# Drosophila MAGI interacts with RASSF8 to regulate E-Cadherinbased adherens junctions in the developing eye 
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#### Abstract

Morphogenesis is crucial during development to generate organs and tissues of the correct size and shape. During Drosophila late eye development, interommatidial cells (IOCs) rearrange to generate the highly organized pupal lattice, in which hexagonal ommatidial units pack tightly. This process involves the fine regulation of adherens junctions (AJs) and of adhesive E-Cadherin (E-Cad) complexes. Localized accumulation of Bazooka (Baz), the Drosophila PAR3 homolog, has emerged as a critical step to specify where new E-Cad complexes should be deposited during junction remodeling. However, the mechanisms controlling the correct localization of Baz are still only partly understood. We show here that Drosophila Magi, the sole fly homolog of the mammalian MAGI scaffolds, is an upstream regulator of E-Cad-based AJs during cell rearrangements, and that Magi mutant IOCs fail to reach their correct position. We uncover a direct physical interaction between Magi and the Ras association domain protein RASSF8 through a WW domain-PPxY motif binding, and show that apical Magi recruits the RASSF8-ASPP complex during AJ remodeling in IOCs. We further show that this Magi complex is required for the cortical recruitment of Baz and of the E-Cad-associated proteins $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-catenin. We propose that, by controlling the proper localization of Baz to remodeling junctions, Magi and the RASSF8-ASPP complex promote the recruitment or stabilization of E-Cad complexes at junction sites.
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## INTRODUCTION

During development, tissues undergo morphogenesis to adopt their specific sizes and shapes, a process driven by the behaviors of individual cells (Heisenberg and Bellaïche, 2013). For instance, the stereotypical arrangement of hexagonal ommatidia in the Drosophila adult compound eye is achieved during earlier pupal stages through cell rearrangements. Each ommatidium is composed of eight photoreceptors beneath four cone cells, and is surrounded by two primary pigment cells (Bao et al., 2010). Ommatidia are separated by secondary and tertiary pigment cells [interommatidial cells (IOCs)] arranged in a repetitive hexagonal lattice. Initially, an excess of unpatterned interommatidial precursor cells (IPCs) lies between the ommatidia. Between 18 h and 42 h after puparium formation (APF), the unpatterned IPCs first rearrange into a single
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row between neighboring ommatidia and the excess are removed by apoptosis, giving rise to the stereotypical repetitive hexagonal units of the Drosophila eye (Cagan, 2009).
Regulated cell-cell adhesion is crucial for correct formation of the interommatidial lattice. For example, IPC rearrangement requires the careful modulation of adherens junctions (AJs) (Tepass and Harris, 2007). This remodeling of AJs involves the destruction of old E-Cadherin (E-Cad)-based contacts and the creation of new ones (E-Cad is also known as Shotgun - FlyBase). Studies in Drosophila embryos and pupae have highlighted crucial roles for Bazooka (Baz), the Drosophila PAR3 homolog, in specifying de novo E-Cad deposition during remodeling (Desai et al., 2013; McGill et al., 2009; McKinley et al., 2012; Walther and Pichaud, 2010) and for the actin cytoskeleton in controlling the dynamics of individual junctions (Levayer et al., 2011; Rauzi et al., 2010). In the Drosophila pupal eye, IOC rearrangements and hence junction remodeling are also regulated by heterophilic interactions between Irre family adhesion molecules, such as Hibris and Roughest [homologs of vertebrate Nephrin (NPHS1) and NEPH1 (KIRREL), respectively] (Bao and Cagan, 2005; Bao et al., 2010; Reiter et al., 1996). Loss of any of these adhesion molecules leads to defects in IOC rearrangement as well as in apoptosis, suggesting that IOC rearrangement is necessary for apoptosis.
Recently, the N-terminal Ras association (RA) domaincontaining protein RASSF8 (Ras association domain family 8) was shown to regulate Drosophila pupal eye morphogenesis and the AJ integrity of IOCs (Langton et al., 2009). In Drosophila epithelial cells, RASSF8 is localized apically and binds to ASPP (Ankyrinrepeat, SH3-domain, and proline-rich-region containing protein), the fly homolog of the p53 activating partners ASPP1 (PPP1R13B) and ASPP2 (TRP53BP2). The RASSF8-ASPP complex regulates the activity of Src kinases, which promote AJ remodeling (Langton et al., 2007, 2009; Vidal et al., 2006). How this complex interacts with other key players of AJ regulation to control AJ remodeling during eye morphogenesis remains unexplored.
MAGI (membrane-associated guanylate kinase inverted) proteins are molecular scaffolds with several protein-protein interaction (WW and PDZ) domains. MAGI proteins localize at apical junctions and bind $\beta$-catenin as well as other apical proteins (Dobrosotskaya and James, 2000; Ide et al., 1999; Kawajiri et al., 2000). For example, MAGI1 binds and activates the guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the junction remodeling small GTPase RAP1, which in turn regulates maturation of the AJs (Sakurai et al., 2006). MAGIs also bind to and stabilize the tumor suppressor PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) at the membrane, leading to increased PTEN activity (Kotelevets et al., 2005; Subauste et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2000a,b; Zmajkovicova et al., 2013). Taken together, these studies suggest that MAGIs may modulate epithelial cell adhesion and, through PTEN, restrict proliferation. MAGI2 and MAGI3 mutations have been identified in prostate, colorectal and breast cancer genomes (Banerji et al., 2012;

Berger et al., 2011; Pleasance et al., 2010), suggesting that MAGI proteins might be involved in cancers.

Here, we investigate the function of Drosophila Magi, the sole fly homolog of the three human MAGI genes, and demonstrate that it regulates AJ dynamics and IOC number. In Magi mutants, IOCs of the pupal lattice fail to rearrange, resulting in eyes with mislocalized supernumerary cells. We have identified RASSF8 as a Magi binding partner and show that these proteins interact physically and functionally during pupal eye development. Magi localizes at the AJs in Drosophila pupal eye epithelial cells, where it recruits the RASSF8-ASPP complex. During IOC rearrangement, Magi is required for E-Cad-based junction integrity, a function dependent on its WW domains and on its interaction with RASSF8. We show that Magi, RASSF8 and ASPP are required for the recruitment of Baz at the membrane. We propose that, by recruiting the RASSF8ASPP complex at the AJs during remodeling in Drosophila eye IOCs, Magi helps specify domains of the membrane where Baz promotes the accumulation of E-Cad to generate new junctions.

## RESULTS

## Magi controls cell numbers in the Drosophila pupal eye

To study Magi function in Drosophila we generated mutations by mobilizing a transposon (P element $P\{$ GSV 6$\}$ GS6093) located just upstream of the Magi locus, between Magi and CG9406. Among the local genomic deletions generated by the imprecise excision of this transposon, excision ex214 affected only Magi. Genomic PCR mapped the deficiency to the first three exons of Magi, deleting the transcription start site, the initiating methionine, and the first half of the first WW domain. The rest of the Magi gene and the neighboring genes mago, CG9406 and Xbp1 are unaffected (supplementary material Fig. S1A and Fig. S2A). In Magiex214 mutant cells, no Magi protein expression could be detected using a polyclonal anti-Magi antibody that we generated directed against the PDZ3 and 4 domains
(supplementary material Fig. S1B). Finally, western blot analysis using the anti-Magi antibody revealed the absence of a band at the expected size for the protein ( $\sim 130 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) in Magie ${ }^{\text {ex2 }}$ er mutants and did not reveal any shorter products (supplementary material Fig. S1C), suggesting that no truncated protein is expressed. Together, these results suggest that Magiex214 is a molecular null allele.
Magiex214 homozygous flies are viable and fertile, but exhibit slightly enlarged wings (supplementary material Table S1) and mildly rough eyes (Fig. 1B, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ). The latter arises because the ommatidia are not surrounded by the proper numbers of neighbors and interommatidial bristles (six and three, respectively, in wild type) resulting in packing defects (Fig. 1D). In Magi mutants, $\sim 13 \%$ of ommatidia have abnormal numbers of neighbors compared with $0.4 \%$ in wild-type controls (Fig. 1D). Similarly, $\sim 35 \%$ of Magi mutant ommatidia have abnormal numbers of interommatidial bristles compared with $9.5 \%$ in wild type (Fig. 1E). This phenotype is not enhanced when Magiex214 is transheterozygous with a mapped deficiency that uncovers the Magi locus [Df(2R)Exel6072], arguing that Magiex 214 behaves as a genetic null mutation. The rough eye phenotype and cell packing defects are completely rescued by lowlevel ubiquitous expression of full-length Magi [using the ubiquitin promoter, which drives expression of Magi transgenes at levels similar to ( $\sim 1.5$-fold) the endogenous protein; supplementary material Fig. S1D,E], proving that the defects observed are specific to the Magi mutation (Fig. 1C-E).
The mild rough eye in Magie ${ }^{i x 214}$ can be explained by the presence of additional mislocalized IOCs as well as extra interommatidial bristles in pupal retinas (Fig. $1 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ ). There were on average $12.25 \pm 1.00$ IOCs per ommatidium in the Magi mutant, as compared with $9.04 \pm 0.06$ in wild type (see supplementary Materials and Methods for quantification procedures; Fig. 1F). Magie ${ }^{e 214}$ pupal eyes also exhibited sorting defects, whereby secondary pigment


Fig. 1. Magi controls morphogenesis of the Drosophila pupal eye lattice. (A-C) Scanning electron micrographs showing the effect of Magi mutation on the external architecture of the adult eye. Magi mutant adults have a mild rough eye phenotype (B) compared with wild type (A), which is rescued by providing a ubiquitously expressed Magi transgene
(C). $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)$ High magnification of the adult compound eye corresponding to $A-C$. The regular hexagonal packing of ommatidial units of the wild type ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) is affected in Magi mutants ( $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) and rescued by providing wild-type Magi ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ). ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime}$ ) Pupal eye at 44 h APF stained for E-Cad, showing the ommatidial organization corresponding to A-C. Magi mutant eyes have extra IOCs ( $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$, arrowheads) compared with wild-type controls ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ ). Total rescue is seen when a wild-type Magi transgene is provided (C"). (D,E) Quantification of the effects observed in $A^{\prime}-C^{\prime}$. The total number of ommatidia scored ranged from 433 to 541 depending on genotype. (F) Quantification of the number of IOCs per ommatidium (see Materials and Methods). (D-F) Error bars indicate s.e.m.;
${ }^{* * *} P<0.001,{ }^{* *} P<0.01$, n.s., not significant (unpaired $t$-test). Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{A} ; 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$.


Fig. 2. Magi binds to RASSF8. (A) Schematics of Drosophila RASSF8 and Magi structure. Highlighted are the RASSF8 residues 294-303 with a PPxY motif, the Magi residues 285-378 (green line) representing the common fragment of the Magi clones identified by two-hybrid analysis using RASSF8 as bait, and the Magi residues 790-1202 (red line) representing the fragment used to raise the anti-Magi antibody. The PDZ (PSD95/Dlg1/Zo1), WW, Ras association (RA) and coiled-coil (C) domains are shown. (B) RASSF8 co-immunoprecipitates with Magi. (Left) Western blot of cleared lysates from cells expressing either FLAG-tagged GFP (FLAG-GFP, negative control) or FLAG-Magi with Myc-ASPP or Myc-RASSF8, or both. (Right) Western blot following immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG. Myc-RASSF8 co-immunoprecipitates only with FLAG-Magi (lanes 5 and 6 ) and not with FLAG-GFP (lanes 2 and 3). Myc-ASPP co-immunoprecipitates with FLAG-Magi only in the presence of RASSF8 (lane 6). (C) The PPxY motif in RASSF8 is required for the interaction with Magi. (Left) Western blot of cleared lysates from cells expressing Myc-Magi with either FLAG-GFP (negative control) or FLAG-RASSF8 (WT), or FLAG-RASSF8 ${ }^{\text {Y297A }}$ in which Y297 is substituted for alanine (Y297A). (Right) Western blot following immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG. Myc-Magi co-immunoprecipitates only with FLAG-RASSF8 (lane 2) and not with FLAG-GFP (lane 1) or FLAG-RASSF8 ${ }^{\text {Y297A }}$ (lane 3). (D) The WW domains in Magi are required for the interaction with RASSF8. (Left) Western blot of cleared lysates from cells expressing HA-RASSF8 with different FLAG-Magi mutant constructs: wild-type Magi (WT), Magi deleted of the two WW domains ( $\triangle$ WW), Magi in which P232 in the first WW is mutated (P232A), and Magi in which P370 in the second WW is mutated (P370A). (Right) Western blot following immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG. HA-RASSF8 co-immunoprecipitates only with HA-Magi (WT, lane 1) and not with Magi $\Delta W W$ ( $\Delta W W$, lane 2 ) or Magi with point mutations in the WW domains (lanes 3 and 4).
cells contacted other secondary pigment cells instead of being surrounded by primary pigment cells (Fig. 1B", arrowheads). The number of photoreceptors, cone cells and primary pigment cells was unaffected. These defects in IOC numbers and sorting were completely rescued by a wild-type Magi transgene (average of $8.97 \pm 0.14$ IOCs per ommatidium; Fig. 1C",F). Conversely, overexpression of Magi in all pupal eye cells (using GMR-Gal4) led to a loss of IOCs (average of $7.40 \pm 0.65$ IOCs per ommatidium; supplementary material Fig. S2B, arrowheads), leading to packing defects and an externally rough eye (see Fig. 3K). These results show that Magi is required for the control of IOC number and for the proper sorting of IOCs during Drosophila pupal eye development.

We then tested whether the extra IOCs in Magi mutants could be due to a defect in the developmental apoptosis of IOCs occurring between 18 and 42 h APF (Cagan, 2009). Assessing the number of apoptotic cells in wild-type and Magi mutant tissues within the same retinas, we did not detect any significant difference ( $6.12 \pm 2.20$ and $5.64 \pm 1.89$ apoptotic cells per arbitrary surface unit, respectively; $P=0.395$, paired $t$-test; supplementary material Fig. S2C,D). We note, however, that the small number of extra IOCs in Magi mutants
suggests that Magi would only affect a small proportion of the cells undergoing developmental apoptosis in the pupal eye, making it difficult to distinguish the Magi-regulated effects from the bulk of apoptotic events.

## RASSF8 is a binding partner of Magi

To elucidate Magi function during eye development, we sought to identify its molecular partners. One candidate was ASPP, as ASPP loss-of-function alleles cause similar phenotypes to Magi mutations in the developing Drosophila eye (Langton et al., 2007), and because human ASPP2 binds to human MAGI1 (Pirozzi et al., 1997). Furthermore, several clones of Drosophila Magi were isolated in a yeast two-hybrid screen using RASSF8, a partner of ASPP, as bait. RASSF8 is a strong binding partner of ASPP, and RASSF8 mutant pupal eyes exhibit a phenotype similar to that of Magi mutants (Langton et al., 2009). We therefore first tested the Magi-RASSF8 interaction by co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP), and confirmed that Myc-tagged RASSF8 could be co-purified with FLAG-tagged Magi (Fig. 2B). Although ASPP alone did not co-immunoprecipitate with Magi, when ASPP was co-expressed


Fig. 3. Magi acts through RASSF8. (A-F) Pupal eye at 44 h APF stained for E-Cad showing ommatidial organization. Arrowheads highlight extra IOCs compared with wild type. Whereas the supernumerary IOC phenotype in Magi mutants (A) is completely rescued by introducing a wild-type Magi transgene (B), only partial rescue is seen with a Magi $\Delta W W$ transgene (C). Similarly, only a wild-type RASSF8 transgene (E), and not a RASSF8 ${ }^{\text {YA }}$ transgene mutated in its Magiinteracting motif $(F)$, is able to fully rescue the extra IOC phenotype in RASSF8 mutants (D). (G) Quantification of the number of IOCs per ommatidium in A-F (see Materials and Methods). s.e.m. is shown; ${ }^{* * *} P<0.001,{ }^{* *} P<0.01$, ${ }^{*} P<0.05$ (unpaired $t$-test). (H) Strength of rescue obtained after normalization. Normalization was obtained by dividing the number of IOCs in the different rescue experiments by the number of IOCs in the corresponding Magi or RASSF8 mutant background. (I-M) Scanning electron microscopy of Drosophila adult eyes following GMR-Gal4-driven overexpression. Full-length Magi overexpression produces a mild rough eye $(\mathrm{K})$ compared with wild type (I), while Magi WWW has a weaker effect $(\mathrm{J})$ (all transgenes are inserted at the same locus ensuring equivalent expression levels). This effect of Magi is strongly suppressed by removing one copy of RASSF8 (RASSF86/+; L) but is enhanced by co-expressing RASSF8 (M). $\left(I^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)$ High magnification of adult eyes corresponding to I-M. Note the almost wild-type packing after Magi $\Delta W W$ ( $J^{\prime}$ ) overexpression, and the very disorganized eye structure after Magi and RASSF8 co-overexpression ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ ). (N) Quantification of the effects observed in $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}-\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$, highlighting the suppression of the effects of Magi overexpression by RASSF8 mutants. $n$, total number of ommatidia scored per genotype. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{I} ; 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$.
with RASSF8 both proteins co-purified with FLAG-Magi (Fig. 2B). This suggests that ASPP might not interact directly with Magi in Drosophila, but forms a ternary complex through RASSF8.

The Magi fragments isolated in the two-hybrid screen with RASSF8 spanned the two WW domains of Magi (Fig. 2A; supplementary material Table S2). Since RASSF8 contains a PPxY motif, which is a known ligand for WW domains, we tested whether Magi could be interacting, via its WW domains, with RASSF8
through this motif. We generated a mutant RASSF8 protein in which tyrosine residue 297 of the PPxY motif was mutated to an alanine. This RASSF8 ${ }^{\mathrm{Y} 297 \mathrm{~A}}$ mutant could no longer interact with Magi in co-IP experiments (Fig. 2C). To confirm that RASSF8 binds to the WW domains of Magi we generated three different mutant versions by (1) deleting the two WW domains ( $\Delta \mathrm{WW}$ ), (2) substituting proline 323 in the first WW domain with an alanine (P323A), and (3) substituting proline 370 in the second WW
domain with an alanine (P370A). In co-IP experiments, only the wild-type form of Magi pulled down RASSF8 (Fig. 2D). Mutating either WW domain was sufficient to abrogate the binding. These results show that binding of RASSF8 and Magi is mediated by a PPxY motif-WW domain interaction.

## The physical interaction between RASSF8 and Magi is required for their full function

As Magi and RASSF8 form a complex, we investigated the consequences of disrupting the Magi-RASSF8 physical interaction on their activities.

Whereas the ubiquitous expression of full-length Magi fully rescued the adult external rough eye phenotype and packing defects of the Magi mutant (Fig. 1C-F), a mutant form of Magi lacking the WW RASSF8-interacting domains gave only a partial rescue ( $2 \%$ of ommatidia with the improper number of neighbors and $23 \%$ with bristle abnormalities). Furthermore, whereas the extra IOC phenotype in Magi mutants ( $12.25 \pm 1.00$ IOCs per ommatidium compared with $9.04 \pm 0.06$ in wild type, a $36 \%$ increase) was completely rescued by wild-type Magi (Fig. 3B,G), it was only partially rescued by Magi $\Delta \mathrm{WW}$ ( $10.69 \pm 0.92$ IOCs per ommatidium, an $18 \%$ increase; Fig. 3C,G). The Magi $\Delta$ WW mutant is expressed at very similar levels to wild-type Magi and $\sim 1.5$-fold more than endogenous Magi, showing that the weaker effects of Magi $\Delta \mathrm{WW}$ are not due to reduced protein levels (supplementary material Fig. S1D,E). This demonstrates that the WW domains of Magi are required for full function.

Similarly, the extra IOC phenotype observed in RASSF8 mutants ( $10.91 \pm 0.36$, a $21 \%$ increase compared with wild type) was completely rescued by expression of a wild-type form of RASSF8 (Fig. 3E,G), but only partially rescued by expression of the RASSF8 ${ }^{\text {Y297A }}$ variant in which the Magi-binding PPxY motif was mutated ( $9.48 \pm 0.28$, a $5 \%$ increase; Fig. 3F,G). We note that when normalized to the extra IOC phenotype observed in the Magi and RASSF8 mutants, the extents of rescue by Magi 1 WW and RASSF8 ${ }^{\text {Y297A }}$ were similar ( 0.872 and 0.869 , respectively; Fig. 3 H ). Together, these results strongly suggest that the physical interaction between Magi and RASSF8 is required for their functions in controlling IOC numbers and their rearrangement.

## RASSF8 mediates the effects of Magi in the Drosophila eye

To further establish the relevance of RASSF8 for Magi function, we tested the functional requirements for RASSF8 under conditions in which Magi overexpression elicits ommatidial packing defects. As expected, Magi $\Delta$ WW lacking the RASSF8-interacting WW domains gave a far milder phenotype than wild-type Magi when overexpressed (Fig. 3J,K,N), suggesting that the Magi-RASSF8 interaction is important for the effects of excess Magi.

Furthermore, the rough eye phenotype induced by Magi overexpression (Fig. 3K) was efficiently suppressed by removing one copy of RASSF8 and was strongly enhanced by co-expressing RASSF8 (Fig. 3L-N; note that overexpressed RASSF8 alone had no effect, Fig. 3N). In eyes with overexpressed Magi, $58.5 \%$ of ommatidia had defects. The proportion of defective ommatidia was reduced to $34.9 \%$ in a RASSF8 heterozygous background, but increased to $79.7 \%$ when RASSF8 was co-expressed (Fig. 3N). These results argue that RASSF8 mediates the effect of Magi (see also supplementary material Table S3) and, taken with the other data, suggest that the control of IOC numbers, cell rearrangements and packing during Drosophila pupal eye development requires a complex containing Magi and RASSF8.

## Magi recruits RASSF8 and ASPP at AJs in the pupal eye

The RASSF8-ASPP complex is localized at AJs and has been proposed to regulate AJ remodeling that occurs in the eye around 24 h APF (Langton et al., 2007, 2009). Similar to RASSF8, in wing imaginal discs (Fig. 4A and supplementary material Fig. S1B) and in 24-h APF pupal eye discs (Fig. 4D) Magi colocalized with E-Cad at AJs (Fig. 4A,D). Its position was restricted to the AJ: apical to the septate junction protein Discs large (Dlg) (Fig. 4B) and basal to the subapical marker aPKC (Fig. 4A).

The physical interaction between Magi and RASSF8 raises the possibility that they could reciprocally regulate their localization at AJs. However, Magi localization at the AJ was found to be independent of both RASSF8 and ASPP. Focusing on the particular timing when RASSF8 and ASPP are required for AJ remodeling, both wild-type Magi and a mutant form lacking the WW domains localized correctly at AJs (expressed using ubiquitin promoter; Fig. 5A,B), even in the absence of endogenous Magi (Magi null mutant background). We obtained similar results in larval wing discs, where GFP-tagged wild-type and WW-deficient forms of Magi both localized at the AJs (expressed using patchedGal4; Fig. 4B,C). These results show that the RASSF8-interacting WW domains are dispensable for Magi localization at AJs. Furthermore, the localization of Magi was unaffected in either RASSF8 or ASPP mutant cells, whether in wing imaginal discs (supplementary material Fig. S3A,B,E,F) or in 24-h APF eye discs (Fig. 5C,D). Finally, even in the absence of both RASSF8 and $A S P P$, Magi was still properly localized at the membrane of IOCs at 24 h APF (Fig. 5E), ruling out any potential redundancy between RASSF8 and ASPP. Taken together, these results show


Fig. 4. Magi AJ localization is independent of RASSF8 and ASPP. (A-A"') In larval wing imaginal disc epithelial cells Magi (green, $A^{\prime}$ ) colocalizes with E-Cad (blue, A"') at the AJ level, basal to aPKC (red, A"). A confocal $z$-section is shown. The boxed region is shown at higher magnification to the right. (B-C"') Confocal $z$-sections of larval wing discs overexpressing GFP-tagged Magi (B) or MagiAWW (C) using the ptc-Ga/4 driver. Both Magi constructs (GFP, green, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ) colocalize with E-Cad (blue, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), apical to the septate junction protein $\operatorname{Dlg}$ (red, $\left.B^{\prime \prime}, C^{\prime \prime}\right)$. (D-D") In 24-h APF pupal eye discs, Magi (green, $D^{\prime}$ ) is localized at cell junctions in IOCs together with E-Cad (red, D"). Scale bars: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in A-C; $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D .


Fig. 5. Magi recruits RASSF8 and ASPP. (A-C) 24-h APF pupal eye discs stained for Magi. Arrowheads mark membrane recruitment of Magi. In Magi null mutant discs, both Magi $(A)$ and Magi $\Delta W W$ ( $B$ ) are recruited at the membrane. Similarly, in a RASSF8 whole mutant disc, Magi is correctly localized at junctions (C). (D-D") At 24-h APF, in ASPP mutant clones marked by the absence of $\beta$-galactosidase (red, $\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}$ ), Magi (green, $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ ) protein levels and apical localization are unaffected (arrowhead). (E-E") At 24 h APF, in ASPP; RASSF8 double-mutant discs, Magi (green, $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ ) is properly localized at the cortex, even though a few gaps can be seen. There are many more gaps for E-Cad (red, E"), which overlap (purple arrowhead) or not (yellow arrowhead) with the Magi gaps. (F-G") 24-h APF Magi mutant clones marked by the absence of $\beta$-galactosidase (red, $\mathrm{F}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}$ ). RASSF8 ( F , green; $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ ) and ASPP (G, green; G') are mislocalized (asterisk) in Magi mutant IOCs compared with their membrane localization in wild-type tissues (arrowheads). Scale bar: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
that Magi localizes at AJs independently of either RASSF8 or ASPP.

By contrast, RASSF8 and ASPP localization at AJs in pupal eye discs was dependent on Magi. In Magi mutant clones at 24 h APF both RASSF8 and ASPP were absent from the membrane and accumulated in the cytoplasm of IOCs (Fig. 5F,G). We note, however, that a residual amount of ASPP was still cortical in Magi mutant clones (Fig. 5G). This suggests the existence of an in vivo ternary molecular complex between Magi and RASSF8-ASPP and validates in pupal IOCs the direct physical interaction detected
between Magi and RASSF8. However, RASSF8 and ASPP were not dependent on Magi for their AJ localization in larval wing disc epithelial cells (supplementary material Fig. S3C,D,G,H). This suggests that either the interaction between Magi and the RASSF8ASPP complex is context dependent or that, at least in the larval disc, redundant localization mechanisms to Magi exist.

## Magi affects AJ integrity in the pupal eye similarly to RASSF8 and ASPP

As ASPP and RASSF8 are required for AJ integrity and IPC rearrangement, we examined these processes in Magi mutants. During the formation of the interommatidial lattice, IPCs undergo extensive rearrangements. This process requires the redistribution of AJs (Tepass and Harris, 2007) and fails in the RASSF8 or ASPP mutants, leading to interrupted AJs at 24 h APF (Langton et al., 2007, 2009). We therefore investigated the morphology of AJs in Magi mutant cells, and observed interruptions in the E-Cad belt at 24 h APF (Fig. 6A). Whereas we could detect on average $0.25 \pm 0.10$ interruptions in the cortical E-Cad staining per IOC in wild-type clones, this increased to $0.73 \pm 0.27$ in neighboring Magi mutant clones (Fig. 6B). Using the same quantification method, we could detect $1.49 \pm 0.32$ E-Cad gaps per IOC in $A S P P$ mutants, confirming the similarity in the early E-Cad disruption phenotypes.
Given the effect of Magi on E-Cad localization during AJ remodeling, we tested whether Magi could also affect $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-catenins, which are core components of Cadherin-based junctions. Strikingly, in Magi mutant clones at 24 h APF, the membrane levels of both $\alpha$-catenin and $\beta$-catenin [Armadillo (Arm) - FlyBase] were reduced compared with neighboring wild-type cells (Fig. 6C,E,F,H). This was accompanied by an increase in intracellular puncta containing these proteins. Within Magi mutant clones, there were $6.76 \pm 1.58 \alpha$-catenin and $5.88 \pm 0.64 \beta$-catenin intracellular puncta per IOC, as compared with wild-type cells which had $3.01 \pm 0.84$ and $3.66 \pm 0.93$ puncta, respectively (Fig. 6D,G). Taken together, these results suggest that during remodeling Magi mutant cells form abnormal AJs with less localized E-Cad and catenin proteins. Halving the dosage of $\beta$-catenin strongly enhances the E-Cad interruptions in IOCs seen in Magi mutants at 24 h APF (supplementary material Fig. S4A,B), suggesting that Magi could be acting redundantly with other $\beta$-catenin-localizing mechanisms to regulate E-Cad-based AJs.

We note, however, that such interruptions to AJ structure in Magi mutants occur transiently at the time of IOC sorting, and are not evident at later stages (pupal retina lattice at 44 h APF ; Fig. 1B") or in the larval wing disc epithelia. Magi function might therefore only be crucial in tissues undergoing rapid cell rearrangements.

## Magi controls Baz localization during IOC remodeling

The apical scaffold protein Baz/PAR3 has been implicated in AJ stability and remodeling in several developmental processes (Desai et al., 2013; McGill et al., 2009; McKinley et al., 2012; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). It has been proposed to promote the coalescence of small cadherin-catenin clusters to form nascent junctions during cellularization in the embryo (McGill et al., 2009; McKinley et al., 2012), a process mediated at least in part through the binding and recruitment of $\beta$-catenin (Wei et al., 2005). Since E-Cad-based junctions and catenin membrane distributions were disrupted in Magi mutant cells, we tested whether Baz could also be affected. Strikingly, Baz was lost from the membrane of IOCs in Magi mutant clones at 24 h APF, and accumulated in the cytoplasm (Fig. 7A). Baz localization was however normal in Magi mutant cells by 44 h APF (supplementary material Fig. S4C).


Fig. 6. Magi mutant has abnormal AJs. (A-A",C-C",F-F") 24-h APF pupal eye discs with Magi mutant clones marked by the absence of $\beta$-galactosidase (red, $A^{\prime \prime}, F^{\prime \prime}$ ) or the absence of Magi (red, $C^{\prime \prime}$ ). Yellow lines ( $A^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, F^{\prime}$ ) mark the boundaries between wild-type and mutant tissues. (A) In Magi mutant tissue, E-Cad staining (green, $A^{\prime}$ ) is frequently interrupted (yellow arrowheads) compared with wild type (purple arrowheads). ( $C, F$ ) In Magi mutant tissue, $\beta$-catenin (Arm; green, $C^{\prime}$ ) and $\alpha$-catenin ( $\alpha$-Cat; green, $F^{\prime}$ ) are less cortical and accumulate in cytoplasmic vesicles in IOCs. (B,D,G) Quantification of E-Cad interruptions (B), Arm vesicles ( D ) and $\alpha$-Cat vesicles ( $G$ ) in wild-type and Magi mutant tissues corresponding to $A, C$ and $F$, respectively. s.e.m. is shown; *** $P<0.001$ (unpaired $t$-test). $(E, H)$ Quantification of $\operatorname{Arm}(E)$ and $\alpha-C a t(H)$ membrane levels between IOCs in wild-type and Magi mutant tissues corresponding to $C$ and $F$, respectively. Eight independent pairs of wild-type and Magi mutant tissues are shown and plotted by decreasing arbitrary average pixel intensity. Levels in wild type are always higher than those in Magi. s.e.m. is shown; $P<0.001$ (paired $t$-test). Scale bars: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

We then investigated whether the interaction between Magi and the RASSF8-ASPP complex was required for Baz membrane recruitment. First, we confirmed that the effects were completely rescued by expression of wild-type Magi. By contrast, Baz localization to the membrane was still defective in the presence of Magi $\Delta$ WW (Fig. 7B-D). This suggests that the binding of Magi to RASSF8 is required for Baz membrane localization in IOCs at 24 h APF. In agreement, Baz was also mislocalized in RASSF8 and ASPP mutant cells, albeit to a lesser extent than in Magi mutants (Fig. 7E,F). Thus, Magi, and its interaction with the RASSF8-ASPP complex, is required for normal Baz localization at AJs in IOCs at 24 h APF during tissue remodeling.

## baz mutants show similar IOC defects to Magi mutants

We then tested whether defects in Baz membrane recruitment could be causing interrupted AJs and remodeling defects in IOCs. At 44 h APF, baz mutant clones (using the strong hypomorphic $b a z^{815-8}$ allele) contained an excess of mislocalized IOCs (Fig. 8A', arrowheads). Furthermore, since $b a z$ is required at earlier stages for correct ommatidial development, including correct morphogenesis of photoreceptors (Walther and Pichaud, 2010), many baz mutant ommatidia were severely disrupted; these were excluded from the subsequent analysis of AJs. In the remaining ommatidia, E-Cadbased AJs were disrupted in baz mutant clones at 24 h APF, similar to what was seen with Magi mutants. Whereas there were on average $0.72 \pm 0.16$ interruptions in the cortical E-Cad staining per IOC in $b a z$ heterozygous tissues, this was increased to $1.26 \pm 0.30$ in neighboring $b a z$ homozygous mutant IOCs (Fig. 8B,C). Similar results were
obtained using $b a z^{X I}$, an independent hypomorphic allele ( $0.68 \pm 0.21$ interruptions per IOC in $b a z^{X I}$ heterozygous, rising to $0.97 \pm 0.24$ in $b a z^{X I}$ homozygous; Fig. 8C).

We note that the defects in $b a z$ heterozygous tissue were stronger than in Magi or $A S P P$ heterozygous tissues (where we detected $\sim 0.25$ interruptions per IOC). This might suggest that the E-Cad interruption phenotype is sensitive to the dosage of baz. Nevertheless, this phenotype increased in severity in baz homozygous tissue and was observed in two independent $b a z$ alleles, ruling out nonspecific genetic background effects. Finally, the defects in IOC numbers and in AJ interruptions observed in baz mutant tissues were not due to defects in Magi localization, as Magi was localized normally at the AJ in baz mutant clones (Fig. 8D). These observations support a model whereby abnormal and interrupted E-Cad/AJs in Magi mutant IOCs are partly due to a failure in Baz recruitment.

## DISCUSSION

As Magi is the sole Drosophila homolog of the three vertebrate MAGI scaffolds, it offers a powerful system with which to investigate the functions of these important proteins. Using newly generated null alleles, we have shown that Magi coordinates the number and packing of IOCs in the developing Drosophila pupal eye by regulating AJ dynamics. Magi is necessary in the IOCs to localize the RASSF8-ASPP complex correctly during their junctional remodeling. This ensures the integrity of E-Cad-based junctions and the correct localization of Baz, $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-catenin. Based on these observations and on the growing evidence of a role for Baz in AJ remodeling (Desai et al., 2013; McGill et al., 2009;


Fig. 7. Magi is required for Baz recruitment. (A-F") 24-h APF pupal eye discs in different mutant backgrounds. The yellow lines $\left(A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ mark the boundaries between wild-type and mutant tissues. ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ ) In Magi mutant clones marked by the absence of $\beta$-galactosidase (red, $A^{\prime \prime}$ ), Baz (green, $A^{\prime}$ ) is less cortical and accumulates in the cytoplasm. (B-D) In a whole Magi mutant background, Baz (white) is absent from the membrane in IOCs (B). Whereas cortical Baz localization is completely rescued by providing a Magi transgene (C), only very faint cortical recruitment of Baz could be observed with a mutant MagidWW transgene. (E-E") In whole RASSF8 mutant discs, Baz (green, $E^{\prime}$ ) is very weakly associated with the cortex, whereas Magi localization (red, $\mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}$ ) is unaffected. ( $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}^{\prime \prime}$ ) In ASPP mutant clones marked by the absence of $\beta$-galactosidase (red), Baz (green, $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ ) is weaker at the membrane, in particular between IOCs [Baz at membrane in ASPP versus wild type=0.86; $P=0.005$ (paired $t$-test)], whereas Baz localization in primary pigment cells is less affected. Membranes are highlighted by E-Cad (blue, F"). Scale bar: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

McKinley et al., 2012; Wei et al., 2005), we propose a model whereby, during AJ remodeling in IOCs, Magi recruits the RASSF8-ASPP complex, which helps to localize Baz at the membrane and regulates the sites of E-Cad accumulation.

## Magi and AJ remodeling

Junction remodeling is a key step during morphogenesis, in which cells in a tissue change position and neighbors. For instance, in the developing pupal eye, IOCs found between ommatidia organize as a single row of cells (Cagan, 2009). During this process existing contacts are eliminated and new ones are established by remodeling E-Cad-based junctions. In Magi mutants, we observed rearrangement defects and some incorrect localization of IOCs. At the same time, E-Cad-based AJs were interrupted in Magi mutant cells. We propose that this defect in AJ remodeling leads to IOCs remaining at the wrong place in the lattice. The most parsimonious
model is that the defects in AJ remodeling trigger the defects in cell numbers seen in Magi mutants by preventing apoptosis, although we were unable to fully substantiate this as the effect of Magi on apoptosis was not statistically significant. If the model is correct, it still remains unclear how disrupted junctions would lead to a failure in apoptosis. One possibility is that IOCs only receive the correct 'death signal' when they have rearranged to contact the correct cells. Thus, in Magi mutants, the defective AJs would lead to apoptosis failure because the IOCs did not attain their position in the 'death zone' (Monserrate and Brachmann, 2007) to receive the killing signal.

These junctional defects are reminiscent of those seen for magi-1 mutants in the nematode C. elegans, in which magi-1 loss of function enhanced the defects caused by cadherin and catenin mutations and disrupted cell migration during enclosure (Lynch et al., 2012). MAGI scaffolds are thus implicated in the fine regulation of AJs in both flies and nematodes. A similar role has been suggested for MAGI proteins in mammalian epithelial cells. In overexpression studies, human MAGI1 reduced the Src-induced invasiveness of MDCK cells and stabilized E-Cad-mediated intercellular aggregation (Kotelevets et al., 2005). By analogy, the overexpression phenotype of Drosophila Magi could thus be due to stronger AJs, although this remains to be experimentally tested. The overexpression effects of MAGI-1b were sensitive to PTEN and AKT activities (Kotelevets et al., 2005) and mammalian MAGI scaffolds have also been implicated in PTEN activation through their direct binding to PTEN (Kotelevets et al., 2005; Subauste et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2000a,b; Zmajkovicova et al., 2013). However, we did not detect any physical interaction between Drosophila Magi and Pten, and the overexpression phenotype of Magi, at least in the Drosophila eye, appeared insensitive to Pten (supplementary material Table S3). Although these are negative observations, they suggest that in Drosophila Magi and Pten do not form a complex to regulate AJs.
Despite its effects on eye development, Magi mutants exhibit slightly enlarged wings. Whether this is dependent on E-Cad belt integrity and AJ dynamics remains to be established. The fact that ASPP shows a very similar wing phenotype supports this model (Langton et al., 2007).

## Baz membrane recruitment

Rather than binding and modulating the activity of Pten, our analysis supports a model whereby Magi, by binding to the RASSF8-ASPP complex, recruits and stabilizes Baz at the membrane. Accumulation of Baz has been shown to specify and initiate the formation of new AJs both in cellularizing embryos and in photoreceptors (McGill et al., 2009; McKinley et al., 2012; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). We propose that Baz recruited at the membrane of IOCs will in turn promote the stabilization or the proper distribution around the cell cortex of AJ material. Since biochemical and genetic experiments suggest that RASSF8 and Magi act together in a complex, we propose that the effects of Magi on AJs and on Baz membrane recruitment are mediated by RASSF8, and are thus likely to involve ASPP. Indeed, mammalian ASPP2 binds PAR3 (Cong et al., 2010; Hauri et al., 2013; Sottocornola et al., 2010) and is required for PAR3 localization at junctions both in cell culture and in the mouse neuroepithelium. This suggests that Magi might control Baz localization through ASPP. However, Baz membrane recruitment is unlikely to be the only step to form correct AJs downstream of Magi/RASSF8/ASPP. Previous studies have implicated C-terminal Src kinase (Csk) and its action on Src kinase (Langton et al., 2007, 2009), and the


Fig. 8. Baz controls IOC remodeling. baz mutant clones are marked by the absence of GFP (red, $A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime}, D^{\prime \prime}$ ). Yellow lines ( $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ ) mark the boundaries between wild-type and mutant tissues. (A-A") 44-h APF pupal eye discs stained for E-Cad (green, $A^{\prime}$ ). In the baz mutant, supernumerary mislocalized IOCs are present (arrowheads). (B-B") 24-h APF pupal eye discs. In baz mutant tissue, E-Cad staining (green, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) is frequently interrupted (yellow arrowheads) compared with baz heterozygous tissue (purple arrowheads).
(C) Quantification of E-Cad interruptions, as shown in $B$, in control and in two independent baz mutant tissues. s.e.m. is shown; ${ }^{* *} P=0.009,{ }^{*} P=0.021$
(paired $t$-test). (D-D") 24-h APF pupal eye discs. In baz mutant tissue, Magi (green, $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ ) is found at the cortex, similarly to wild type. Scale bars: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
relationships between Magi, Baz and Csk should be investigated in the future.

## Magi membrane localization

During IOC remodeling, Magi therefore appears to be a crucial upstream regulator of AJs. However, the mechanisms governing Magi membrane localization are still unknown. One hypothesis is that the membrane recruitments of different AJ components and regulators are dependent on each other in stabilization loops. However, this is unlikely to be the case for Magi as it is still perfectly localized at the membrane in ASPP, RASSF8 and baz mutants, and in ASPP; RASSF8 double mutants.

Another possibility is that Magi would require mature AJs with E-Cad to be at the membrane. We found, however, no direct correlation between E-Cad accumulation around the apical membrane and Magi membrane localization. For instance, in ASPP; RASSF8 double-mutant cells, we could detect E-Cad belt interruptions either without (Fig. 5E, purple arrowhead) or with (Fig. 5E, yellow arrowhead) Magi, indicating that Magi localization does not require E-Cad directly. Furthermore, an extensive domain mapping of Magi failed to identify a single domain (WW or PDZ) that would be required for Magi recruitment (our unpublished observations), suggesting that it might be independent of these domains or that several redundant mechanisms may be at play. The nature of the signal required for Magi membrane localization thus remains to be uncovered.

## A MAGI-ASPP complex in mammals

Even though Magi binds to RASSF8 directly and both proteins function together during Drosophila eye morphogenesis, their mutant phenotypes are not identical. First, RASSF8 mutants have a wing rounding phenotype (Langton et al., 2009), which is absent in Magi mutants. Second, whereas RASSF8 has a significant role in the global developmental apoptosis rate in the pupal eye (Langton et al., 2009), no significant effect could be detected for Magi and $A S P P$ (Langton et al., 2007). Taken together, this suggests that the
assembly of a Magi-RASSF8-ASPP complex might be context dependent or that RASSF8 has Magi-independent functions.

Although the human N-terminal RASSF (RASSF7-10) proteins lack any PPxY motifs, one is present in ASPP2 and has been shown to bind to MAGI1 (Pirozzi et al., 1997). It is therefore possible that MAGI-ASPP complexes are formed in all organisms but the precise mode of interaction differs: mediated by RASSF8 in the fly, but direct in humans.
MAGI scaffolds have been suggested to play a role in tumorigenesis. First, they are bound and inactivated by several viral oncoproteins (Glaunsinger et al., 2000; Thomas et al., 2002). Second, MAGI1 has been shown to exhibit tumor suppressor activity in colorectal cancer cell lines in xenograft models (Zaric et al., 2012). Finally, mutations in MAGI2 and MAGI3 are reported in colon, prostate and breast cancers. Documented alterations include deletion of the second WW motif of MAGI2 (Pleasance et al., 2010), inversion of MAGI2 (Berger et al., 2011) and a MAGI3:AKT3 fusion leading to a disruption of MAGI3 (Banerji et al., 2012). Based on our work, we propose that these are loss-offunction mutations. It would be interesting to investigate whether changes in AJ dynamics are associated with these MAGI mutations in human cancers and whether they contribute to tumorigenesis.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Drosophila genetics

The viable Magiex ${ }^{i / 4}$ null allele was generated by imprecise excision of the P element $P\{G S V 3\} G S 6093$. The Magi null phenotype is obtained either as Magie ${ }^{\text {ex2 } 14}$ homozygous or by crossing Magi ${ }^{e x 214}$ with the $D f(2 R)$ Exel6072 spanning the Magi locus. The full-length Magi coding sequence, or Magi lacking the WW domains (Magi $\Delta$ WW, removing amino acids 292-372), was amplified by PCR from cDNA LD27118 [Drosophila Genomics Resource Center (DGRC), University of Indiana, Bloomington, IN, USA] and cloned either in the pKC 26 w -pUbiq rescue plasmid that allows expression of the cloned fragments under the ubiquitous ubiquitin-63E promoter (see supplementary Materials and Methods for construction of the pKC26w-pUbiq rescue plasmid) or in frame with an N-terminal GFP in the pUASt vector for overexpression
studies. All transgenes ( $\mathrm{pKC} 26 \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{pUbiq}$ and pUASt ) were inserted at the same chromosomal location (86F8) using phiC31-mediated integration on the $\mathrm{M}\{3 \times \mathrm{xP} 3-\mathrm{RFP} . \operatorname{attP}\} \mathrm{ZH}-86 \mathrm{Fb}$ landing platform.

Overexpression studies were performed using GMR-Gal4 (all cells in the differentiating eye) or patched[559.1]-Gal4 (stripe in the wing disc) to drive expression of the UASt GFP:Magi, UASt GFP:Magi $\Delta W W$, UASt RASSF8 (Langton et al., 2009) and UASt ASPP (Langton et al., 2007) transgenes.

Genetic interactions were performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ driving UASt GFP:Magi under the control of GMR-Gal4 and crossed to flies carrying mutations or overexpression UASt transgenes for the different genes of interest (see supplementary material Table S3 for list and origin).

Mutant clones were generated at high frequency in the wing using $a b x U b x F L P a s e$ or in the eye using eyFLPase in combination with FRT42D Magi $i^{\text {ex214 }}$, FRT42D ASPP ${ }^{d}, F R T 82 B$ RASSF8 ${ }^{6}$, FRT9-2 baz $^{815-8}$ or FRT9-2 $b a z^{X I}$.

## Plasmids for cell culture

RASSF8 and ASPP plasmids have been described before (Langton et al., 2009). All expression plasmids were generated with the Gateway system (Invitrogen). Destination vectors are from the Drosophila Gateway vector collection (http://emb.carnegiescience.edu/labs/murphy/Gateway\ vectors. html ). The Magi ORF was amplified from the LD27118 cDNA by PCR and cloned into pDONR/Zeo (Invitrogen). The point mutations in RASSF8 and Magi were introduced in Entry vectors by mutagenesis PCR using PfuTurbo (Agilent). Mutations are TAT $\rightarrow$ GCT for RASSF8-Y297A, CCC $\rightarrow$ GCC for Magi-P323A, and CCA $\rightarrow$ GCA for Magi-P370A. Magi $\Delta W W$ was subcloned using pUASt Magi $\Delta W W$ as template (see above).

## Yeast two-hybrid screen

The RASSF8 yeast two-hybrid screen was performed by Hybrigenics (Paris, France). Full-length RASSF8 was cloned as an N-terminal LexA fusion in pB29 and used as a bait against a Drosophila whole embryo (0-24 h) cDNA library (RP2) in 100 mM 3 -amino-triazole. $2.45 \times 10^{7}$ clones were screened, from which 380 positive clones were recovered and retested under the same conditions as the screen.

## Western blotting and immunoprecipitation

All immunoprecipitations were performed using lysates from S 2 cells grown in Schneider's Drosophila medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10\% FCS (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 units $/ \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin. $3 \times 10^{6}$ S2 cells were transfected using Effectene (Qiagen). 72 h after transfection, S2 cells were lysed with HEPES lysis buffer ( 50 mM HEPES$\mathrm{NaOH} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Triton X-100) supplemented with 1 mM DTT and Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche). Half of the lysate was incubated with anti-FLAG M2 beads (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The beads were washed with HEPES lysis buffer four times for 2 min each. Proteins were visualized by immunoblotting using rabbit anti-Myc (sc-789, Santa Cruz; 1:5000), rat anti-HA (3F10, Roche; 1:2000), mouse anti-FLAG (F1804, Sigma-Aldrich; 1:5000) and mouse anti-tubulin (DM1A, Sigma-Aldrich; 1:10,000) antibodies.

## Immunocytochemistry

Antibody staining of wing imaginal discs or pupal eyes were performed using standard protocols (see supplementary Materials and Methods for a full list of the antibodies used). Images were acquired with a Leica SP2-405 or a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope and processed using Adobe Photoshop or ImageJ (NIH).
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## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS \& METHODS

## Construction of the pKC26w-pUbiq rescue plasmid

pKC26w-pUbiq was generated from pKC26-pUbiq and pW25. First, to obtain pKC26-pUbiq, pKC26 was digested with PstI and XbaI (to remove the 10X UAS sequence) and a new MCS was introduced. The ubiquitin-63E promoter was cloned into MluI and KpnI sites. Subsequently, to allow insertion into any landing site, the full mini-white gene was restored. This was done by subcloning the missing miniwhite gene from pW25 and mutagenizing an internal DraIII site within mini-white to allow for insertion into DraIII and SpeI sites of pKC26-pUbiq.

## Immunocytochemistry

Primary antibodies used were: guinea pig anti-Magi (polyclonal directed against Magi aa 790-1202 generated for this study; 1:2000), rat anti-ASPP (1:500) (Langton et al., 2007), rabbit anti-RASSF8 (1:500) (Langton et al., 2009), rabbit anti-Bazooka (1:500) (gift from A. Wodarz; (Wodarz et al., 1999), rat anti-E-Cad (DCAD2, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank - DSHB; 1:25), mouse anti-Arm (N2 7A1, DSHB; 1:25), rat anti- $\alpha$-Catenin (DCAT-1, DSHB; 1:25), mouse anti- $\beta$-Galactosidase (DSHB 40-1a; 1:25), mouse anti-Dlg (4F3, DSHB; 1:25), rabbit anti-aPKC (anti-PKCz C-20, SantaCruz; 1:500), rabbit anti-GFP (A6455, Molecular Probes; 1:2000), rabbit anti Cleaved Drosophila Dcp-1 (9578, Cell Signaling; 1:200).

## Quantifications

Quantification of IOCs: the inter-ommatidial lattice is composed of 9 IOCs per ommatidium, six $2^{\circ}$ and three $3^{\circ}$ pigment cells. Each $2^{\circ}$ pigment cell is shared by two
ommatidia and $3^{\circ}$ pigment cell by three ommatidia. For quantification of IOCs per ommatidium, we selected complete ommatidial units and counted independently all IOCs surrounding them. Statistical relevance was obtained by performing unpaired two-tailed student t -test on raw data obtained from at least 5 experiments.

Quantification of E-Cad interruptions: 22-26h APF pupal retinas were stained with anti-E-Cad antibody. At least 7 confocal stacks spanning the entire depth of the tissues from independent retinas were taken showing mutant and wild type tissues, and flattened using the maximum projection tool in ImageJ (NIH). This ensured that no out of focus E-Cad staining was left out. Gaps in E-Cad staining were then counted in IOCs and divided by the number of IOCs to obtain the interruption per IOC index. Significance was then assessed by unpaired two-tailed student t -test.

Quantification of Baz, $\alpha$-Cat, or Arm membrane levels: 22-26h APF pupal retinas were stained with the relevant antibodies and at least 7 retinas were imaged as indicated above. Using the "Freehand line selections" a line was drawn following IOC/IOC membrane as shown by an E-Cad staining. "Mean Gray Value" gave of average fluorescence intensity along the lines. At least 15 IOC/IOC membranes were quantified this way per stack and per territory (wild type (wt) vs mutant). Average fluorescence intensity for IOC/IOC membranes per image were then obtained showing substantial differences between images highlighting the importance of the clonal analysis. When levels were compared between wt and mutant tissues from the same image, and thus stained, treated, and imaged exactly the same way, membrane levels were always higher in wt. Statistical relevance was then assessed by paired two tailed student t -test using the $\mathrm{wt} /$ mutant tissues pairs.
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Figure S1. Magi expression levels.
A. Magi locus (adapted from Flybase GBrowse) showing novel Magi allele. The Magi ${ }^{\text {er214 }}$ local deletion was generated by mobilizing the viable GS6093 P element (green diamond) and mapped by PCR (absent DNA is represented by the interrupted black line; orange segments indicate regions of uncertainty). The Magi transcript is represented by shaded boxes (orange, coding; grey, non coding), and numbers indicate position of exons used in the text.
B. Magi $i^{\text {err24 }}$ mutant clones in the larval wing disc, marked by the absence of $\beta$ Galactosidase (red; B'). In mutant cells, Magi protein is totally absent (green; B"), while E-Cadherin (E-Cad; blue; B'") is unaffected. Bar, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
C. Western blot characterization of the Magier ${ }^{\text {er2l4 }}$ allele. Top panel: Western blot analysis using the anti-Magi antibody ( $\alpha$-Magi) of total protein extracts from two independent experiments (\#1-2) from isolated pupal eye discs at 22-26h APF either from wild type controls or from Magi ${ }^{\text {ierl4 }}$ homozygotes. A band migrating slightly above 130 kDa and corresponding to the predicted size for the Magi protein is present in controls but disappears in Magi ${ }^{\text {a22 } 24}$ mutants. An increase in other bands was not observed suggesting that other Magi fragments are not translated in the Magier ${ }^{\text {erl4 }}$ mutants. Bottom panel: same membrane as in top but re-blotted with an anti-Tubulin antibody ( $\alpha$-Tub) used as a loading control.
D. Western blot characterization of the Ubi-Magi rescues. Top panel: Western blot analysis using the anti-Magi antibody ( $\alpha$-Magi) of total protein extracts from isolated pupal eye discs at 22-26h APF either from wild type controls or from Magi mutants (Magi ${ }^{e 2 r 2 l 4} / D f(2 R)$ Exel6072 trans-heterozygotes) carrying one copy of Ubi-Magi or Ubi-Magi $\Delta W W$ transgenes. Bottom panel: same extracts as in top blotted with an antiTubulin antibody ( $\alpha$-Tub) used as a loading control.
E. Relative amounts of Magi protein from the western blot shown in B. After normalization with the Tubulin loading control, the Ubi-Magi and Ubi-Magi $\Delta W$ W are expressed respectively 1.51 and 1.58 times more than the endogenous Magi protein. This shows that the Ubiquitin promoter driven Magi rescue constructs are expressed at very similar levels to the endogenous protein, and that the Magi $\Delta \mathrm{WW}$ construct is as stable as wild type Magi.


Figure S2. Magi and the control of IOC cell number.
A-B. Pupal eye at 44h APF stained for E-Cad (white) showing the ommatidial organization. When overexpressed under the control of the GMR-Gal4 driver, Magi produces pupal eyes with fewer IOCs (B; yellow arrowheads) than control (A). Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
C. Whole pupal retina with Magi mutant homozygous clones marked by the absence of $\beta$-Galactosidase (green, $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ) and stained for activated Dcp1 (red, C').
D. Quantification of the number of activated caspase-1 puncta per arbitrary area unit in wild type (WT; $\beta$-Galactosidase positive) and Magi mutant ( $\beta$-Galactosidase negative) tissues. No statistical difference was observed; sem is shown; paired twotailed student t -test on 15 pairs of tissues; $\mathrm{p}=0.395$ ).


Figure S3. In $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar larval wing discs, Magi and the RASSF8/ASPP complex

## localize independently to AJs.

A-D. Magi and RASSF8 localize independently. In RASSF8 mutant clones (A,B), marked by the absence of GFP (red; A"), Magi protein levels and apical localization are unaffected (green; $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ). Similarly, in Magi mutant clones (C,D) marked by the absence of $\beta$-Galactosidase (green; C'), RASSF8 protein levels and apical localization are unaffected (red; C"\&D').

E-H. Magi and ASPP localize independently. In ASPP mutant clones (E,F), marked
by the absence of $\beta$-Galactosidase (green; E'), Magi protein levels and apical
localization are unaffected (red; E"\&F'). Similarly, in Magi mutant clones (G,H) marked by the absence of $\beta$-Galactosidase (red; $\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}$ ), ASPP protein levels and apical localization are unaffected (green; $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ).
xy apical (A,C,E,G) and z (B,D,F,H) confocal sections. Bars, $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure S4. Magi and AJ formation.
A-B. 24h APF pupal eye discs stained for E-Cad (white; A-B). The E-Cad interruptions in Magi ${ }^{\text {ex2l4 }}$ homozygous mutants (A) is strongly enhanced by the removal of one copy of $\beta$-catenin $\left(\mathrm{arm}^{3} /+;\right.$ B).
C. At 44h APF, Baz (green; C') is localized correctly at the level of inter-IOCs membranes (yellow arrowheads), both in wild type and in Magi mutant clones marked by the absence of $\beta$-Galactosidase (red; C"). Membranes are highlighted with E-Cad (blue; C"").

## Table S1. Magi mutant flies have slightly bigger wings.

Adult female wings measurement in arbitrary units (pixels) in the indicated genotypes. Magi mutant wings are both slightly longer and wider than controls giving rise to wings slightly bigger but of the correct shape (ratio width / length similar to control). This phenotype is different from the round wing phenotype of RASSF8 mutants.

Table S2. Magi yeast 2-hybrid clones interacting with RASSF8.
Clones corresponding to Magi fragments and isolated in a yeast 2-hybrid screen using full length RASSF8 as bait. 3 independent clones were recovered. The minimal common regions in these clones spans from nucleotide 852 to nucleotide 1134 of Magi corresponding to amino-acids 284 to 378 around the two WW domains (see Figure 2).

Table S3. Genetic modifiers of the rough eye phenotype of overexpressed Magi.
List of mutants tested for dosage sensitivity by scoring any modification of the external adult roughness induced by UASt Magi overexpression in the eye under the control of the GMR-Gal4 driver at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Columns are:

- Genotype: presenting the relevant genotype. Mutants have been grouped by pathway.
- Score: indicating the level of modification. Rescues are highlighted in green; enhancements in red.
- Origin of mutation: indicates where the described mutations can be obtained; $\mathrm{BSC}=$ Bloomington Stock Center.
- Note: additional information available regarding the mutation used.

Table S1. Magi mutant flies have slightly bigger wings.

|  | Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genotype | Stdv <br> length <br> length | Mean <br> width | Stdv <br> width | Mean <br> w/l | Stdv <br> w/l | $\mathbf{n}$ |  |
| Df(2R)6072/+ | 782.37 | 16.39 | 560.65 | 12.45 | 0.72 | 0.008 | 19 |
| Magi[ex214]/+ | 781.35 | 19.26 | 548.60 | 10.00 | 0.70 | 0.011 | 19 |
| Magi[ex214]/Df(2R)6072 | 810.09 | 18.07 | 601.97 | 14.61 | 0.74 | 0.015 | 18 |
| Magi[ex214]/Magi[ex214] | 814.62 | 11.76 | 579.60 | 10.23 | 0.71 | 0.012 | 16 |
| RASSF8[6]/RASSF8[6] | 789.14 | 13.21 | 654.37 | 10.07 | 0.83 | 0.012 | 19 |

Conclusion: Magi mutant wings are both longer and larger than control, but ratio width/length (w/l) is the same, ie wings are not rounder. This phenotype is different from the RASSF8 mutant round wing phenotype.

Table S2. Magi yeast 2-hybrid clones interacting with RASSF8.
Y2H screen using full length RASSF8 as bait.
Only clones relative to Magi are presented here.
Drosophila CG5053 (1-607) vs Drosophila Whole Embryo RP2 (0-12+12-24)

| Clone name | Global PBS | Gene name | Start | Stop | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| C-137 | A | Magi <br> CG30388 | No Data | 1238 | Ends after the WW <br> domains |
| C-213 | A | Magi <br> CG30388 | 519 | 1134 | N-ter and WW <br> domains |
| C-343 | A | Magi <br> CG30388 | 852 | 1239 | WW domains |

Summary of PBS categories
A : Very high confidence in the interaction
B : High confidence in the interaction
C : Good confidence in the interaction
D: Moderate confidence in the interaction
E : Interactions involving highly connected prey domains, warning of non-specific interaction
F : Experimentally proven technical artifacts
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ : The PBS is a score that is automatically computed through algorithms and cannot be attributed

Table S3. Genetic modifiers of the rough eye phenotype of overexpressed Magi.

| Genotype | Score | Origin | notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTEN |  |  |  |
| FRT40A pten[DS189]/+ | none | D. St Johnston |  |
| FRT40A pten[C494]/+ | none | D. St Johnston |  |
| Df(2L)ED729/+ | none | BSC | Deficiency for pten |
| Akt[04223]/+ | none | BSC |  |
| Df(1)BSC538/+ | none | BSC | Deficiency for Dsor1 |
| HIPPO PATHWAY |  |  |  |
| ex[1]/+ | none | N. Tapon |  |
| ex[697]/+ | none | N. Tapon |  |
| kibra[del]/+ | none | $N$. Tapon |  |
| FRT82B sav[3]/+ | slightly worse | N. Tapon |  |
| sav[4]/+ | none | N. Tapon |  |
| FRT82B wts[X1]/+ | none | $N$. Tapon |  |
| wts[M541]/+ | none | N. Tapon |  |
| yki[B5]/+ | none | N. Tapon |  |
| RASSF8/ASPP |  |  |  |
| RASSF8[4]/+ | worse | N. Tapon | drives overexpression |
| UAS-RASSF8/+ | worse | N. Tapon | drives overexpression |
| RASSF8[6]/+ | rescue | N. Tapon |  |
| FRT82B ASPP[d]/+ | none | N. Tapon |  |
| FRT82B ASPP[8]/+ | none | N. Tapon |  |
| Csk[J1D8]/+ | none | BSC |  |
| puc[A251.1F3]/+ | none | BSC |  |
| OTHERS |  |  |  |
| FRT82B pyd[tamou]/+ | none | A. Djiane |  |
| FRT82B pyd[ex147]/+ | none | A. Djiane |  |
| aPKC[k06403]/+ | none | BSC |  |
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