later examination,
however, found that the Spc1-dependent phosphorylation of Atf1 and its function in gene induction upon stress are not affected by the $\sin 1$ null ( $\Delta \sin 1$ ) mutation, failing to confirm the functional link between Sin1 and the Spc1 MAPK cascade (Ikeda et al., 2008). A mammalian Sin1 ortholog, SIN1/MIP1, was also reported to interact with JNK SAPK as well as its upstream MAPKK kinase, MEKK2 (Cheng et al., 2005; Schroder et al., 2005). It was suggested that SIN1/MIP1 interacts with MEKK2 and prevents its dimerization and activation, leading to suppression of the SAPK cascade (Cheng et al., 2005).

On the other hand, Sin1 orthologs in budding yeast and higher eukaryotes have been identified as a component of TOR complex 2 (TORC2), a high-molecular weight protein kinase complex that contains the Target of Rapamycin (TOR) kinase as its catalytic subunit (Frias et al., 2006; Jacinto et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2005; Loewith et al., 2002; Wedaman et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2006). Mammalian TORC2 (mTORC2) functions as a key activator of a set of the AGC-family protein kinases, such as AKT, PKC $\alpha$ and SGK1, through phosphorylation of the "hydrophobic motif" conserved among these AGC kinases (García-Martínez and Alessi, 2008; Hresko and Mueckler, 2005; Sarbassov et al., 2004; Sarbassov et al., 2005). Also in fission yeast, mass spectrometry analysis of TORC2 identified Sin1 as a TORC2 component (Hayashi et al., 2007). Furthermore, the $\Delta \sin 1$ mutant shares phenotypes with strains lacking the other TORC2 subunits, such as Tor1 kinase and Ste20, a S. pombe ortholog of mammalian RICTOR; the $\Delta \sin 1, \Delta t o r 1$ and $\Delta s t e 20$ mutants are sterile and exhibit hyper-sensitivity to environmental stresses, including high osmolarity (Ikeda et al., 2008; Kawai et al., 2001; Matsuo et al., 2003; Weisman and Choder, 2001; Wilkinson et al., 1999). In addition, these mutants are defective in phosphorylation and activation of the AGC-family Gad8 kinase, which is structurally related to mammalian AKT and SGK1 (Ikeda et al., 2008; Matsuo et al., 2003; Tatebe et al., 2010). These biochemical and genetic studies
indicated that Sin1 is an essential subunit of TORC2 also in fission yeast and consistently, a more recent study has demonstrated that $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ serves as a substrate-binding subunit of TORC2 (Tatebe et al., 2017). Sin1 specifically binds Gad8 through a domain highly conserved among Sin1 orthologs, thus named the "CRIM (Conserved Region In the Middle) domain (Schroder et al., 2007). NMR analysis found that CRIM is a ubiquitin-fold domain of $\sim 120$ amino acid residues, and the CRIM domain of human SIN1 also binds specifically to the mTORC2 substrates, such as AKT, PKC $\alpha$ and SGK1 (Furuita et al., 2015; Kataoka et al., 2015; Tatebe et al., 2017). Mutations to CRIM impair TORC2 signaling both in fission yeast and human cells, demonstrating the critical role of Sin1 as a functional subunit of TORC2.

Contrary to the comprehensive characterization of $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ as a TORC2 subunit, the physiological significance of its interaction with the SAPK cascade remains unclear in both fission yeast and mammals. In this study, we further characterized the interaction between Sin 1 and Spc1 MAPK as well as the role of Spc 1 in the regulation of TORC2 signaling. Detailed analysis of the $\operatorname{Sin} 1-\mathrm{Spc} 1$ interaction suggested that the common docking (CD) domain of Spc1 MAPK interacts with a cluster of basic amino acid residues in Sin1. Interestingly, inactivation of Spc1 MAPK results in reduced TORC2 activity, however, independently of the Spc1-Sin1 interaction. TORC2 is transiently inhibited upon high osmolarity stress and the swift recovery of TORC2 activity after the stress is dependent on the Spc1-Atf1 pathway that induces the glycerol synthesis enzyme Gpd1 for cellular adaptation to osmostress. These results have uncovered coordinated actions of the SAPK and TORC2 pathways, both of which mediate cellular responses to changing environmental conditions.

## Results

## The CD domain of Spc1 MAPK and clustered basic residues in Sin1 mediate the Spc1-Sin1 interaction

Full-length Spc1 MAPK was used as a bait in the yeast two-hybrid screen that isolated Sin1 (Wilkinson et al., 1999). To further narrow down the Sin1-binding region within Spc1, truncated Spc1 fragments were tested for their interaction with $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ in yeast two-hybrid assays. Spc1 N-terminal fragments of 313 residues and 109 residues failed to interact with $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ (Fig. 1A), implying that the C -terminus of Spc 1 is required. Interestingly, the region C-terminal to the kinase catalytic domain of Spc1 contains the common docking (CD) domain (residues 299-313), a sequence motif conserved among the MAPK family members (Tanoue et al., 2000). Because the CD domain is known to mediate interactions of MAPKs with their regulators and substrates, we examined whether mutations to the CD domain affects the interaction of Spc1 MAPK with Sin1. Deletion of the CD domain (" $\Delta C D$ " in Fig. 1A) as well as Asn substitutions of the conserved, critical Asp residues within the CD domain (Asp-304 and Asp-307); (Tanoue et al., 2000) abrogated the Spc1Sin1 interaction ("2DN"), whereas mutations to the other acidic residues (Glu-308, Asp312 and Glu-313) did not ("DENQ"). These results suggest that the CD domain of Spc1 MAPK is required for its interaction with $\operatorname{Sin} 1$.

Similar yeast two-hybrid assays using a series of Sin1 truncations (Fig. 1B) showed that the N -terminal 2-523 fragment as well as the C-terminal 509-665 fragment can interact with Spc 1 , indicating that residues $509-523$ of $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ are required to bind Spc 1 . This region contains a cluster of positively charged amino acids, a known characteristics of the docking sites for the CD domains of MAPKs (Tanoue et al., 2000). Indeed, deletion of the basic stretch ( $\Delta 511-523$ in Fig. 1B) prevented Sin1 from interacting with Spc1.

Moreover, the full-length Sin1 with mutations to the three consecutive Lys residues within this region (residues 513-515; asterisks in Fig. 1B) failed to interact with Spc1 ("3KQ" in Fig. 1B), though Arg-517, Lys-519, and Lys-520 appeared to be dispensable ("RKHQ").

These results suggest that the interaction between Spc1 MAPK and Sin1 is mediated by the Spc1 CD domain with the acidic residues that may interact with a cluster of basic residues in Sin1, which resembles MAPK docking sites found in substrates and regulators of the MAPK family members (Tanoue et al., 2000).

## Spc1 MAPK-dependent phosphorylation of Sin1

$\operatorname{Sin} 1$ has been reported as a phosphorylated protein, and its phosphorylation status is reflected by the electrophoretic mobility of the protein (Wilkinson et al., 1999). In SDSPAGE, the Sin1 protein expressed from its chromosomal locus with the FLAG epitope tag ran as somewhat diffused bands (Fig. 2A, lane 1), which converged to a fastmigrating band by phosphatase treatment (lane 2). Disruption of the spc1+ gene ( $\Delta s p c 1$ ) also resulted in appearance of a fast-migrating band, together with a slow-migrating band similar to that in wild-type cells; thus, some fraction of Sin1 appears to be hypophosphorylated in $\Delta s p c 1$ cells (lane 4). We observed no significant change to the electrophoretic mobility of the other TORC2 subunits, such as Tor1, Ste20, Wat1, and Bit61 (Fig. 2B). On the other hand, it was noticeable that the amounts of the Sin1, Wat1 and Bit61 proteins somewhat increased in $\Delta s p c 1$ cells when compared to those in wildtype cells.

Disassembly of mammalian TORC2 was reported as a regulatory mechanism for TORC2 signaling under starvation stress (Chen et al., 2013). In order to examine whether the TORC2 integrity is affected by the hypo-phosphorylation of Sin1 and/or the altered levels of the TORC2 subunits in the absence of the stress-responsive MAPK (Fig.
$2 \mathrm{~B})$, the physical interactions among the TORC2 subunits were evaluated in the $\Delta s p c 1$ mutant. When the tandem affinity purification (TAP)-tagged Tor1 was collected onto $\operatorname{lgG}$ beads from the wild-type and $\Delta s p c 1$ strains, no significant difference between the two strains was observed for the co-purification of Sin1 (Fig. 2C), Ste20 (Fig. 2D), Wat1 (Fig. 2E). Bit61 associates with the Ste20 subunit of TORC2 (Tatebe and Shiozaki, 2010), and their interaction was also not affected by the $\Delta s p c 1$ mutation (Fig. 2F). These observations suggest that Spc1 MAPK does not notably affect the TORC2 integrity.

## Spc1 MAPK positively regulates TORC2 activity

Sin1 functions as a substrate-binding subunit of TORC2 by specifically recruiting Gad8, so that Tor1, the catalytic subunit of TORC2, phosphorylates the C-terminal hydrophobic motif of Gad8 (Tatebe et al., 2017). We found that the TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 was significantly reduced in $\Delta s p c 1$ cells, suggesting that Spc 1 MAPK positively regulates the TORC2 activity toward Gad8 (Fig. 3A).

In order to test whether the $\operatorname{Spc} 1-\operatorname{Sin} 1$ interaction is involved in the Spc1dependent regulation of TORC2 activity, we constructed a fission yeast strain whose chromosomal $\sin 1$ gene carries the " 3 KQ " mutation that disrupts the interaction with Spc1 in the yeast two-hybrid assay (Fig. 1B). No significant difference in the Gad8 phosphorylation was detected between the wild-type and $\sin 1-3 K Q$ mutant strains (Fig. 3B). In addition, the electrophoretic mobility of the $\operatorname{Sin} 1-3 K Q$ mutant protein is very similar to that of the wild-type protein both in $s p c 1^{+}$and $\Delta s p c 1$ cells ("Sin1-FLAG" in Fig. 3B). Therefore, the Spc1-Sin1 interaction detectable in yeast two-hybrid assays does not appear to be essential for the Spc1-dependent TORC2 regulation nor for the Sin1 phosphorylation.

A previous mass spectrometry analysis of fission yeast TORC2 identified multiple phosphorylation sites in the Sin1 protein (Fig. S1A; Hayashi et al., 2007). Among those are Ser-62, Ser-301 and Ser-530 followed by proline, and they can be phosphorylated by MAPK. We mutated the chromosomal $\sin 1$ gene to substitute these serine residues individually with alanine and the Gad8 phosphorylation in these strains was examined, but no significant difference was observed in comparison with that in wildtype strains (Fig. S1B). The other phosphorylation sites that do not match the MAPK phosphorylation site consensus were also mutated to alanine, with no apparent effect on the TORC2-dependent phosphorylation (Fig. S1C).

Cellular localization of TORC2 can be visualized by fusing three copies of GFP to Ste20, the fission yeast ortholog of the RICTOR subunit; Ste20-3GFP shows punctate signals throughout the cell surface as well as the cell division septum (Tatebe et al., 2010). Similar cortical localization of TORC2 was observed in $\Delta s p c 1$ cells (Fig. 3C), which are elongated due to a cell-cycle delay (Shiozaki and Russell, 1995a). $\Delta s p c 1$ cells also showed no significant change in the distribution of Gad8 tagged with a single copy of GFP at the C-terminus, and fluorescent signals were detectable throughout the cell except vacuoles, as in wild-type cells (Fig. 3C). Although it was reported that the majority of Gad8 was in the nuclear fraction of the cell lysate (Cohen et al., 2016), we did not observe such nuclear enrichment of untagged, endogenous Gad8 both in wild-type and $\Delta s p c 1$ cells (Fig. S2), consistent with our microscopy results (Fig. 3C).

Together, these results indicate that Spc1 MAPK positively regulates TORC2 activity, but the regulation is independent of the $\mathrm{Spc} 1-\mathrm{Sin} 1$ interaction and may be a rather indirect one.

## The TORC2-Gad8 pathway responds to osmostress

Like the Spc1 MAPK cascade (Shiozaki and Russell, 1995a), the TORC2-Gad8 pathway is required for fission yeast cells to grow under high osmolarity stress (lkeda et al., 2008; Tatebe et al., 2010). In addition, it was reported that the TORC2-dependent activation of Gad8 is inhibited in response to high osmolarity stress (Cohen et al., 2014). We therefore characterized the kinetics of the TORC2 osmo-response and found that the TORC2dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 disappeared within 5 min after osmostress of 0.6 M KCl , followed by a gradual, somewhat oscillating recovery of the phosphorylation after 20 min ("pGad8" in Fig. 4A). The prompt inactivation of TORC2 upon osmostress seemed to be correlated to Spc1 activation, which was monitored through its activation loop phosphorylation ("pSpc1" in Fig. 4A). Therefore, we examined whether high osmolarity stress inhibits the TORC2-Gad8 pathway through activation of Spc1 MAPK. Like wild-type cells, $\Delta s p c 1$ mutant cells showed transient attenuation of the Gad8 phosphorylation upon osmostress, though the phosphorylation hardly recovered at later time points (Fig. 4B). A very similar osmo-response of the Gad8 phosphorylation was observed in the strain expressing Wis1AA, an inactive mutant Wis1 MAPKK that cannot phosphorylate Spc1 (Shiozaki et al., 1998) (Fig 4C). On the other hand, the strain expressing the constitutively active Wis1DD mutant MAPKK exhibited transient inactivation and recovery of TORC2 activity as in the wild-type strain (Fig. 4D). These results indicate that the Spc1 MAPK cascade is not required for the osmostress-induced inactivation of TORC2, but Spc1 activity promotes the re-activation of TORC2 after osmostress.

## The Atf1 transcription factor and its target gene gpd1+ are important for reactivation of TORC2 after osmostress

Although active Spc1 MAPK plays a role in the reactivation of TORC2 after osmostress (Fig. 4), we found that the osmo-response kinetics of the Gad8 phosphorylation in the $\sin 1-3 K Q$ mutant is very similar to that in the wild type (Fig. 5A), negating the involvement of the Spc1-Sin1 interaction. As shown in Fig. 5B, this osmoregulation of TORC2 was not altered also in the $\sin 1 \Delta C$ strain expressing $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ lacking the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, which is implicated in the phosphoinositide-dependent regulation of mTORC2 activity (Liu et al., 2015).

In order to explore how Spc1 contributes to the recovery of TORC2 activity after osmostress, the Gad8 phosphorylation was monitored in the null mutants of the reported Spc1 MAPK targets, such as Atf1 (Shiozaki and Russell, 1996), Hal4 (Wang et al., 2005), Cmk2 (Sánchez-Piris et al., 2002), Srk1 (Smith et al., 2002), Lsk1 (Sukegawa et al., 2011), Sds23 (Jang et al., 2013; Yamada et al., 1997) and Wsh3/Tea4 (Tatebe et al., 2005). In wild-type cells, the TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 starts recovering within 30 min after osmostress (Fig. 4A); however, re-phosphorylation of Gad8 was not observed even after 30 min in the $\Delta$ atf1 strain among the null mutants tested (Figs. 5C, S3A).

Being phosphorylated and activated by Spc1 MAPK, the Atf1 transcription factor induces expression of a set of the genes important for cellular adaptation to stressful conditions (Chen et al., 2003; Shiozaki and Russell, 1996; Wilkinson et al., 1996). We tested some of the genes under the regulation of Spc1-Atf1 for their involvement in the recovery of TORC2 activity after osmostress. It was found that a strain lacking gpd1+ failed to induce significant re-phosphorylation of Gad8 even after 100 min
under osmostress (Figs. 5D and S3B). gpd1+ encodes glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase in biosynthesis of glycerol that is important for cellular adaptation to high osmolarity (Ohmiya et al., 1995). On the other hand, such a defect was not observed in a strain lacking gpd2+, a gpd1+ paralog with no apparent role in cellular osmo-resistance (Yamada et al., 1996) (Fig. S3B).

These results suggest that Spc1 MAPK promotes reactivation of TORC2 after osmostress through the Atf1 transcription factor, which induces expression of gpd1+. Indeed, the $\Delta s p c 1 \Delta$ atf1 and $\Delta s p c 1 \Delta g p d 1$ double mutants showed defects similar to the respective single mutants, consistent with the idea that Spc 1 , Atf1 and Gpd1 function together during the recovery of TORC2 inactivated by osmostress (Fig. 6A, B). However, in normal osmolarity media, the $\Delta g p d 1$ mutation did not affect the Gad8 phosphorylation (Fig. 6C), indicating that the positive regulation of TORC2 by Spc1 MAPK in the absence of osmostress (Fig. 3A) is not dependent on gpd1 ${ }^{+}$. On the other hand, as in $\Delta s p c 1$ cells, the Gad8 phosphorylation was reduced in $\Delta$ atf1 cells (Fig. 6C). Unexpectedly, the $\Delta s p c 1$ and $\Delta$ atf1 mutations appeared to be additive, and the Gad8 phosphorylation in the $\Delta s p c 1$ $\Delta$ atf1 double mutant was significantly lower than those in the respective single mutants. It is likely that, under normal growth conditions without osmostress, Spc1 MAPK and the Atf1 transcription factor independently affect TORC2 activity.

## Discussion

Genetic analysis in fission yeast demonstrated that the Spc1 MAPK cascade and the TORC2-Gad8 pathway are both required for cellular adaptation to high osmolarity stress, though the stress elicits opposite responses to these two signaling pathways; activation of the Spc1 cascade and inhibition of the TORC2 pathway (Cohen et al., 2014; Ikeda et al., 2008; Millar et al., 1995; Shiozaki and Russell, 1995b). Because Sin1 was identified as a SAPK-interacting protein (Wilkinson et al., 1999) and also as a TORC2 subunit (Hayashi et al., 2007; Matsuo et al., 2007), Sin1 seems to be a candidate molecule that links Spc1 MAPK to TORC2 in cellular stress response. Having found that TORC2 does not affect Spc1 signaling (lkeda et al., 2008), we pursued in this study the question of whether Spc1 modulates TORC2 signaling.

We successfully reproduced the previously reported interaction between Spc 1 and Sin1 in the yeast two-hybrid assay (Wilkinson et al., 1999), and further showed that the CD domain of Spc1 MAPK and a cluster of basic residues in $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ are involved in the interaction. The specificity of this Spc1-Sin1 interaction was further corroborated by a reciprocal yeast two-hybrid screen of a S. pombe cDNA library using a C-terminal Sin1 fragment of residues 401-665 as bait; a short, C-terminal Spc1 fragment that includes the CD domain (304-349) was identified in this screen (data not shown). Thus, the interaction of Spc1 MAPK with Sin1 may be similar to those of other MAPKs with their substrates and regulators (Tanoue et al., 2000). On the other hand, we failed in our attempt to detect the Spc1-Sin1 interaction by co-purification assays (data not shown), and the mass spectrometry analysis of fission yeast TORC2 detected Sin1, but not Spc1 (Hayashi et al., 2007). The interaction between Spc1 MAPK and Sin1 may not be stable enough for these biochemical approaches.

We found that mutational inactivation of Spc1 MAPK results in compromised TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8, indicating that Spc1 MAPK positively regulates the TORC2-Gad8 pathway. However, TORC2 activity is not altered by the sin1$3 K Q$ mutation that disrupts the interaction of $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ with $\operatorname{Spc} 1$ and thus, the Sin1-Spc1 interaction is not required for the observed Spc1-dependent regulation of the TORC2 pathway. In addition, the loss of Spc1 has no apparent impact on the TORC2 integrity nor on the cellular localization of TORC2 and its substrate Gad8. These observations imply a rather circuitous regulatory mechanism by which Spc1 MAPK positively regulates TORC2-Gad8 signaling.

We found that TORC2 is inhibited upon high osmolarity stress in a manner independent of the stress-induced activation of Spc1 MAPK. Although Pmk1, another stress-responsive MAPK in fission yeast, is implicated in the negative regulation of the TORC2-Gad8 pathway (Cohen et al., 2014; Madrid et al., 2016), we found that Pmk1 is not required for the osmo-inhibition of TORC2 signaling (Fig. S4A). A recent study in budding yeast proposed that decreased plasma membrane tension under high osmolarity induces clustering of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate $(\mathrm{PI}(4,5) \mathrm{P} 2)$, to which TORC2 is tethered as clumps segregated from its activators Slm1/2 (Riggi et al., 2018). Whereas high osmolarity stress induces prominent clustering of $\mathrm{PI}(4,5) \mathrm{P} 2$ also in fission yeast (Kabeche et al., 2015), the SIm1/2 ortholog in fission yeast has no apparent role in TORC2 activation both in the presence and absence of osmostress (Fig. S4B). In addition, SIm orthologs are not found in mammals, where inactivation of TORC2 signaling upon high osmolarity stress is also observable (Meier et al., 1998). Thus, the underlying mechanisms of the osmostress sensitivity of TORC2 may be different from species to species.

There may also be a difference between budding yeast and fission yeast in the process of TORC2 reactivation after osmostress. Whereas Hog1 SAPK is not important for the TORC2 reactivation in S. cerevisiae (Riggi et al., 2018), we found that Spc1 contributes to the recovery of TORC2 activity after osmostress through the Atf1 transcription factor and its target gene, gpd1+ . This observation is probably not surprising, considering the essential role of the Spc1-Atf1 pathway in cellular adaptation to hyperosmolarity through the induction of the glycerol synthesis enzyme Gpd1(Degols et al., 1996; Gaits et al., 1998; Ohmiya et al., 1999; Ohmiya et al., 1995; Shiozaki and Russell, 1996; Wilkinson et al., 1996). Unexpectedly, however, our study also uncovered a role of Atf1, but not Gpd1, in TORC2 activation under normal growth conditions (Fig. $6 \mathrm{C})$. TORC2 activity is severely compromised in the $\Delta s p c 1 \Delta$ atf1 double mutant, suggesting that the Atf1 transcription factor contributes to TORC2 activity independently of Spc1 MAPK, most likely through expression of unknown target genes.

In summary, the data presented in this paper shed light on the intertwining relationship between Spc1 MAPK and TORC2, both of which play critical roles in osmostress resistance of fission yeast cells. The Spc1-Atf1 pathway positively regulates TORC2 signaling both in the presence and absence of osmostress, independently of the Spc1-Sin1 interaction detectable in the yeast two-hybrid assay (Fig. S4C). Although both Hog1 MAPK and TORC2 in budding yeast are involved in the regulation of cellular glycerol accumulation during osmostress (Lee et al., 2012; Muir et al., 2015), crosstalk between the two signaling modules has not been reported. Further genetic studies in both yeast species may unravel a novel mode of interaction between the SAPK and TORC2 pathways that are highly conserved among diverse eukaryotes.

## Materials and Methods

## General S. pombe methods

S. pombe strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. Growth media and basic techniques for fission yeast were previously described (Alfa et al., 1993). Epitope-tagging of chromosomal genes was carried out by the PCR-based method (Bähler et al., 1998). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the PrimeSTAR Max DNA polymerase (Takara Bio Inc.) according to the supplier's manual. Oligo DNAs for PCR are listed in Table S2. Stress treatment of S. pombe cells was carried out as previously described (Shiozaki and Russell, 1997). For high osmolarity treatment, one-third volume of prewarmed medium containing 2.4 M KCl was added to the culture. Protein concentrations were determined using Protein Assay Reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.).

## Protein-protein interaction

Yeast two-hybrid assay was performed as previously described (Tatebe et al., 2005). The ORF encoding the inactive form of Spc1 (Spc1-T171A) was subcloned in the bait plasmid, pGBT9 (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.) using Ndel and Pstl sites, as ectopic expression of active Spc1 causes a growth defect. The complementary DNA of $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ (nucleotides 3 to 1998) was subcloned in the prey plasmid, pGAD GH (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.) using BamHI and Apal sites. Plasmids used in the assay are listed in Table S3. HF7c budding yeast strain (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.) was used as host. Interaction was judged by histidine auxotrophy. Cells harboring either/both empty vector(s) were used as negative controls.

Co-purification of epitope-tagged proteins was performed (Morigasaki and Shiozaki, 2010) using buffers as described below. Lysis buffer containing 1xPBS, $10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol, $0.25 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Tween20, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaF}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium pyrophosphate, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaN}{ }_{3}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ beta-glycerophosphate $2 \mathrm{Na}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ p-nitrophenylphosphate 2 Na , 1 mM PMSF, and 1/200-volume Protease inhibitor cocktail (P8849, Sigma-Ardrich Co.). The lysis buffer without protease inhibitors was used as washing buffer. Protein bound to beads was eluted with the Laemmli sample buffer without 2-mercaptoethanol for 15 min at room temperature. After removing the beads, the eluate was mixed with 1/19volume of 2-mercaptoethanol and heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . IgG-Sepharose 6 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) and EZview Anti-c-Myc Affinity Gel (Sigma-Aldrich, Co.) were used for precipitation of NTAP-Tor1 and Bit61-myc, respectively.

## Preparation of TCA extract

Whole-cell protein extract was prepared by trichloroacetic acid (TCA) extraction. Yeast cells in early log phase $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.4,25 \mathrm{ml}\right)$ were harvested on a $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$-porosity filter membrane and resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ TCA solution. Cells were disrupted by beating with glass beads $(\varnothing=0.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ at 2500 rpm for $4.5 \mathrm{~min}(30 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{ON}$ and 30 sec/OFF, 9 cycles) using the Multibeads shocker (Yasui Kikai Co.). After removing glass beads, the cell homogenate was centrifuged for 10 min at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at room temperature, and the precipitate was resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the Laemmli sample buffer containing 0.5 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$. The sample was then heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and centrifuged for 10 min at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at room temperature to remove cell debris. The supernatant was used as "TCA extract". The protein concentration of the TCA extract was adjusted to 1 mg protein $/ \mathrm{mL}$ with the standard Laemmli sample buffer.

## Mobility shift assay

For the Sin1 mobility shift assay, the TCA extract of Sin1-FLAG-expressing cells was subjected to SDS-PAGE using 6.5\%T/2.67\%C polyacrylamide gel. Sin1 was detected by immunoblotting using anti-FLAG antibodies. Phosphatase-treatment was performed according to Tatebe and Shiozaki (2008) with some modification. Briefly, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ protein of the TCA extract was diluted 180 -times with the lambda-protein phosphatase (PPase) buffer. The dilution was dispensed into 3 tubes ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C ). One-tenth volume of the buffer, 60 units of PPase (New England BioLabs Inc.) in the buffer, or 60 units of PPase $+10 x$ phosphatase inhibitor mix in the buffer were added to dilution $A, B$ or $C$, respectively. After mixing gently, the reaction mixtures were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . To stop the reaction, $1 / 7$-volume of $100 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ TCA was added. Protein was precipitated by centrifugation at $18700 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after standing on ice for 30 min . The precipitate was then resuspended in $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the Laemmli sample buffer containing 0.5 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ and heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The 10 x phosphatase inhibitor mix is composed of $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{VO}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaF}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 100 mM betaglycerophosphate, 40 mM p-nitrophenylphosphate.

## Antibodies/antisera for immunoblotting

The activating phosphorylation of Thr171 and Tyr173 in Spc1 (pSpc1), Spc1, phosphorylation of Ser546 in Gad8 (pGad8), and the Gad8 protein were detected by immunoblotting using rabbit polyclonal antisera (Tatebe et al., 2010; Tatebe and Shiozaki, 2003). Anti-histone H2B antiserum was a gift from Dr. M. Yanagida (Maruyama et al., 2006). Rps6 was detected with anti-RPS6 antibody (ab40820, Abcam plc.). For detection of FLAG-, HA-, and myc-tagged proteins, anti-FLAG (M2, Sigma-Aldrich, Co.), anti-HA (12CA5, Roche Diagnostics GmbH), and anti-c-myc (9E10, Covance Inc.) mouse
monoclonal antibodies were used, respectively. NTAP-Tor1 was detected with anticalmodulin binding protein epitope tag (Merch Millipore Ltd.). Anti-rabbit lgG (H+L) HRPconjugate or anti-mouse $\operatorname{lgG}(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{L})$ HRP-conjugate (Promega Co.) were used as secondary antibodies.

## Quantification of signal intensity of immunoblotting

In immunoblotting, Pierce ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ECL Plus Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for detection. The image of chemiluminescence was obtained using the imaging analyzer LAS4000 (GE Healthcare) and the signal intensity was measured with the software Multi Gauge 3.0 (Fujifilm Co.). For quantification, the signal intensity of phospho-Gad8 (pGad8) was compensated by that of the Gad8 protein.

## GFP-tagged protein localization

Cells were cultured in EMM medium until reaching early log phase in the dark and mounted on a thin layer of EMM+agar. Fluorescence images were taken with DeltaVision Elite Microscopy System (GE Healthcare) as described previously (Chia et al., 2017; Tatebe et al., 2010).

## Preparation of nucleus-rich fractions

As reported by Cohen et al. (Cohen et al., 2016; Keogh et al., 2006), the nucleus-rich fraction was prepared from S. pombe cells: $972 h$ - (PR37) and $\Delta s p c 1$ (KS1616). An aliquot of spheroplast was used as whole cell extract (WCE). After fractionation using 1.2 M sucrose cushion, the upper layer and pellet were collected as cytoplasmic (Cyt) and nucleus-rich (Nuc) fractions, respectively. Gad8 in each fraction was analyzed by immunoblotting using antiserum against Gad8. In addition, distribution of Rps6 and
histone H2B (H2B) were analyzed as markers of cytosol and nucleus, respectively.
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## Figures



Figure 1. Yeast two-hybrid assays to characterize the interaction between Spc1 MAPK and Sin1.
(A) Various Spc1 fragments shown were expressed as bait together with $\operatorname{Sin} 1(2-665)$ as prey in the budding yeast HF7c strain. The amino acid sequence of the putative CD domain (299-313) is shown at the top, where mutated residues in the 2DN and DENQ mutants are indicated by asterisks and underlines, respectively.
(B) Various $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ fragments shown were expressed as prey together with full-length Spc 1 as bait as in (A). The amino acid sequence of a basic residue cluster (513-520) is shown at the top, where mutated residues in the 3KQ and RKHQ mutants are indicated by asterisks and underlines, respectively. +/-, 7 out of 12 clones examined were positive.


Figure 2. Spc1 MAPK-dependent phosphorylation of Sin1.
(A) Sin1 phosphorylation was examined by mobility-shift assays. The cell lysate of spc1+ and $\Delta s p c 1$ strains carrying the $\sin 1: F L A G$ allele was treated with lambda-protein phosphatase (PPase) in the presence and absence of phosphatase inhibitors, followed by SDS-PAGE and anti-FLAG immunoblotting.
(B) The lysate of $s p c 1^{+}$and $\Delta s p c 1$ cells expressing FLAG-tagged Tor1, Ste20, Sin1, Wat1 and Bit61 from their respective chromosomal loci were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-FLAG ( $\alpha$-FLAG), anti-Spc1 ( $\alpha$-Spc1), and anti-histone H2B ( $\alpha-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ ) antibodies. Anti-FLAG signals normalized against anti-H2B signals are shown as values relative to the normalized values of the $s p c 1^{+}$strains as 1.0 .
(C-E) Physical interaction of NTAP-Tor1 with Sin1-FLAG (C), Ste20-FLAG (D), and Wat1-FLAG (E) was analyzed by co-affinity purification. NTAP-Tor1 was purified with

IgG-Sepharose beads from the cell lysate of spc1+ NTAP:tor1 and $\Delta s p c 1$ NTAP:tor1 strains expressing the FLAG-tagged regulatory subunits of TORC2 from their respective chromosomal loci (lanes 2 and 3). The tor1+ strains expressing Tor1 without the NTAP tag were used as negative controls (lanes 1 and 4).
(F) Physical interaction between the Ste20 and Bit61 subunits was analyzed by coimmunoprecipitation. Bit61-myc was purified with Anti-c-Myc Affinity Gel from the cell lysate of $s p c 1^{+}$bit61:myc and $\Delta s p c 1$ bit61:myc strains expressing FLAG-tagged Ste20 from its chromosomal locus (lanes 2 and 3). The bit61+ strains expressing untagged Bit61 were used as negative controls (lanes 1 and 4).


Figure 3. Spc1 MAPK positively regulates TORC2 activity.
(A) Gad8 phosphorylation levels in wild-type and $\Delta s p c 1$ cells were compared by immunoblotting using antibodies that specifically recognize phosphorylation of Ser-546 in the hydrophobic motif of Gad8 (pGad8) as well as those against the Gad8 C-terminus (Gad8). The $\Delta t o r 1$ strain, which lacks functional TORC2, was used as a negative control. (B) TORC2 activity is not affected by the $\sin 1-3 K Q$ mutation that disrupts the $\operatorname{Sin} 1-S p c 1$
interaction. The TORC2-dependent Gad8 phosphorylation in the spc1+ and $\Delta s p c 1$ strains carrying the $\sin 1: F L A G$ or $\sin 1-3 K Q: F L A G$ alleles were examined as in (A). The Sin1FLAG and Spc1 proteins were detected by anti-FLAG and anti-Spc1 antibodies, respectively. Quantified pGad8 levels relative to that in the $\operatorname{spc} 1^{+} \sin 1: F L A G$ strain (mean $\pm S D, n \geq 3$ ) were shown as a bar graph on the right.
(C) The $\Delta s p c 1$ mutation does not significantly affect the cellular localization of TORC2 and Gad8. z-axial images of wild-type and $\Delta s p c 1$ strains expressing Ste20 or Gad8 from their chromosomal loci with the GFP tag were deconvolved and mid-section images are shown. Bar, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 4. TORC2 activity responds to high osmolarity stress.
Cultures in early log-phase were treated with high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCI , and the TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 Ser-546 (pGad8), the Gad8 protein level (Gad8), the activating phosphorylation of Spc1 Thr-171/Tyr-173 (pSpc1) and the Spc1 protein level (Spc1) were monitored along the time course in wild-type (A), $\Delta s p c 1$ (B), wis1AA (C) and wis1DD (D) strains. In (A) and (B), Gad8 phosphorylation levels after osmostress was quantified and plotted as values relative to that of non-stressed cells (mean $\pm S D, n \geq 3$ ). Black circle, wild-type; Red triangle, $\Delta s p c 1$.


Figure 5. The Atf1 transcription factor and its target gene gpd1+ are important for reactivation of TORC2 after osmostress.

TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) and the activating phosphorylation of Spc1 (pSpc1) in response to high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl were monitored by immunoblotting as in Fig. 4 in the $\sin 1-3 K Q(A), \sin 1 \Delta C: F L A G(B), \Delta a t f 1(C)$ and $\Delta g p d 1$ (D) strains. The sin1 $1 \Delta C$ :FLAG strain expresses the FLAG epitope-tagged Sin1 protein lacking the C-terminal 114 amino acid residues, which include the PH domain (see Fig. 1B for the domain structure of $\operatorname{Sin} 1$ ).


Figure 6. Regulation of TORC2 by the Spc1-Atf1-Gpd1 pathway in the presence and absence of osmostress.
(A, B) TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 during the time course after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl was monitored by immunoblotting as in Fig. 4 in the $\Delta s p c 1$, $\Delta s p c 1 \Delta$ atf1 (A), and $\Delta s p c 1 \Delta g p d 1$ (B) strains.
(C) Gad8 phosphorylation levels in the indicated strains under normal osmolarity were quantified and shown as values relative to that in the wild-type (WT) strain (mean $\pm$ SD, $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$ ). n.d., not detectable.


Figure S1. Mutations to the reported phosphorylation sites in Sin1 show no apparent effect on TORC2 activity.
(A) Sin1 phosphorylation sites identified by mass spectrometry (Hayashi et al., 2007). Ser-62, Ser-301 and Ser-530 (in red) followed by proline are putative MAPK phosphorylation sites. " + " denotes the cluster of basic residues identified in this study (Fig. 1B).
(B) The putative MAPK phosphorylation sites shown in (A) were substituted by alanine, and TORC2dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) and the activating phosphorylation of Spc1 MAPK ( pSpc 1 ) before and after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl were monitored by immunoblotting as in Fig. 4. S62A, sin1-S62A (CA10009); S301A, sin1-S301A (CA10017); S530A, sin1-S530A (CA10025); and $\Delta \sin 1$ (CA9067).
(C) The other reported phosphorylation sites were analyzed by alanine substitutions. Upper panel: S61A, $\sin 1-S 61 A(C A 10622)$; S404A, $\sin 1-S 404 A$ (CA11212); and S490A, $\sin 1-S 490 A$ (CA10661). Lower panel: Multiple serine/threonine residues that are close to the putative MAPK phosphorylation sites were mutated. S60-62A, sin1-S60,61,62A (CA10630); 528-530A, sin1-T528A, S529A,S530A (CA11220); and S298,299,301A, sin1-S298,299,301A (CA10654).


Figure S2. Nuclear-cytoplasmic distribution of the Gad8 protein.
The lysate of spheroplasts (whole cell extract, WCE) prepared from the wild-type and $\Delta s p c 1$ strains was divided into the soluble cytosolic fraction (Cyt) and the nucleus-rich fraction (Nuc) as described by Cohen et al. (2016). Gad8 in each fraction was detected by immunoblotting using anti-Gad8 antibodies. The ribosomal subunit Rps6 and Histone H2B (H2B) were used as cytosolic and nuclear markers, respectively.


Figure S3. A search for genes required for reactivation of TORC2 after osmostress. In the wild-type and indicated null mutant strains, TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) and the activating phosphorylation of Spc1 MAPK (pSpc1) before and after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl were monitored by immunoblotting as in Fig. 4.


Figure S4. Osmo-response of TORC2 signaling in fission yeast does not involve Pmk1 MAPK nor SIm1.
(A) Pmk1 MAPK is not required for the osmo-inhibition of TORC2-Gad8 signaling. TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) and the activating phosphorylation of Spc1 MAPK (pSpc1) in the wild-type and $\Delta \mathrm{pmk} 1$ mutant strains along the time course after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl were monitored by immunoblotting as in Fig. 4. (B) Fission yeast SIm1 is not required for TORC2 activity. TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) was monitored by immunoblotting in the wild type (WT) and a strain lacking the only ortholog (slm1+; ORF, SPAC637.13c) of budding yeast Slm1/2 before and after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl . (C) Regulation of the Spc1-Atf1 and TORC2-Gad8 pathways in response to high osmolarity stress. Activation of the Atf1 transcription factor by Spc1 MAPK induces expression of the glycerol synthesis enzyme Gpd1 that promotes cellular adaptation to high osmolarity environment, mitigating the osmo-inhibition of TORC2-Gad8 signaling. Spc1 and Atf1 also positively regulate TORC2 in the absence of osmostress, but in a Gpd1independent manner.

Table S1. S. pombe strains used in this study

| Strain | Genotype | Source or reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BG3847H | sds23::kanR ura4-D18 leu1-32 ade6 h+ | Bioneer* |
| JP76 | srk1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Smith et al ., 2002 |
| KS1115 | pyp2::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Shiozaki and Russell, 1995a |
| KS1616 | spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 h - | Laboratory stock |
| KS1366 | spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Laboratory stock |
| KS1497 | atf1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Shiozaki and Russell, 1996 |
| KS1533 | atf1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Shiozaki and Russell, 1996 |
| KS1598 |  | Laboratory stock |
| KS2060 | cmk2::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Laboratory stock |
| KS2079 | wis1:myc(ura4 ${ }^{+}$) ura4-D18 | Shiozaki et al ., 1998 |
| KS2080 | wis1AA:myc(ura4 ${ }^{+}$) ura4-D18 | Shiozaki et al ., 1998 |
| KS2081 | wis1DD:myc(ura $4^{+}$) ura4-D18 | Shiozaki et al ., 1998 |
| PR37 | $h$ - (972) | Laboratory stock |
| PR253 | pyp1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Shiozaki and Russell, 1995a |
| TP319-31A | pmk1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Toda et al ., 1996 |
| CA1788 | hal4::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Wang et al ., 2005 |
| CA2527 | wsh3::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Tatebe et al ., 2005 |
| CA4593 | tor1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Kawai et al ., 2001 |
| CA4776 | $\operatorname{sin1:FLAG(kanR)~spc1::ura4~}{ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA5123/CA9121 | $\sin 1: F L A G(k a n R)$ | Tatebe et al ., 2010 |
| CA5126/NT475 | $\operatorname{sin1} 1: \mathrm{kanR}$ | Ikeda et al ., 2008 |
| CA5764 | slm1:.:kanR | This study |
| CA5999 | NTAP:tor1 $\sin 1: F L A G(k a n R)$ | Tatebe et al ., 2010 |
| CA6271 | ste20:FLAG(kanR) | This study |
| CA6287 | NTAP:tor1 ste20:FLAG(kanR) | This study |
| CA6407 | NTAP:tor1 wat1:FLAG(kanR) | Tatebe et al., 2010 |
| CA6437 | wat1:FLAG(kanR) | Tatebe et al., 2010 |
| CA6530 | (hph)FLAG:tor1 | Hayashi et al., 2007 |
| CA6655 | ste20:3GFP(kanR) | Tatebe et al ., 2010 |
| CA6743 | gad8:1GFP(kanR) | This study |
| CA6764 | bit61:FLAG(kanR) | Laboratory stock |
| CA7139 | ste20:FLAG(hph) | This study |
| CA7209 | ste20:FLAG(hph) bit61:myc(kanR) | This study |
| CA7813 | bit61:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA8227 | (hph)FLAG:tor1 spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA8576 | $\sin 1-3 K Q$ | This study |
| CA9067 | sin1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA9141 | sin1-3KQ:FLAG(kanR) | This study |
| CA9538 | $\sin 1-3 K Q: F L A G(k a n R)$ spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA9552 | $\sin 1: F L A G(k a n R)$ spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA10009 | $\sin 1-S 62 A$ | This study |
| CA10017 | $\sin 1-S 301 A$ | This study |
| CA10025 | $\sin 1-S 530 A$ | This study |
| CA10622 | $\sin 1-561 A$ | This study |
| CA10630 | $\sin 1-\mathrm{S} 60,61,62 A$ | This study |
| CA10654 | $\sin 1-S 298,299,301 A$ | This study |
| CA10661 | $\sin 1-S 490 A$ | This study |
| CA11212 | $\sin 1-5404 A$ | This study |
| CA11220 | $\sin 1-528-530 A$ | This study |
| CA13019 | gpd2::kanR | Bioneer* |
| CA13029 | gpd1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13232 | $\sin 1 \Delta C: F L A G\left(u r a 4^{+}\right.$) ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13421 | Isk1::kanR | Bioneer* |
| CA13735 | wat1:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13774 | ste20:FLAG(hph) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13783 | ste20:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13881 | gad8:1GFP(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13883 | ste20:3GFP(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13885 | NTAP:tor1 sin1:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13892 | NTAP:tor1 ste20:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13893 | NTAP:tor1 wat1:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13966 | gpd1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$spc1::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13970 | ste20:FLAG(hph) bit61:myc(kanR) spc1::ura4+ ura4-D18 | This study |

[^1]Table S2. Primer DNAs used in this study

| Product | Primer name | Sequence | PCR reaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spc1TA_1-313 | Ndel-spc1_1-22 spc1_939pst1c | CTGACATATGGCAGAATTTATTCGTACAC <br> TACCTGCAGTTCATCAGCAACAGGCTCATCAG | Amplification of spc1TA fragment from +1 to +939 |
| Spc1TA_2DN | spc1_908fwd spc1_922rev | ATAATCCTACTAATGAGCCTGTTGCTGATG CATTAGTAGGATTATGGTATGGAGCAAGATA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Spc1TA_DENQ | spc1_DEnqfwd <br> spc1_Denqrev | TAATCAAGTTTTTAACTGGTCATTCCAAGATA <br> TTAAAAACTTGATTAGCAACAGGCTCATCAGT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Spc1TA 4 299-313 | spc1_delfwd spc1_delrev | TAACTACGTATTTGACTGGTCATTCCAA TCAAATACGTAGTTATGAGCCAAAGCA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_2-565 | BamHI-sin1 <br> Sin1-565Xh | CGCGGATCCGGAATTAACAAGAGAGAAAGTTCTTT CCGCTCGAGTTACCATACAAGAAATTCTTGATAGGTATTGC | Amplification of $\sin 1 \mathrm{cDNA}$ fragment from +4 to +1695 |
| Sin1_2-523 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BamHI-sin1 } \\ & \sin 1 \_1569 a p a 1 c \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same as in "Sin1_2-565" <br> CTAGGGCCCGGTACTTCCTTTTTTATCGCGTACCTTC | Amplification of $\sin 1 \mathrm{cDNA}$ fragment from +4 to +1569 |
| Sin1_2-400 | BamHI-sin1 <br> Sall_sin1_1200-1178 | same as in "Sin1_2-565" <br> GGGGTCGACTACTTCGATTTAAACGGGTAGGCAG | Amplification of $\sin 1 \mathrm{cDNA}$ fragment from +4 to +1200 |
| Sin1_466-665 | BmSin1-466 Apal-sin1 | GCGGGATCCGGCTATGGTGTTGAACCAGGTG <br> ATTGGGCCCTTAATTTATTTTTTTAACAGTATTCATCAGTG | Amplification of $\sin 1$ cDNA fragment from +1396 to +1998 |
| Sin1_540-665 | sin1_1617bamh1 <br> Apal-sin1 | CACGGATCCTAAGAAAGATGCACAATCTTCAACATACAATGC same as in "Sin1_466-665" | Amplification of $\sin 1$ cDNA fragment from +1618 to +1998 |
| Sin1_3KQ | sin1_kqfwd <br> sin1_kqrev | TCAACAGCAGGTTCGCGATAAAAAAGGAAGT CGAACCTGCTGTTGAACAAGTTCTAGAGTTGG | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_RKHQ | sin1_rkhqfwd <br> sin1_rkhqrev | TTCACGATCAACAAGGAAGTACCCAACAAT CTTGTTGATCGTGAACCTTCTTTTTAACAAGT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1 $4511-523$ | sin1_1570xba1 Apal-sin1 | CAGTCTAGAACAACAATTGCCAACCTCCTCACC same as in "Sin1_466-665" | Amplification of $\sin 1$ cDNA fragment from +1570 to +1998 |
| Sin1 | sin1-497pst1nde1 <br> sin1+2522sma1bamh1 | AGTCTGCAGCATATGTCTAGCTTGGCGTTGTCGAGTG <br> TTCAGGATCCCGGGAAAGAGGAAAGCGAGTTTATGGACAGTG | Amplification of $\sin 1+$ fragment from -497 to +2522 |
| Sin1S62A | sin1s62a_fwd sin1s62a_rev | TTTCTAGCGCTCCCCCGATTGTCGCTAATG GGGGAGCGCTAGAAAACGAAGTTTTAGA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S61A | sin161afwd <br> $\sin 161$ arev | TTTCTGCTAGCCCCCCGATTGTCGCTAAT GGGGGCTAGCAGAAAACGAAGTTTTAGA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S60,61,62A | sin160-62afwd $\sin 160-62 a r e v$ | GTTTGCGGCCGCTCCCCCGATTGTCGCTAA GGAGCGGCCGCAAACGAAGTTTTAGAATA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_528,529,530A | sin1528-30afwd sin1528-30arev | GCCAGCGGCCGCACCACAAAATTCCGTTT GGTGCGGCCGCTGGCAATTGTTGGGTACT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S301A | $\sin 1 s 301 a \_f w d$ sin1s301a_rev | GAGCGAGGCGCCTTCAAAGCCCTTATTTG <br> GAAGGCGCCTCGCTCGAAGGAAAATAAATG | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S530A | sin1s530a_fwd sin1s530a_rev | AACCAGCGCTCCACAAAATTCCGTTTATG TGTGGAGCGCTGGTTGGCAATTGTTGGGT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S404A | sin1s404afwd sin1s404arev | AACAGCTATTCCGGAAGCCAATAACAAAACGC TCCGGAATAGCTGTTGGATGCTTCGATTT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S490A | $\sin 1490 a f w d$ <br> $\sin 1490$ arev | GTTGCCGGCGCTGATACTGTTTTACCAC ATCAGCGCCGGCAACTCGCAGAGTATAC | Site-directed mutagenesis |

Table S3. Plasmids used in this study
For Y2H

| Name | Expressed protein |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bait plasmid |  |  |
| pGBT8 | GAL4 DNA-binding domain (BD) | Laboratory stock |
| pGBT8-spc1TA | BD-Spc1T171A(1-349, full length) | Laboratory stock |
| pGBT8-spc1TA_1-313 | BD-Spc1T171A(1-313) | This study |
| pGBT8-spc1_1-109 | BD-Spc1(1-109) | This study |
| pGBT8-spc1TA $4299-313$ | BD-Spc1(1-298:314-349, $\Delta C D)$ | This study |
| pGBT8-spc1TA_2DN | BD-Spc1T171A,D304N,D307N(1-349) | This study |
| pGBT8-spc1TA_DENQ | BD-Spc1T171A,D312N,E313Q,D316N(1-349) | This study |
| Prey plasmid |  |  |
| pGADGH | GAL4 activation domain (AD) | Laboratory stock |
| pGADGH-sin1 | AD-Sin1(2-665, full length) | Laboratory stock |
| pGADGH-sin1_2-565 | AD-Sin1(2-565) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_2-523 | AD-Sin1(2-523) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_2-509 | AD-Sin1(2-509) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_2-400 | AD-Sin1(2-400) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_416-665 | AD-Sin1(416-665) | Laboratory stock |
| pGADGH-sin1_466-665 | AD-Sin1(466-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_509-665 | AD-Sin1(509-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_540-665 | AD-Sin1(540-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 511-523 | AD-Sin1(2-510:524-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_3KQ | AD-Sin1K513Q,K514Q,K515Q(2-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_RKHQ | AD-Sin1R517H,K519Q,K520Q(2-665) | This study |

For construction of strains with mutated $\sin 1$

| Name | Mutation | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pBSIISK-sin1+ | N/A | This study |
| pBSIISK-sin1S62A | S62A | This study |
| pBSIISK-sin1S61A | S61A | This study |
| pBSIISK-sin1S60,61,62A | S60A,S61A,A62A | This study |
| pBSIISK-sin1 528,529,530A | T528A,S529A,S530A | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1S301A | S301A | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1S530A | S530A | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_S404A | S404A | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_S490A | S490A | Laboratory stock |
| pREP1-sin1 S298A S299A S301A:12myc | S298A,S299A,S301A |  |



Figure S1. Mutations to the reported phosphorylation sites in Sin1 show no apparent effect on TORC2 activity.
(A) Sin1 phosphorylation sites identified by mass spectrometry (Hayashi et al., 2007). Ser-62, Ser-301 and Ser-530 (in red) followed by proline are putative MAPK phosphorylation sites. " + " denotes the cluster of basic residues identified in this study (Fig. 1B).
(B) The putative MAPK phosphorylation sites shown in (A) were substituted by alanine, and TORC2dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) and the activating phosphorylation of Spc1 MAPK ( pSpc 1 ) before and after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl were monitored by immunoblotting as in Fig. 4. S62A, sin1-S62A (CA10009); S301A, sin1-S301A (CA10017); S530A, sin1-S530A (CA10025); and $\Delta \sin 1$ (CA9067).
(C) The other reported phosphorylation sites were analyzed by alanine substitutions. Upper panel: S61A, $\sin 1-S 61 A(C A 10622)$; S404A, $\sin 1-S 404 A$ (CA11212); and S490A, $\sin 1-S 490 A$ (CA10661). Lower panel: Multiple serine/threonine residues that are close to the putative MAPK phosphorylation sites were mutated. S60-62A, sin1-S60,61,62A (CA10630); 528-530A, sin1-T528A, S529A,S530A (CA11220); and S298,299,301A, sin1-S298,299,301A (CA10654).


Figure S2. Nuclear-cytoplasmic distribution of the Gad8 protein.
The lysate of spheroplasts (whole cell extract, WCE) prepared from the wild-type and $\Delta s p c 1$ strains was divided into the soluble cytosolic fraction (Cyt) and the nucleus-rich fraction (Nuc) as described by Cohen et al. (2016). Gad8 in each fraction was detected by immunoblotting using anti-Gad8 antibodies. The ribosomal subunit Rps6 and Histone H2B (H2B) were used as cytosolic and nuclear markers, respectively.


Figure S3. A search for genes required for reactivation of TORC2 after osmostress. In the wild-type and indicated null mutant strains, TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) and the activating phosphorylation of Spc1 MAPK (pSpc1) before and after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl were monitored by immunoblotting as in Fig. 4.


Figure S4. Osmo-response of TORC2 signaling in fission yeast does not involve Pmk1 MAPK nor SIm1.
(A) Pmk1 MAPK is not required for the osmo-inhibition of TORC2-Gad8 signaling. TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) and the activating phosphorylation of Spc1 MAPK (pSpc1) in the wild-type and $\Delta \mathrm{pmk} 1$ mutant strains along the time course after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl were monitored by immunoblotting as in Fig. 4. (B) Fission yeast SIm1 is not required for TORC2 activity. TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Gad8 (pGad8) was monitored by immunoblotting in the wild type (WT) and a strain lacking the only ortholog (slm1+; ORF, SPAC637.13c) of budding yeast Slm1/2 before and after high osmolarity stress of 0.6 M KCl . (C) Regulation of the Spc1-Atf1 and TORC2-Gad8 pathways in response to high osmolarity stress. Activation of the Atf1 transcription factor by Spc1 MAPK induces expression of the glycerol synthesis enzyme Gpd1 that promotes cellular adaptation to high osmolarity environment, mitigating the osmo-inhibition of TORC2-Gad8 signaling. Spc1 and Atf1 also positively regulate TORC2 in the absence of osmostress, but in a Gpd1independent manner.

Table S1. S. pombe strains used in this study

| Strain | Genotype | Source or reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BG3847H | sds23::kanR ura4-D18 leu1-32 ade6 h+ | Bioneer* |
| JP76 | srk1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Smith et al ., 2002 |
| KS1115 | pyp2::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Shiozaki and Russell, 1995a |
| KS1616 | spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 h - | Laboratory stock |
| KS1366 | spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Laboratory stock |
| KS1497 | atf1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Shiozaki and Russell, 1996 |
| KS1533 | atf1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Shiozaki and Russell, 1996 |
| KS1598 |  | Laboratory stock |
| KS2060 | cmk2::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Laboratory stock |
| KS2079 | wis1:myc(ura4 ${ }^{+}$) ura4-D18 | Shiozaki et al ., 1998 |
| KS2080 | wis1AA:myc(ura4 ${ }^{+}$) ura4-D18 | Shiozaki et al ., 1998 |
| KS2081 | wis1DD:myc(ura $4^{+}$) ura4-D18 | Shiozaki et al ., 1998 |
| PR37 | $h$ - (972) | Laboratory stock |
| PR253 | pyp1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Shiozaki and Russell, 1995a |
| TP319-31A | pmk1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Toda et al ., 1996 |
| CA1788 | hal4::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Wang et al ., 2005 |
| CA2527 | wsh3::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Tatebe et al ., 2005 |
| CA4593 | tor1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | Kawai et al ., 2001 |
| CA4776 | $\operatorname{sin1:FLAG(kanR)~spc1::ura4~}{ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA5123/CA9121 | $\sin 1: F L A G(k a n R)$ | Tatebe et al ., 2010 |
| CA5126/NT475 | $\operatorname{sin1} 1: \mathrm{kanR}$ | Ikeda et al ., 2008 |
| CA5764 | slm1:.:kanR | This study |
| CA5999 | NTAP:tor1 $\sin 1: F L A G(k a n R)$ | Tatebe et al ., 2010 |
| CA6271 | ste20:FLAG(kanR) | This study |
| CA6287 | NTAP:tor1 ste20:FLAG(kanR) | This study |
| CA6407 | NTAP:tor1 wat1:FLAG(kanR) | Tatebe et al., 2010 |
| CA6437 | wat1:FLAG(kanR) | Tatebe et al., 2010 |
| CA6530 | (hph)FLAG:tor1 | Hayashi et al., 2007 |
| CA6655 | ste20:3GFP(kanR) | Tatebe et al ., 2010 |
| CA6743 | gad8:1GFP(kanR) | This study |
| CA6764 | bit61:FLAG(kanR) | Laboratory stock |
| CA7139 | ste20:FLAG(hph) | This study |
| CA7209 | ste20:FLAG(hph) bit61:myc(kanR) | This study |
| CA7813 | bit61:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA8227 | (hph)FLAG:tor1 spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA8576 | $\sin 1-3 K Q$ | This study |
| CA9067 | sin1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA9141 | sin1-3KQ:FLAG(kanR) | This study |
| CA9538 | $\sin 1-3 K Q: F L A G(k a n R)$ spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA9552 | $\sin 1: F L A G(k a n R)$ spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA10009 | $\sin 1-S 62 A$ | This study |
| CA10017 | $\sin 1-S 301 A$ | This study |
| CA10025 | $\sin 1-S 530 A$ | This study |
| CA10622 | $\sin 1-561 A$ | This study |
| CA10630 | $\sin 1-\mathrm{S} 60,61,62 A$ | This study |
| CA10654 | $\sin 1-S 298,299,301 A$ | This study |
| CA10661 | $\sin 1-S 490 A$ | This study |
| CA11212 | $\sin 1-5404 A$ | This study |
| CA11220 | $\sin 1-528-530 A$ | This study |
| CA13019 | gpd2::kanR | Bioneer* |
| CA13029 | gpd1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13232 | $\sin 1 \Delta C: F L A G\left(u r a 4^{+}\right.$) ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13421 | Isk1::kanR | Bioneer* |
| CA13735 | wat1:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13774 | ste20:FLAG(hph) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13783 | ste20:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13881 | gad8:1GFP(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13883 | ste20:3GFP(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13885 | NTAP:tor1 sin1:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13892 | NTAP:tor1 ste20:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13893 | NTAP:tor1 wat1:FLAG(kanR) spc1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13966 | gpd1::ura4 ${ }^{+}$spc1::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18 | This study |
| CA13970 | ste20:FLAG(hph) bit61:myc(kanR) spc1::ura4+ ura4-D18 | This study |

[^2]Table S2. Primer DNAs used in this study

| Product | Primer name | Sequence | PCR reaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spc1TA_1-313 | Ndel-spc1_1-22 spc1_939pst1c | CTGACATATGGCAGAATTTATTCGTACAC <br> TACCTGCAGTTCATCAGCAACAGGCTCATCAG | Amplification of spc1TA fragment from +1 to +939 |
| Spc1TA_2DN | spc1_908fwd spc1_922rev | ATAATCCTACTAATGAGCCTGTTGCTGATG CATTAGTAGGATTATGGTATGGAGCAAGATA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Spc1TA_DENQ | spc1_DEnqfwd <br> spc1_Denqrev | TAATCAAGTTTTTAACTGGTCATTCCAAGATA <br> TTAAAAACTTGATTAGCAACAGGCTCATCAGT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Spc1TA 4 299-313 | spc1_delfwd spc1_delrev | TAACTACGTATTTGACTGGTCATTCCAA TCAAATACGTAGTTATGAGCCAAAGCA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_2-565 | BamHI-sin1 <br> Sin1-565Xh | CGCGGATCCGGAATTAACAAGAGAGAAAGTTCTTT CCGCTCGAGTTACCATACAAGAAATTCTTGATAGGTATTGC | Amplification of $\sin 1 \mathrm{cDNA}$ fragment from +4 to +1695 |
| Sin1_2-523 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BamHI-sin1 } \\ & \sin 1 \_1569 a p a 1 c \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same as in "Sin1_2-565" <br> CTAGGGCCCGGTACTTCCTTTTTTATCGCGTACCTTC | Amplification of $\sin 1 \mathrm{cDNA}$ fragment from +4 to +1569 |
| Sin1_2-400 | BamHI-sin1 <br> Sall_sin1_1200-1178 | same as in "Sin1_2-565" <br> GGGGTCGACTACTTCGATTTAAACGGGTAGGCAG | Amplification of $\sin 1 \mathrm{cDNA}$ fragment from +4 to +1200 |
| Sin1_466-665 | BmSin1-466 Apal-sin1 | GCGGGATCCGGCTATGGTGTTGAACCAGGTG <br> ATTGGGCCCTTAATTTATTTTTTTAACAGTATTCATCAGTG | Amplification of $\sin 1$ cDNA fragment from +1396 to +1998 |
| Sin1_540-665 | sin1_1617bamh1 <br> Apal-sin1 | CACGGATCCTAAGAAAGATGCACAATCTTCAACATACAATGC same as in "Sin1_466-665" | Amplification of $\sin 1$ cDNA fragment from +1618 to +1998 |
| Sin1_3KQ | sin1_kqfwd <br> sin1_kqrev | TCAACAGCAGGTTCGCGATAAAAAAGGAAGT CGAACCTGCTGTTGAACAAGTTCTAGAGTTGG | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_RKHQ | sin1_rkhqfwd <br> sin1_rkhqrev | TTCACGATCAACAAGGAAGTACCCAACAAT CTTGTTGATCGTGAACCTTCTTTTTAACAAGT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1 $4511-523$ | sin1_1570xba1 Apal-sin1 | CAGTCTAGAACAACAATTGCCAACCTCCTCACC same as in "Sin1_466-665" | Amplification of $\sin 1$ cDNA fragment from +1570 to +1998 |
| Sin1 | sin1-497pst1nde1 <br> sin1+2522sma1bamh1 | AGTCTGCAGCATATGTCTAGCTTGGCGTTGTCGAGTG <br> TTCAGGATCCCGGGAAAGAGGAAAGCGAGTTTATGGACAGTG | Amplification of $\sin 1+$ fragment from -497 to +2522 |
| Sin1S62A | sin1s62a_fwd sin1s62a_rev | TTTCTAGCGCTCCCCCGATTGTCGCTAATG GGGGAGCGCTAGAAAACGAAGTTTTAGA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S61A | sin161afwd <br> $\sin 161$ arev | TTTCTGCTAGCCCCCCGATTGTCGCTAAT GGGGGCTAGCAGAAAACGAAGTTTTAGA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S60,61,62A | sin160-62afwd $\sin 160-62 a r e v$ | GTTTGCGGCCGCTCCCCCGATTGTCGCTAA GGAGCGGCCGCAAACGAAGTTTTAGAATA | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_528,529,530A | sin1528-30afwd sin1528-30arev | GCCAGCGGCCGCACCACAAAATTCCGTTT GGTGCGGCCGCTGGCAATTGTTGGGTACT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S301A | $\sin 1 s 301 a \_f w d$ sin1s301a_rev | GAGCGAGGCGCCTTCAAAGCCCTTATTTG <br> GAAGGCGCCTCGCTCGAAGGAAAATAAATG | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S530A | sin1s530a_fwd sin1s530a_rev | AACCAGCGCTCCACAAAATTCCGTTTATG TGTGGAGCGCTGGTTGGCAATTGTTGGGT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S404A | sin1s404afwd sin1s404arev | AACAGCTATTCCGGAAGCCAATAACAAAACGC TCCGGAATAGCTGTTGGATGCTTCGATTT | Site-directed mutagenesis |
| Sin1_S490A | $\sin 1490 a f w d$ <br> $\sin 1490$ arev | GTTGCCGGCGCTGATACTGTTTTACCAC ATCAGCGCCGGCAACTCGCAGAGTATAC | Site-directed mutagenesis |

Table S3. Plasmids used in this study
For Y2H

| Name | Expressed protein |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bait plasmid |  |  |
| pGBT8 | GAL4 DNA-binding domain (BD) | Laboratory stock |
| pGBT8-spc1TA | BD-Spc1T171A(1-349, full length) | Laboratory stock |
| pGBT8-spc1TA_1-313 | BD-Spc1T171A(1-313) | This study |
| pGBT8-spc1_1-109 | BD-Spc1(1-109) | This study |
| pGBT8-spc1TA $4299-313$ | BD-Spc1(1-298:314-349, $\Delta C D)$ | This study |
| pGBT8-spc1TA_2DN | BD-Spc1T171A,D304N,D307N(1-349) | This study |
| pGBT8-spc1TA_DENQ | BD-Spc1T171A,D312N,E313Q,D316N(1-349) | This study |
| Prey plasmid |  |  |
| pGADGH | GAL4 activation domain (AD) | Laboratory stock |
| pGADGH-sin1 | AD-Sin1(2-665, full length) | Laboratory stock |
| pGADGH-sin1_2-565 | AD-Sin1(2-565) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_2-523 | AD-Sin1(2-523) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_2-509 | AD-Sin1(2-509) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_2-400 | AD-Sin1(2-400) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_416-665 | AD-Sin1(416-665) | Laboratory stock |
| pGADGH-sin1_466-665 | AD-Sin1(466-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_509-665 | AD-Sin1(509-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_540-665 | AD-Sin1(540-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 511-523 | AD-Sin1(2-510:524-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_3KQ | AD-Sin1K513Q,K514Q,K515Q(2-665) | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_RKHQ | AD-Sin1R517H,K519Q,K520Q(2-665) | This study |

For construction of strains with mutated $\sin 1$

| Name | Mutation | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pBSIISK-sin1+ | N/A | This study |
| pBSIISK-sin1S62A | S62A | This study |
| pBSIISK-sin1S61A | S61A | This study |
| pBSIISK-sin1S60,61,62A | S60A,S61A,A62A | This study |
| pBSIISK-sin1 528,529,530A | T528A,S529A,S530A | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1S301A | S301A | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1S530A | S530A | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_S404A | S404A | This study |
| pGADGH-sin1_S490A | S490A | Laboratory stock |
| pREP1-sin1 S298A S299A S301A:12myc | S298A,S299A,S301A |  |
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