The Company of Biologists

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Prior reproduction alters how mitochondria respond to an oxidative event

Wendy R. Hood[1,¶], Yufeng Zhang[1,*], Halie A. Taylor[1], Noel R. Park[1,‡], Abby E. Beatty[1], Ryan J. Weaver[1,§], Kang Nian Yap[1] and Andreas N. Kavazis[2]

ABSTRACT

An animal's pace of life is mediated by the physiological demands and stressors it experiences (e.g. reproduction) and one likely mechanism that underlies these effects is oxidative stress. Reproduction has been shown to increase or reduce oxidative stress under different conditions and to modify mitochondrial performance. We hypothesized that the changes associated with reproduction can alter how animals respond to future oxidative stressors. We tested this theory by comparing the organ-specific mitochondrial response in wild-derived female house mice. Specifically, we examined the effect of an oxidant (X-irradiation) on virgin mice and on mice that had reproduced. We measured liver and skeletal muscle mitochondrial density, respiratory performance, enzyme activity and oxidant production, as well as markers of oxidative damage to tissues. In the liver, prior reproduction prevented a radiation-induced reduction in mitochondrial density and increased mitochondrial respiratory performance. In skeletal muscle, prior reproduction resulted in a radiation-induced decline in mitochondrial density which could reduce the bioenergetic capacity of skeletal muscle mitochondria. Yet, electron transport chain complex I activity in skeletal muscle, which dropped after reproduction, returned to control levels following oxidant exposure. The results of this investigation indicate that prior reproduction alters the response of mitochondria to an oxidative challenge in an organ-specific manner. Such changes could have differential effects on future reproductive performance and risk of death.

KEY WORDS: Oxidative stress, Life history, House mice, RCR, Reactive oxygen species

INTRODUCTION

Mitochondrial energetics and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production play formative roles in variation in performance among individuals, populations, species and major vertebrate taxa (Costantini, 2014; Costantini et al., 2010; Hood et al., 2018a; Speakman et al., 2015), and can alter specific life history traits. For example, differences in growth rate and body size between two populations of common frogs (*Rana temporaria*) are associated

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA. [2]School of Kinesiology, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA.
*Present address: School of Health Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, USA. ‡Present address: Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA. §Present address: Department of Integrative Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA.

¶Author for correspondence (wrh0001@auburn.edu)

W.R.H., 0000-0002-8398-3908; Y.Z., 0000-0002-7850-559X; H.A.T., 0000-0003-3180-1372; N.R.P., 0000-0002-1392-6684; A.E.B., 0000-0001-6886-8437; R.J.W., 0000-0002-6160-4735; K.N.Y., 0000-0001-8805-1520

with differences in oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) efficiency and the rate of ATP synthesis (Salin et al., 2012). Furthermore, high levels of markers of oxidative damage in blood before reproduction, indicative of increased ROS production and/or reduced antioxidant production, have been shown to be correlated with lower litter sizes in laboratory mice (*Mus musculus domesticus*) (Stier et al., 2012). Experimental elevation of ROS-induced oxidative damage in canaries (*Serinus canaria domestica*), via an inhibition of the antioxidant glutathione, delayed the onset of reproduction and reduced egg production, but did not affect hatching or fledging success (Costantini et al., 2016). Mitochondrial energetics and ROS production have also been suggested to underlie interactions among life history traits (Monaghan et al., 2009; Speakman and Garratt, 2014; Zhang and Hood, 2016). Such trade-offs have been hypothesized to be driven by an accumulation of oxidative damage during reproduction, but emerging research suggests that this relationship is more complex.

The role of oxidative damage in life history trade-offs has primarily been evaluated under the assumption that the performance of mitochondria, cells and organs are negatively related to the amount of ROS to which they are exposed. Because mitochondria produce both the majority of the cell's ATP and cellular ROS, the oxidative cost of reproduction hypothesis proposes that high energy expenditure during reproduction contributes to an accumulation of oxidative damage (Hood et al., 2018b; Monaghan et al., 2009; Speakman and Garratt, 2014). In turn, this somatic damage, if severe enough, could immediately impact survival or performance during the next reproductive bout. Moreover, this damage could also accumulate over several sequential reproductive events, ultimately curtailing the animal's lifespan (Costantini et al., 2010; Hood et al., 2018b; Monaghan et al., 2009). Yet, support for this hypothesis has been equivocal. In a review of 21 studies, Speakman and Garratt (2014) found no consistent impact of reproduction on oxidative stress in 7 species of birds and 8 species of mammals. Blount et al. (2016) came to a similar conclusion by comparing reproductive versus non-reproductive animals in a meta-analysis, which included 15 studies representing 11 species of mammals and 3 species of birds. Interestingly, Blount et al. (2016) found a positive correlation between the number of young and oxidative stress during reproduction that was not apparent in Speakman and Garratt's (2014) review.

Furthermore, results from recent studies suggest that the response of cells to changes in ROS does not fall along the negative linear response curve, as is typically assumed in studies of ROS production or oxidative stress (Hood et al., 2018b; Zhang et al., 2018a,b). Instead, the response appears to be biphasic. Under the theory of mitochondrial hormesis (Ristow, 2014; Ristow and Schmeisser, 2011; Tapia, 2006), modest levels of ROS within cells stimulate an adaptive and beneficial signaling cascade that can improve mitochondrial energetics via an upregulation of antioxidants, repair molecules and mitochondrial biogenesis

(D'Autréaux and Toledano, 2007; Morimoto and Santoro, 1998; Sano and Fukuda, 2008). Under this framework, the threshold at which ROS exposure causes a beneficial versus negative response depends on the relative ROS levels within the cell before exposure and on the presence of any interacting stressors, such as inclement weather, stress from antagonistic interactions, and disease, which could have compounding effects on ROS levels (Hood et al., 2018b).

One approach that investigators have taken to better understand the role that ROS plays in animal performance is to evaluate how life history events are impacted by an induced change in ROS. The Costantini et al. (2016) canary study described above is an excellent example of this, where ROS-induced damage was increased by experimentally inhibiting an antioxidant. Smith et al. (2014) also showed that exposure to a ROS-inducing compound (paraquat) can impact the interaction between life history events. These authors showed that mild increases in ROS production increased relative fitness (a measure that accounted for lifetime reproductive output and longevity) but when exposure was high, fitness decreased (Smith et al., 2014). Furthermore, exercise is well known to induce a modest increase in ROS production (Guers et al., 2016; Powers and Jackson, 2008), and thus can alter endogenous ROS production. Zhang et al. (2018a,b) found that female mice that exercised prior to reproduction gave birth to more young that were heavier at weaning compared with sedentary reproductive mice. Our laboratory has also reported that reproduction causes few lasting negative effects on mitochondrial physiology in mice and rats (Hyatt et al., 2017, 2018; Mowry et al., 2016). Instead, we found that reproduction has beneficial effects on respiratory performance of liver mitochondria in laboratory rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) (Hyatt et al., 2017, 2018) and a comparable trend in the wild-derived house mouse (*Mus musculus musculus*) (Mowry et al., 2016).

With this investigation, we ask whether the change in redox environment following reproduction will alter how organs respond to a subsequent oxidative event. Based on the benefits described above, we predicted that reproduction would improve the capacity of cells to respond to a subsequent oxidative event. We used wild-derived house mice for this investigation because wild mice retain greater responsiveness to stressors than their laboratory counterparts do (Abolins et al., 2017; Gaukler et al., 2015; Harper, 2008; Ruff et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2010) and they have not been actively selected for large litter sizes, which could alter the energetic demand of the reproductive event. ROS exposure can be experimentally altered using a number of different methods (Koch and Hill, 2016). We selected radiation for this study because it can be applied with few extraneous side effects (Koch and Hill, 2016; Zhang et al., 2018b). To isolate the impact of prior reproduction on self-maintenance and presumably future performance, we exposed adult female mice to X-irradiation 1 week after weaning, when reproductive tissues, and particularly the mammary tissue (W.R.H., personal observations), has regressed. Animals were euthanized 4 days after X-irradiation as we have shown that this time point elicits a mitohormetic response (i.e. drop in ROS, oxidative damage and increase in complex activity; Zhang et al., 2018b). We predicted that prior reproduction would improve the response of liver and skeletal muscle to X-irradiation relative to virgin control mice. These changes were predicted to include improved mitochondrial respiratory performance, improved mitochondrial density, improved enzymatic capacity of the electron transport chain complexes and/or reduced oxidative damage. Furthermore, within the reproductive group, we asked whether the number of young produced influenced this response.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Experimental animals and procedures
Adult female wild-derived house mice (*M. musculus*) were used in this experiment. Mice were 3–4 months old when breeding was initiated and 6–8 months old at the termination of the study. These mice were descended from wild mice and were approximately 19 generations removed from the wild individuals. The experiment was conducted in August 2016–January 2017 and animals were maintained in standard polypropylene rodent boxes with a wire bar top. Paired adults were kept in 29×19×13 cm boxes. Late pregnancy females were moved to 48×27×16 cm breeder boxes until weaning. To maintain natural sensitivity to the environment, animals were kept in a building with open windows that exposed them to natural light:dark cycles and outdoor temperatures for Auburn, AL. All animals had a substantial amount of natural cotton bedding that buffered the mice and their young from low ambient temperatures. Standard rodent chow (Teklad Global Diet 2019) and water were provided *ad libitum* throughout the experimental period, and all animals were provided with a running wheel as enrichment. All husbandry and experimental procedures were approved by the Auburn University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (PRN 2015-2794, PRN 2015-2793).

Female mice were randomly assigned to one of four groups (*n*=10/group): (1) virgin control with no X-irradiation, (2) virgin and exposed to X-irradiation (X-ray), (3) reproductive control (reproduction) and (4) reproductive and exposed to X-irradiation (reproduction+X-ray). Age-matched mice in the virgin groups were euthanized at the same time as the reproductive mice to ensure that the age distribution was comparable between groups and that each group experienced the same changes in ambient temperatures. Mice within each group were from different parental lineages. Because cannibalism is relatively common during a female's first reproductive event in wild mice, we bred females in the reproductive groups twice to ensure that each had just successfully reared a litter at the time of X-irradiation. Males were removed when females neared parturition of their second litter; pups of both litters were weaned at 28 days. Two of the reproductive control mice were removed from the study because they failed to complete two full reproductive events.

Virgin and reproductive mice in the X-irradiation groups were exposed to radiation 7 days after weaning was complete in the reproductive groups. Mice were held in a rodent plastic transport cage (37.3×23.4×14.0 cm; Innovive, San Diego, CA) and exposed to X-rays using the PRIMUS linear accelerator (Siemens, Munich, Germany) at the Radiology Laboratory in the Auburn University College of Veterinary Medicine. To ensure an even dosage of radiation throughout the animal's body during irradiation, mice were gently restrained using a thin layer of plastic placed just above the backs of the mice and secured to the sides of the cage with tape. All X-irradiated mice were irradiated at a dose rate of 2 Gy min^{-1} for 2.5 min to achieve a total dosage of 5 Gy following Zhang et al. (2018b). Control mice were also taken to the Radiology Laboratory in the Auburn University College of Veterinary Medicine but were not placed in the linear accelerator. Four days after exposure or transport (controls), mice were anesthetized with isoflurane vapors and swiftly decapitated with a rodent guillotine.

After euthanasia, the liver and hind leg muscles (including the tibialis anterior, soleus, gastrocnemius, quadriceps, and hamstrings) were removed and weighed. The left lateral and right medial lobes of the liver and the entire right leg muscle were used for mitochondrial isolation. The left leg muscle and remaining liver were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for future analyses.

Mitochondrial isolation

Mitochondria were isolated following similar procedures outlined previously (Hyatt et al., 2017; Mowry et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018b). Excised leg muscles were trimmed to remove fat and connective tissues, weighed and placed in 10 volumes of solution I [100 mmol l^{-1} KCl, 40 mmol l^{-1} Tris-HCl, 10 mmol l^{-1} Tris base, 1 mmol l^{-1} MgCl$_2$, 1 mmol l^{-1} EGTA, 0.2 mmol l^{-1} ATP and 0.15% (w/v) free fatty acid bovine serum albumin (BSA), pH 7.50]. Muscles were minced with scissors and then homogenized for 15 s with a polytron (Kinematica, Inc., Bohemia, NY). Protease (trypsin) was added (5 mg g^{-1} wet muscle) and the digested mince was mixed continually for 7 min. Digestion was terminated by the addition of an equal volume of solution I. The homogenate was centrifuged (Heraeus Megafuge, Life Technologies Corporation, Grand Island, NY) at 500 g for 10 min at 4°C and the supernatant was rapidly decanted through a double layer of cheesecloth and centrifuged at 3500 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the mitochondrial pellet was resuspended in solution I. The suspension was centrifuged at 3500 g for 10 min. The supernatant was again discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 10 volumes of solution II (similar to solution I, but without BSA). This resuspended pellet was subsequently centrifuged at 3500 g for 10 min. The final mitochondrial pellet was suspended in 250 µl of a solution containing 220 mmol l^{-1} mannitol, 70 mmol l^{-1} sucrose, 10 mmol l^{-1} Tris-HCl and 1 mmol l^{-1} EGTA, pH 7.40. The liver was removed, weighed and placed in 10 volumes of solution III (250 mmol l^{-1} sucrose, 5 mmol l^{-1} HEPES and 1 mmol l^{-1} EGTA), minced with scissors and homogenized with a Potter–Elvehjem PTFE pestle and glass tube (two passes). The homogenate was centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was rapidly decanted through a double layer of cheesecloth and centrifuged at 3500 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the mitochondrial pellet was resuspended in solution III. The suspension was centrifuged at 3500 g for 10 min. The final mitochondrial pellet was suspended in 250 µl of a solution containing 220 mmol l^{-1} mannitol, 70 mmol l^{-1} sucrose, 10 mmol l^{-1} Tris-HCl and 1 mmol l^{-1} EGTA, pH 7.40.

Mitochondrial respiration

Mitochondria respiration was determined polarographically (Oxytherm, Hansatech Instruments, UK) following procedures outlined previously (Hyatt et al., 2017; Mowry et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018b). Respiration was measured using 2 mmol l^{-1} pyruvate, 2 mmol l^{-1} malate and 10 mmol l^{-1} glutamate as a substrate. Oxygen consumption was measured from isolated mitochondria under two conditions. Specifically, we report state 3 (Brand and Nicholls, 2011) (also referred to as P_{PMG}; Du et al., 2016) that is the mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate in the presence of substrates and added ADP (we added 5.0 µl of a 50 mmol l^{-1} solution of ADP to raise the known concentration to 0.25 mmol l^{-1}) and state 4 (also referred to as L_T) that is the mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate in the presence of high ATP and occurs following the phosphorylation of the added ADP in the chamber. We calculated and report respiratory control ratio (RCR) by dividing state 3 by state 4 (i.e. P_{PMG}/L_T).

Mitochondrial hydrogen peroxide

The measurement of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) emission in isolated mitochondria was conducted using Amplex Red (Thermofisher, Waltham, MA) (Kavazis et al., 2009). Formation of resorufin (Amplex Red oxidation) by H$_2$O$_2$ was measured at an excitation wavelength of 545 nm and an emission wavelength of 590 nm using a Synergy H1 Hybrid plate reader (BioTek; Winooski, VT, USA), at 37°C in a 96-well plate using succinate to initiate mitochondrial respiration. We quantified the rate of H$_2$O$_2$ production in our samples by comparing the slope from readings of resorufin formation taken every 5 min for 15 min to a standard curve of known H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations.

Electron transport chain (ETS) enzymatic activity and citrate synthase activity

Microplate spectrophotometric enzymatic assays of complex I, II, III and IV, and citrate synthase (CS) were performed as described previously (Hyatt et al., 2017; Kavazis et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2018b) by utilizing the Synergy H1 Hybrid plate reader. Briefly, complex I (NADH dehydrogenase) enzyme activity (EC 1.6.5.3) was measured as a function of the decrease in absorbance from NADH oxidation by decylubiquinone before and after rotenone addition, complex II (succinate dehydrogenase) activity (EC 1.3.5.1) was measured as a function of the decrease in absorbance from 2,6-dichloroindophenol reduction, complex III (ubiquinol cytochrome c oxidoreductase) activity (EC 1.10.2.2) was determined as a function of the increase in absorbance from cytochrome c reduction, complex IV (cytochrome c oxidoreductase) activity was determined as a function of the decrease in absorbance from cytochrome c oxidation and its specificity was determined by monitoring changes in absorbance in the presence of KCN, and citrate synthase (EC 4.1.3.7) was measured as a function of the increase in absorbance from 5,5′-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid reduction (Trounce et al., 1996). Citrate synthase activity values obtained in isolated mitochondria were used as a normalizing factor for respiratory function measurements on isolated mitochondria and activity in whole tissue homogenates was used as a proxy for mitochondrial density (Hyatt et al., 2017; Trounce et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2018b).

Markers of oxidative damage

Western blots were conducted as previously described (Hyatt et al., 2017; Mowry et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018b) on liver and skeletal muscle samples to analyze the relative levels of the following targets of oxidative damage: a marker of lipid peroxidation [4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE), ab46545, Abcam, Cambridge, MA] and a marker of protein oxidation (protein carbonyls; OxyBlot s7150, EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA). Each membrane was stained with Ponceau S, which was used as the loading and transfer control. A chemiluminescent system was used to visualize marked proteins (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA). Images were taken and analyzed with the ChemiDocIt Imaging System (UVP, LLC, Upland, CA).

Statistical analysis

We used generalized least squares models to compare the mean effect of X-irradiation exposure, reproduction and their interaction on 11 markers of mitochondrial physiology and oxidative stress in the liver and skeletal muscle of mice. We calculated parameters that were derived from the raw response data, such as RCR, after removing the outliers. Preliminary analyses revealed that simple linear models, which assume homoscedastic error distributions, fit the data poorly in some cases (Fig. S1). We found that the variance among reproductive and X-ray groups was not equal (Fligner Killeen test: $\chi^2=16.9$, $P=0.0007$), which could lead to biased estimates of the standard errors. We then fit generalized least squares models with unequal variances among groups and found that the residuals were homoscedastic (Fig. S2), suggesting this model structure better fit the data. We used the results from the generalized least squares models to make statistical inferences about the effect of X-irradiation exposure and reproduction and their combined effects

on mitochondrial performance. However, we note that the results from the linear model are categorically similar to those of the generalized least squares models (Figs S1, S2).

The number of pups weaned by each individual in the reproductive groups ranged from 5 to 19 (Fig. S3). We tested for the effect of pup number on the mitochondrial response to X-rays using generalized least squares models. We estimated the mean mitochondrial response by fitting models with pup number, X-ray treatment, and the interaction between pup number and X-ray treatment as fixed effects while allowing for different variances between reproductive control and reproductive X-ray individuals. The interaction term between number of pups and X-ray treatment tests whether the effect of X-ray treatment depended on the number of pups weaned.

To aid in visualizing the relative effect size of X-irradiation and reproduction on markers of mitochondrial physiology, we calculated the standardized mean difference, Hedges' g, and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) using the control virgin mice as the baseline for comparison. Hedges' g is defined as the mean difference of the response between groups divided by the pooled standard deviation (Hedges, 1981). The values are centered around zero (no difference between groups) where an absolute value of 0.2, 0.5, 0.8, 1.2, and 2 are considered, small, medium, large, very large and huge differences in units of standard deviation, respectively (Cohen, 1988; Sawilowsky, 2009). Confidence intervals that do not include zero are considered statistically different from no difference between groups at $\alpha=0.05$ (Nakagawa and Cuthill, 2007). The Hedges' g and 95% CI results are generally consistent with the results from the generalized least squares models described above (see data on Dryad 'GLS model estimates March 29.csv' and 'Final Data Summary_g March 29.csv'; https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.r246hv0). We conducted these analyses in R (v. 3.3.1; https://www.r-project.org/). All data and corresponding code generated to perform these analyses are available from the Dryad online data repository (Hood et al., 2019; https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.r246hv0).

RESULTS
All data are reported as means±s.d. The full model results, means, standard deviations and sample sizes are provided in Table S1.

Liver
The generalized least squares models included comparisons of the virgin mice exposed to X-irradiation (X-ray), reproductive control mice (reproduction) and reproductive mice exposed to X-irradiation (reproduction+X-ray) with the virgin control mice (Fig. 1, Table S1). In addition, we evaluated the interactions among these groups (Fig. 2, Table S1). While each of the comparisons were included in the omnibus test, we describe the effects of each treatment independently. First, we found that liver mitochondrial density was lower in reproductive control compared to virgin control (CS activity, virgin control: 613±197 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; reproduction: 423±164 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; $t=-2.23$, $P=0.032$, Fig. 1A). No other robust effects of reproduction were detected (Fig. 1, Table S1). We also report that the liver mitochondria of virgin X-irradiated mice tended to have slightly lower state 3 respiration compared with virgin control (virgin control: 52.8±27.9 pmol min^{-1} CS^{-1} activity; X-ray: 35.6±11.5 pmol min^{-1} CS^{-1} activity; $t=-1.80$, $P=0.081$, Fig. 1A) and state 4 respiration (virgin control: 12.6±7.3 pmol min^{-1} CS^{-1} activity; X-ray: 7.80±2.64 pmol min^{-1} CS^{-1} activity; $t=-1.95$, $P=0.060$, Fig. 1A). We found that mitochondrial density decreased by nearly half in mice that were X-irradiated

(virgin control: 613±197 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; X-ray: 300±135 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; $t=-4.14$ $P<0.001$, Fig. 1A). However, complex II activity was higher in virgin X-irradiated mice

Fig. 1. Relative effects of prior reproduction and oxidant exposure via X-radiation on the liver of wild-derived mice. Data include mitochondrial density and respiration (A), the enzymatic activity of the mitochondrial complexes (B) and ROS and oxidative damage in wild-derived house mice (C). Reproductive measurements were taken 11 days after weaning. Animals were exposed to radiation 7 days after weaning and measurements were taken 4 days later. All virgin and non-irradiated control mice were age-matched to the experimental mice. Points and error bars show Hedges' g and 95% confidence intervals compared with the virgin control group. Asterisk indicates that the response was significantly different from the virgin controls in generalized least squares models. Sample sizes per group were: virgin control, n=10; X-ray, n=10; reproduction, n=8; reproduction+X-ray, n=10. Absolute values and the results of the generalized least squares models are provided in Table S1. CS, citrate synthase; RCR, respiratory control ratio; state 3, mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate in the presence of substrates and added ADP; state 4, mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate in the presence of high ATP; 4-HNE, 4-hydroxynonenal.

Fig. 2. Effect of prior reproduction on mitochondrial density in the liver of wild-derived mice following an oxidative event. Citrate synthase (CS) activity of virgin mice (gray) and mice that had reproduced (red) after exposure to X-ray irradiation and unexposed control mice (t=3.18, P=0.003). Reproductive measurements were taken 11 days after weaning. Animals were exposed to radiation 7 days after weaning and measurements were taken 4 days later. All virgin and non-irradiated control mice were age-matched to the experimental mice. Interactions were evaluated using generalized least squares models. Squares and error bars show the least-squares estimated mean and s.e. whereas points represent the individual samples within each group. Sample sizes per group were virgin control n=10; reproduction control, n=8; X-ray, n=10; reproduction+X-ray, n=10. Absolute values are provided in Table S1.

than in virgin control mice (virgin control: 172±99 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; X-ray: 299±96 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; t=2.89 P=0.007, Fig. 1B). ROS production from liver mitochondria of virgin X-irradiated mice was lower than that of virgin control mice (virgin control: 4790±1784; X-ray: 3197±826 pmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; t=−2.56, P=0.015, Fig. 1C).

Comparing the mice that reproduced and were exposed to X-irradiation to the virgin control mice, we found that the liver mitochondria RCR was higher than it was in controls (virgin control: 4.48±1.25; reproduction+X-ray: 6.61±1.02; t=−4.17, P<0.001, Fig. 1A). In addition, we also observed a trend suggesting that state 4 respiration is lower in reproductive X-irradiated mice compared with virgin control mice (virgin control: 12.6±7.3 pmol min^{-1} CS^{-1} activity; reproduction+X-ray: 8.01±2.66 pmol min^{-1} CS^{-1} activity; t=−1.95, P=0.070, Fig. 1A). Finally, when we examined the interaction between reproduction and X-irradiation exposure, we found that the effect of X-irradiation exposure on mitochondrial density depended on the reproductive status of the female (reproduction×X-ray, t=3.18, P=0.003). X-irradiation reduced CS activity of virgin mice but did not change CS activity of reproductive mice (Fig. 2).

Skeletal muscle
Like the models for the liver, generalized least squares models for skeletal muscle compared the reproduction control group, the virgin X-ray and reproduction+X-ray group to the virgin control mice (Fig. 3, Table S1). In addition, we evaluated the interaction among these groups (Fig. 4, Table S1). Skeletal muscle mitochondria of reproduction control mice had lower complex I and III activity (complex I, virgin control: 945±450 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; reproduction: 440±282 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; t=−2.84

Fig. 3. Relative effects of prior reproduction and oxidant exposure via X-radiation on the skeletal muscle of wild-derived mice. Data include mitochondrial density and respiration (A), the enzymatic activity of the mitochondrial complexes (B) and ROS and oxidative damage in age-matched wild-derived house mice (C). Reproductive measurements were taken 11 days after weaning. Animals were exposed to radiation 7 days after weaning and measurements were taken 4 days later. All virgin and non-irradiated control mice were age-matched to the experimental mice. Points and error bars show Hedges' g and 95% confidence intervals compared to the virgin control group. Asterisk indicates that the response was significantly different from the virgin controls in generalized least squares models. Sample sizes per group were: virgin control, n=10; X-ray, n=10; reproduction, n=8; reproduction+X-ray, n=10. Absolute values and the results of the generalized least squares models are provided in Table S1.

P=0.008; complex III, virgin control: 1640±306 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; reproduction: 1173±504 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; t=−2.30 P=0.029, Fig. 3B). X-irradiation also lowered complex III activity (virgin control: 1640±306 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; X-ray: 1261±360 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; t=−2.53 P=0.016. Fig. 3B). Female mice that reproduced and were exposed to X-ray also had lower complex III activity than virgin control mice did (virgin control: 1640±306 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; reproduction+X-ray:

Fig. 4. Effect of prior reproduction on the response of skeletal muscle to an oxidative event in wild-derived mice. (A) Citrate synthase (CS; $t=-3.98$, $P\leq0.001$) and (B) complex I activity ($t=2.54$, $P=0.016$) of virgin mice (gray) and mice that reproduced (red) after exposure to X-ray irradiation and unexposed control mice. Difference between the responses of control and irradiated mice are shown, both for animals that reproduced and those that did not. Reproductive measurements were taken 11 days after weaning. Animals were exposed to radiation 7 days after weaning and measurements were taken 4 days later. All virgin and non-irradiated control mice were age-matched to the experimental mice. Interactions were evaluated using generalized least squares models. Squares and error bars show the least-squares estimated mean and s.e. while points represent the individual samples within each group. Sample sizes per group were virgin control, $n=10$; X-ray, $n=10$; reproduction, $n=8$; reproduction+X-ray, $n=10$. Absolute values are provided in Table S1.

904 ± 288 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; $t=-5.53$, $P<0.001$, Fig. 3B) and mitochondrial density that was more than twice as low as the controls (CS activity, virgin control: 1179 ± 944 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; reproduction+X-ray: 735 ± 899 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein; $t=-2.253$ $P=0.016$, Fig. 3A). We found two instances in which the effect of X-irradiation depended on the reproductive history of the mouse. X-irradiation significantly decreased mitochondrial density in females that had reproduced previously (reproduction+X-ray, $t=-3.98$, $P=<0.001$, Fig. 4A). X-irradiation also rescued the negative impact that prior reproduction had on complex I activity (reproduction×X-ray, $t=2.54$ $P=0.016$, Fig. 4B).

The effect of litter size on mitochondrial performance
Females in the reproduction control and reproduction+X-ray groups did not differ in the mean number of pups they weaned

prior to the experiment (mean±s.d., reproduction: 12.8 ± 4.2 pups; reproduction+X-ray: 11.8 ± 3.7 pups; $z=-0.6$, $P=0.57$; Fig. S3). We tested for an effect of litter size on mitochondrial physiology and found several significant relationships. We plotted the data from both the reproductive control group and the reproductive animals that were X-irradiated; the interactions between females that were and were not irradiated were not significant for any of variables measured ($P>0.12$). In the reproductive control group, for every 1 pup weaned, liver RCR values increased by 0.32 ± 0.12 pmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein (mean±s.e., $P=0.019$) and ROS production increased by 397 ±190 pmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein ($t=2.68$, $P=0.055$, Fig. 5A,B). In addition, for every 1 pup weaned, skeletal muscle RCR values increased by 0.15 ± 0.02 pmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein ($P<0.001$, Fig. 5C) while CS decreased by 84.0 ± 32.8 nmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein ($t=-2.56$, $P=0.023$, Fig. 5D).

In addition, we did note non-linear patterns in some response measures, such as complex IV activity of liver mitochondria of X-ray mice (Fig. S4). These patterns could be interpreted as a hormetic response, but we do not feel we have enough data to use the generalized additive model necessary to test this response.

DISCUSSION
The capacity for and efficiency of OXPHOS within mitochondria is plastic (Adhihetty et al., 2003; Brand, 2005). As a consequence, an organism's mitochondria are predicted to respond differently to a comparable oxidative event at different points in their life cycle. Because it has been proposed that reproduction is associated with an oxidative cost that could impact future performance (Monaghan et al., 2009; Speakman and Garratt, 2014), we hypothesized that reproduction could alter how a female's mitochondria respond to a subsequent oxidative event. Our results indicate that prior reproduction improves the ability of mitochondria in the liver to respond to an oxidative event by increasing respiratory coupling (RCR, Fig. 1A) and conferring protection against a reduction in mitochondrial density (Fig. 2). In contrast, prior reproduction reduced mitochondrial density and complex III activity in skeletal muscle mitochondria when coupled with an oxidative challenge (Fig. 4A). At the same time, X-irradiation appears to recover the post-reproduction drop in complex I (Fig. 4B). These results suggest that response to oxidant exposure is both organ-specific and varies with the life history traits of the individual.

Effects on liver
While the focus of this investigation was on the interaction between prior reproduction and oxidant exposure, we also evaluated the effects of reproduction, X-irradiation and reproduction+X-irradiation on the bioenergetic performance of individual organs and the effects of prior reproductive output (total pups weaned) on each of these variables. Reproduction is a period of high metabolic demand and morphological change. By measuring the impact of reproduction on the bioenergetic capacity of organs after reproduction has ended and the reproductive tissues have regressed, we can evaluate the impact of reproduction on future performance. Prior reproduction had a negative impact on the density of mitochondria in the liver of female mice (Fig. 1A), but no other independent impacts of treatment groups were found. In contrast, the respiratory performance of mitochondria (RCR, Fig. 5A) and ROS production in the liver (Fig. 5B) were positively correlated with the reproductive output of females.

During reproduction and lactation, in particular, the liver increases in size and alters its metabolic processes to support the high demand for glucose and lipids for milk synthesis by active mammary glands

Fig. 5. Relationship between total number of pups weaned and mitochondrial function in wild-derived mice. Using generalized least squares models, we found that the number of pups weaned significantly increased the respiratory control ratio (A) and hydrogen peroxide production (B) in liver mitochondria from non-irradiated mice (gray circles and lines). We also found a positive relationship between number of pups weaned and respiratory control ratio in skeletal muscle of non-irradiated mice (C) but a negative relationship in citrate synthase activity (measure of mitochondrial density) (D, gray circles and lines). Sample sizes per group were: control, $n=8$; reproduction+X-ray, $n=10$. Absolute values are provided in Table S1. In irradiated reproductive mice, all measures tended to increase, but the model estimates of these effects are not robust ($P>0.12$; red circles and dashed lines). Number of pups weaned is the total of two reproductive bouts.

(Hollister et al., 1987; Zhang et al., 2017). Prior studies suggest that when a female is relatively young and experiences abundant resources and minimal stress, prior reproduction can result in persistent improvement in the metabolic capacity of the liver (Hyatt et al., 2017, 2018; Mowry et al., 2016). The effect size was not strong enough to determine if this was also true for females in this study. The observed drop in mitochondrial density must then be considered to be a residual cost of reproduction, as it should reduce the energetic capacity of the liver relative to virgin mice.

Perhaps even more interesting were the positive relationships between the number of pups females weaned and respiratory performance (RCR) and ROS production by liver mitochondria (Fig. 5A,B). There are two likely reasons that positive correlations between RCR and ROS and reproductive output were observed. It is possible that individual mice had inherent differences in the physiological capacity of their liver mitochondria which increased their reproductive capacity and thus, higher reproductive output was a consequence of greater RCR and ROS. Alternatively, the intensity of reproduction altered the performance of the liver mitochondria and as a consequence, RCR and ROS increases in response to reproductive output. ROS act as signaling molecules that can increase mitochondria performance, but they can also contribute to the accumulation of oxidative damage (Hood et al., 2018b; Ristow and Schmeisser, 2011; Zhang and Hood, 2016). In a meta-analysis, Blount et al. (2016) described a similar positive relationship between reproductive performance and oxidative damage (a consequence of high ROS), which suggested that higher reproductive output is associated with greater oxidative stress costs. The positive relationship between reproductive output and RCR combined with

no effect of reproductive output on lipid peroxidation or protein carbonyls, suggests the animals in this study were unlikely have experienced a high cost to high reproductive performance.

The modest oxidant exposure used for this experiment also had beneficial effects on liver mitochondria. X-irradiation increased complex II activity, in addition to reducing ROS production (Fig. 1B, C). These findings are consistent with the patterns observed by Zhang et al. (2018b) for the sample variable at the same level of X-irradiation exposure. Yet despite these benefits, the virgin mice in this study experienced a reduction in mitochondrial density following X-irradiation. Zhang et al. (2018b) did not find any changes in CS activity across the 1 h, 1, 4 and 10 day time points post-irradiation.

Finally, we evaluated the impact of prior reproduction on the response of liver to an oxidant in two different ways: by comparing the reproduction+X-ray group to the control mice and by testing for an interaction between the reproduction and X-ray groups. We show that when females that had reproduced and experienced an oxidative event, the RCR in the liver was nearly 50% greater than it was in virgin control mice (Fig. 1A). This finding suggests a positive synergy between prior breeding and how the liver mitochondria respond to an oxidative event. Furthermore, we found that prior reproduction appeared to protect the liver from the drop in mitochondrial density observed after X-irradiation in virgin mice (Fig. 2) and did so without an apparent effect of prior reproduction output. It is feasible that the physiological changes associated with reproduction could improve the hepatic cellular response to subsequent stressors. These effects could allow females to maintain high metabolic function during subsequent reproductive events.

Effects on skeletal muscle

Prior reproduction and X-irradiation reduced the activities of complexes I (reproduction only) and III (reproduction+X-ray) in skeletal muscle (Fig. 3B). Complex I and III are the primary sites of ROS production within the electron transport system (Brand, 2016; Murphy, 2009). When each of these complexes is inhibited, either pharmacologically (Kwong and Sohal, 1998) or in response to ischemia (Kavazis et al., 2009), ROS production is increased. Despite these observed responses in prior studies, we found no change in ROS or oxidative damage with prior reproduction or X-irradiation. It is possible that our methods or timing of sample collection prevented us from detecting a change in ROS associated with drops in complex I and III activities. If so, we have no evidence that these changes had a negative impact on the condition or performance of the mitochondria. Using the same dose of radiation described here, Zhang et al. (2018b) found that complex I activity of mitochondria in skeletal muscle had dropped 1 h after exposure but was in the process of rebounding to levels that exceeded the controls by day 7, but on day 4, the response was not different from the controls. In a prior study, we found that rats displayed a reduction in ROS emission in skeletal muscle following lactation (Hyatt et al., 2018).

Despite the fact that number of reproductive events did not directly impact mitochondrial respiratory function, we did find a significant positive relationship between prior reproductive output and RCR, as observed in liver, but this was concurrent with a negative correlation between reproductive output and mitochondrial density (Fig. 5C). Combined, these disparate findings suggest that the response of skeletal muscle to an oxidative event may be highly context dependent.

Furthermore, complex III activity in the mice that reproduced and were later subjected an oxidant was significantly lower than in the control group, suggestive of an additive effect of prior reproduction and ROS exposure (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, we found that mitochondrial density in skeletal muscle dropped following oxidant exposure in females that reproduced, and this effect was greater in females that had given birth to more young. Interestingly, mitochondrial density was not impacted by the oxidative event in females that had not bred. Exposure to an oxidative event following reproduction reduces the bioenergetic capacity of muscle tissue. Despite this negative impact, oxidant exposure increased the activity of complex I in skeletal muscle, stimulating a possible compensation for the reproduction-induced drop in mitochondrial density (Fig. 4).

Conclusions

The goal of this study was to understand how prior reproduction impacts an animal's response to an oxidative event, such as a subsequent reproductive event, stress or pathogen exposure (Costantini & Moller 2009; Costantini, 2014; Speakman and Garratt, 2014; Blount et al., 2016; Salmón et al. 2018). It is particularly interesting there were more positive effects on the interaction between prior reproduction and ROS exposure on the liver compared with skeletal muscle. The liver plays an important role in producing glucose and fatty acids that will be made available to the young during pregnancy and lactation, and in addition, the cells of the liver are mitotic and have substantial opportunity for renewal. In contrast, skeletal muscle cells are largely post-mitotic, suggesting the opportunity to for tissue renewal is substantially reduced. It is feasible that repeated reproduction and repeated oxidative effects could ultimately compromise skeletal muscle performance, making a prey species, such as a mouse more susceptible to predation. Yet, it is important to note that X-irradiation is an acute oxidant and many ecologically relative oxidative stressors that alter individual performance in the wild are chronic. While our findings confirm that the physiological changes that occur with reproduction alter how a female responds to oxidative stress, differences in the intensity and duration of the oxidative event will undoubtedly impact the outcome.

Here, we provide evidence that the effects of ROS on mitochondrial performance are mediated by prior reproduction. Our results support the findings of prior studies by Stier et al. (2012), Costantini et al. (2016) and Zhang et al. (2018a,b), which suggest that increased ROS production not only alters reproduction but also acts as a feedback signal that alters mitochondrial physiology and subsequent life-history events. Further work is needed to evaluate the fitness consequences of the observed changes in mitochondrial performance. It would be particularly interesting to evaluate the interaction between reproduction and oxidant-exposed males where variation in performance among individuals can be especially high.

Data availability

Data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository (Hood et al., 2019): https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.r246hv0

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at
http://jeb.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/jeb.195545.supplemental

References

Abolins, S., King, E. C., Lazarou, L., Weldon, L., Hughes, L., Drescher, P., Raynes, J. G., Hafalla, J. C. R., Viney, M. E. and Rile, E. M. (2017). The comparative immunology of wild and laboratory mice, Mus musculus domesticus. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 473. doi:10.1038/ncomms14811

Adhihetty, P. J., Irrcher, I., Joseph, A.-M., Ljubicic, V. and Hood, D. A. (2003). Plasticity of skeletal muscle mitochondria in response to contractile activity. *Exp. Physiol.* **88**, 99-107. doi:10.1113/eph8802505

Blount, J. D., Vitikainen, E. I. K., Stott, I. and Cant, M. A. (2016). Oxidative shielding and the cost of reproduction. *Biol. Rev.* **91**, 483-497. doi:10.1111/brv.12179

Brand, M. D. (2005). The efficiency and plasticity of mitochondrial energy transduction. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **33**, 897. doi:10.1042/BST0330897

Brand, M. D. (2016). Mitochondrial generation of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide as the source of mitochondrial redox signaling. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **100**, 14-31. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.04.001

Brand, M. D. and Nicholls, D. G. (2011). Assessing mitochondrial dysfunction in cells. *Biochem. J.* **435**, 297-312. doi:10.1042/BJ20110162

Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*, 2nd edn. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Costantini, D. (2014). Oxidative stress and hormesis in evolutionary ecology and physiology. Berlin: Springer.

Costantini, D. and Møller, A. P. (2009). Does immune response cause oxidative stress in birds? A meta-analysis. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **153**, 339-344. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2009.03.010

Costantini, D., Metcalfe, N. B. and Monaghan, P. (2010). Ecological processes in a hormetic framework. *Ecol. Lett.* **13**, 1435-1447. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01531.x

Costantini, D., Casasole, G., AbdElgawad, H., Asard, H., Eens, M. and Hopkins, W. (2016). Experimental evidence that oxidative stress influences reproductive decisions. *Funct. Ecol.* 30, 1169-1174. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12608

D'Autréaux, B. and Toledano, M. B. (2007). ROS as signalling molecules: mechanisms that generate specificity in ROS homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. cell Biol.* 8, 813-824. doi:10.1038/nrm2256

Du, S. N. N., Mahalingam, S., Borowiec, B. G. and Scott, G. R. (2016). Mitochondrial physiology and reactive oxygen species production are altered by hypoxia acclimation in killifish (Fundulus heteroclitus). *J. Exp. Biol.* 219, 1130-1138. doi:10.1242/jeb.132860

Gaukler, S. M., Ruff, J. S., Galland, T., Kandaris, K. A., Underwood, T. K., Liu, N. M., Young, E. L., Morrison, L. C., Yost, G. S. and Potts, W. K. (2015). Low-dose paroxetine exposure causes lifetime declines in male mouse body weight, reproduction and competitive ability as measured by the novel organismal performance assay. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 47, 46-53. doi:10.1016/j.ntt.2014.11.002

Guers, J. J., Kasecky-Lardner, L., Farquhar, W. B., Edwards, D. G. and Lennon-Edwards, S. (2016). Voluntary exercise attenuates reactive oxygen species mediated endothelial eysfunction in rodents red a high sodium diet independent of blood pressure. *FASEB J.* 30, 1210-1287.

Harper, J. M. (2008). Wild-derived mouse stocks: an underappreciated tool for aging research. *Age (Omaha).* 30, 135-145. doi:10.1007/s11357-008-9057-0

Hedges, L. V. (1981). Distribution theory for glass's estimator of effect size and related estimators. *J. Educ. Stat.* 6, 107-128. doi:10.3102/10769986006002107

Hollister, A., Okubara, P., Watson, J. G. and Chaykin, S. (1987). Reproduction in mice: Liver enlargement in mice during pregnancy and lactation. *Life Sci.* 40, 11-18. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(87)90246-3

Hood, W. R., Austad, S. N., Bize, P., Jimenez, A. G., Montooth, K. L., Schulte, P. M., Scott, G. R., Sokolova, I., Treberg, J. R. and Salin, K. (2018a). The mitochondrial contribution to animal performance, adaptation, and life-history variation. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 58, 480-485. doi:10.1093/icb/icy089

Hood, W. W. R., Zhang, Y., Mowry, A. A. V., Hyatt, H. W. H. and Kavazis, A. N. (2018b). Life history trade-offs within the context of mitochondrial hormesis. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 58, 567-577. doi:10.1093/icb/icy073

Hood, W. R., Zhang, Y., Taylor, H. A., Park, N. R., Beatty, A. E., Weaver, R. J., Yap, K. N. and Kavazis, A. N. (2019). Data from: Prior reproduction alters how mitochondria respond to an oxidative event. Dryad Digital Repository. https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.r246hv0.2

Hyatt, H. W., Zhang, Y., Hood, W. R. and Kavazis, A. N. (2017). Lactation has persistent effects on a mother's metabolism and mitochondrial function. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 17118. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17418-7

Hyatt, H. W. H. W., Zhang, Y., Hood, W. R. W. R. and Kavazis, A. N. A. N. (2018). Physiological, mitochondrial, and oxidative stress differences in the presence or absence of lactation in rats. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* 16, 2-14. doi:10.1186/s12958-017-0317-7

Kavazis, A. N., Talbert, E. E., Smuder, A. J., Hudson, M. B., Nelson, W. B. and Powers, S. K. (2009). Mechanical ventilation induces diaphragmatic mitochondrial dysfunction and increased oxidant production. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 46, 842-850. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2009.01.002

Koch, R. E. and Hill, G. E. (2016). An assessment of techniques to manipulate oxidative stress in animals. *Funct. Ecol.* 31, 9-21. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12664

Kwong, L. K. and Sohal, R. S. (1998). Substrate and site specificity of hydrogen peroxide generation in mouse mitochondria. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 350, 118-126. doi:10.1006/abbi.1997.0489

Monaghan, P., Metcalfe, N. B., Torres, R. and Dev, S. (2009). Oxidative stress as a mediator of life history trade-offs: mechanisms, measurements and interpretation. *Ecol. Lett.* 12, 75-92. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01258.x

Morimoto, R. I. and Santoro, M. G. (1998). Stress–inducible responses and heat shock proteins: New pharmacologic targets for cytoprotection. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 16, 833-838. doi:10.1038/nbt0998-833

Mowry, A. V., Kavazis, A. N., Sirman, A. E., Potts, W. K. and Hood, W. R. (2016). Reproduction does not adversely affect liver mitochondrial respiratory function but results in lipid peroxidation and increased antioxidants in house mice. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0160883. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160883

Murphy, M. P. (2009). How mitochondria produce reactive oxygen species. *Biochem. J.* 417, 1-13. doi:10.1042/BJ20081386

Nakagawa, S. and Cuthill, I. C. (2007). Effect size, confidence interval and statistical significance: a practical guide for biologists. *Biol. Rev.* 82, 591-605. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2007.00027.x

Powers, S. K. and Jackson, M. J. (2008). Exercise-induced oxidative stress: cellular mechanisms and impact on muscle force production. *Physiol. Rev.* 88, 1243-1276. doi:10.1152/physrev.00031.2007

Ristow, M. (2014). Unraveling the truth about antioxidants: mitohormesis explains ROS-induced health benefits. *Nat. Med.* 20, 709-711. doi:10.1038/nm.3624

Ristow, M. and Schmeisser, S. (2011). Extending life span by increasing oxidative stress. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 51, 327-336. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.05.010

Ruff, J. S., Hugentobler, S. A., Suchy, A. K., Sosa, M. M., Tanner, R. E., Hite, M. E., Morrison, L. C., Gieng, S. H., Shigenaga, M. K. and Potts, W. K. (2015). Compared to sucrose, previous consumption of fructose and glucose monosaccharides reduces survival and fitness of female mice. *J. Nutr.* 145, 434-441. doi:10.3945/jn.114.202531

Salin, K., Roussel, D., Rey, B. and Voituron, Y. (2012). David and goliath: a mitochondrial coupling problem? *J. Exp. Zool. Part a-Ecological Genet. Physiol.* 317A, 283-293. doi:10.1002/jez.1722

Salmón, P., Stroh, E., Herrera-Dueñas, A., von Post, M. and Isaksson, C. (2018). Oxidative stress in birds along a NOx and urbanisation gradient: an interspecific approach. *Sci. Total Environ.* 622–623, 635-643. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.354

Sano, M. and Fukuda, K. (2008). Activation of mitochondrial biogenesis by hormesis. *Circ. Res.* 103, 1191-1193. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.189092

Sawilowsky, S. S. (2009). New effect size rules of thumb. *J. Mod. Appl. Stat. Methods* 8, article 26. doi:10.22237/jmasm/1257035100

Smith, S. W., Latta, L. C., Denver, D. R. and Estes, S. (2014). Endogenous ROS levels in C. elegans under exogenous stress support revision of oxidative stress theory of life-history tradeoffs. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 14, 161. doi:10.1186/s12862-014-0161-8

Speakman, J. R. and Garratt, M. (2014). Oxidative stress as a cost of reproduction: Beyond the simplistic trade-off model. *BioEssays* 36, 93-106. doi:10.1002/bies.201300108

Speakman, J. R., Blount, J. D., Bronikowski, A. M., Buffenstein, R., Isaksson, C., Kirkwood, T. B. L. L., Monaghan, P., Ozanne, S. E., Beaulieu, M., Briga, M. et al. (2015). Oxidative stress and life histories: unresolved issues and current needs. *Ecol. Evol.* 5, 5745-5757. doi:10.1002/ece3.1790

Stier, A., Reichert, S., Massemin, S., Bize, P. and Criscuolo, F. (2012). Constraint and cost of oxidative stress on reproduction: correlative evidence in laboratory mice and review of the literature. *Front Zool* 9, 37. doi:10.1186/1742-9994-9-37

Tapia, P. C. (2006). Sublethal mitochondrial stress with an attendant stoichiometric augmentation of reactive oxygen species may precipitate many of the beneficial alterations in cellular physiology produced by caloric restriction, intermittent fasting, exercise and dietary p. *Med. Hypotheses* 66, 832-843. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2005.09.009

Trounce, I. A., Kim, Y. L., Jun, A. S. and Wallace, D. C. (1996). Assessment of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in patient muscle biopsies, lymphoblasts, and transmitochondrial cell lines. *Methods Enzym.* 264, 484-509. doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(96)64044-0

Williams, J. B., Miller, R. A., Harper, J. M. and Wiersma, P. (2010). Functional linkages for the pace of life, life-history, and environment in birds. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 50, 855-868. doi:10.1093/icb/icq024

Zhang, Y. and Hood, W. R. (2016). Current versus future reproduction and longevity: a re-evaluation of predictions and mechanisms. *J. Exp. Biol.* 219, 3177-3189. doi:10.1242/jeb.132183

Zhang, Y., Kallenberg, C., Hyatt, H. W., Kavazis, A. N. and Hood, W. R. (2017). Change in the lipid transport capacity of the liver and blood during reproduction in rats. *Front. Physiol.* 8, 517. doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00517

Zhang, Y., Brasher, A. L., Park, N. R., Taylor, H. A., Kavazis, A. N. and Hood, W. R. (2018a). High activity before breeding improves reproductive performance by enhancing mitochondrial function and biogenesis. *J. Exp. Biol.* 221, jeb.177469. doi:10.1242/jeb.177469

Zhang, Y., Humes, F., Almond, G., Kavazis, A. N. and Hood, W. R. (2018b). A mitohormetic response to pro-oxidant exposure in the house mouse. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 314, R122-R134. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00176.2017

Figure S1. Standardized residuals plotted against the fitted values (estimated means from the model) from linear models. The header over each model result follows the conventions of the abbreviations described below.

Figure S2. Standardized residuals plotted against the fitted values (estimated means from the model) from generalized least squares models. The header over each model result follows the conventions of the abbreviations described below.

Figure S3. The number of pups weaned by x-irradiated (red) and non-irradiated (gray) female mice.

Fig S4. The effect of number of pups weaned on each measure of mitochondrial performance and oxidative stress for x-irradiated mice (red dots) and non-irradiated mice (gray dots). Results are from a generalized least squares model. The y-axis units for each model are presented in the main text. The header over each model result follows the conventions of the abbreviations described below.

Abbreviations

nr = non-reproductive, virgin mice

r = reproductive mice

x = x-irradiated mice

con = non-irradiated mice

liv = liver

sm = skeletal muscle

c1 = complex I of the electron transport system (ETS)

c2 = complex II activity of ETS

c3 = complex III activity of ETS

c4 = complex IV activity of ETS

cs = citrate synthase activity

s3 = state 3 respiration

s4 = state 4 respiration

rcr = respiratory control ratio

h2 = H2O2 production

hne = 4-Hydroxynonenal

pc = protein carbonyl

The header above each model result represents a specific measure taken from the tissue listed. For example, liv.c1.s3 is state 3 respiration of liver mitochondria using complex I substrates. sm.c2 is complex II activity of skeletal muscle, and so on.

Table S1. Raw means and standard deviations for mitochondrial measurements and p-value results of the effect of reproduction, x-irradiation (x-ray) exposure, and their interaction from Generalized Least Squares linear models. Significant values are in bold.

	Virgin females		Reproductive females		P-values			
	Control (A) *n* = 10	X-ray (B) *n* = 10	Control (C) *n* = 8	X-ray (D) *n* = 10	Repro (C vs. A) *n* = 18	X-ray (B vs. A) *n* = 20	Repro + X-ray (D vs. A) *n* = 20	Interaction (all data) *n* = 38
Liver								
Mitochondrial density - CS activity (nmol/min/mg protein)	613±197	300±135	423±164	548±314	**0.032**	**0.0002**	0.580	**0.003**
Respiration via complex 1 substrates								
RCR (state 3/state 4 respiration)	4.48±1.25	4.88±1.14	5.52±2.01	6.61±1.02	0.233	0.468	**< 0.001**	0.493
state 3 respiration (pmol/min/CS activity)	52.8±27.9	35.6±11.5	58.6±29.3	52.4±18.3	0.670	0.081	0.971	0.478
state 4 respiration (pmol/min/CS activity)	12.6±7.3	7.80±2.64	11.4±3.40	8.01±2.66	0.647	0.060	0.070	0.626
Complex activity								
complex I (nmol/min/mg protein)	404±177	539±385	400±237	504±327	0.971	0.322	0.403	0.868
complex II (nmol/min/mg protein)	172±99	299±96	162±72	192±120	0.791	**0.007**	0.691	0.138
complex III (nmol/min/mg protein)	599±197	638±141	664±129	750±234	0.408	0.623	0.129	0.680
complex IV (nmol/min/mg protein)	2063±813	2263±983	2171±1176	3368±1931	0.827	0.623	0.057	0.240
ROS indicator - H_2O_2 (pmol/min/mg protein)	4790±1784	3197±826	5203±2772	3718±847	0.717	**0.015**	0.095	0.928
Oxidative damage								

Lipids - 4HNE adducts (arbitrary units)	0.601+0.166	0.638+0.179	0.572+0.167	0.565+0.119	0.711	0.639	0.584	0.683
Proteins - Protein carbonyls (arbitrary units)	1.12+0.37	1.12+0.19	1.29+0.43	1.08+0.33	0.388	0.991	0.789	0.359
Muscle							
Mitochondrial density - CS activity (nmol/min/mg protein)	1779+944	2316+493	2107+526	735+899	0.359	0.120	**0.016**	**< 0.001**
Respiration via complex 1 substrates							
RCR (state 3/state 4 respiration)	3.94+1.71	4.96+1.65	3.98+0.76	5.17+2.11	0.944	0.208	0.201	0.884
state 3 respiration (pmol/min/CS activity)	10.1+4.62	10.5+3.39	13.5+4.95	9.37+2.40	0.150	0.830	0.665	0.102
state 4 respiration (pmol/min/CS activity)	2.87+1.34	2.44+1.28	3.59+1.73	2.15+1.22	0.347	0.497	0.255	0.302
Complex activity							
complex I (nmol/min/mg protein)	945+450	950+592	440+282	1150+328	**0.008**	0.986	0.254	**0.016**
complex II (nmol/min/mg protein)	224+129	216+85	202+106	201+81	0.705	0.874	0.645	0.920
complex III (nmol/min/mg protein)	1640+306	1261+360	1173+504	904+288	**0.029**	**0.016**	**< 0.001**	0.660
complex IV (nmol/min/mg protein)	2952+734	3266+1136	3003+1525	2880+686	0.932	0.469	0.825	0.550
ROS indicator - H_2O_2 (pmol/min/mg protein)	2029+1493	1267+754	1524+714	1309+720	0.351	0.159	0.178	0.391
Oxidative damage							
Lipids - 4HNE adducts (arbitrary units)	0.938+0.371	1.069+0.433	0.878+0.467	1.102+0.635	0.768	0.472	0.487	0.772

Proteins - Protein carbonyls (arbitrary units)	0.248±0.086	0.225±0.057	0.229±0.069	0.256±0.089	0.601	0.489	0.830	0.314