

## Exceptional longevity in songbirds is associated with high rates of evolution of cytochrome *b*, suggesting selection for reduced generation of free radicals

Hagai Rottenberg

New Hope Biomedical R&D, 23 W. Bridge Street, New Hope, PA 18938, USA

e-mail: rotteh@hotmail.com

Accepted 17 April 2007

### Summary

In animals, longevity (maximal lifespan) is inversely related to mass-specific basal metabolic rates. However, contrary to expectation, in several mammalian taxa, exceptional longevity is associated with high basal metabolic rate, and also fast evolution of mtDNA-coded proteins. The association of these traits was suggested to result from adaptive selection of mutations in mtDNA-coded proteins, which accelerates basal respiration, thus inhibiting the generation of reactive oxygen species that constrain longevity. In birds, all the genera with high rate of cytochrome *b* evolution are songbirds (oscines). Within the songbirds group, both longevity residuals and lifetime expenditure of energy are positively correlated with the rate of cytochrome *b* evolution. Moreover, within the large songbirds family Fringillidae (true finches) mass-specific basal metabolic rates, longevity, longevity residuals and lifetime expenditure of energy are all positively correlated with the rate of evolution of cytochrome *b*. In *Serinus*, a

genus of finches (canaries) that exhibits the highest rate of cytochrome *b* evolution, and the highest values of exceptional longevity and lifetime expenditure of energy in all birds, many of the substitutions in cytochrome *b* are clustered around Q<sub>i</sub>, a ubiquinone binding site adjacent to the mitochondrial matrix, apparently selected to increase the rate of ubiquinone reduction. We therefore suggest that, in songbirds, the accelerated evolution of cytochrome *b* involved selection of mutations that reduce the generation of reactive oxygen species, thus contributing to the evolution of exceptional longevity, and possibly also exceptional long-term memory, which is necessary for learning songs.

Supplementary material available online at  
<http://jeb.biologists.org/cgi/content/full/210/12/2170/DC1>

Key words: lifespan, songbirds, cytochrome *b*, energy expenditure, reactive oxygen species.

### Introduction

The ‘free radicals’ theory of aging, a current paradigm of the biology of aging, postulates that the accumulated damage from metabolically generated free radicals constrains longevity (Harman, 1956; Sohal and Weindruch, 1996; Beckman and Ames, 1998; Barja, 2004; Sanz et al., 2006). Because the rate of generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is largely a function of metabolic rates (Ku and Sohal, 1993) this postulate is compatible with the fact that, in animals, longevity (*L*) is dependent on mass-specific basal metabolic rates (BMR<sub>w</sub>). *L* is inversely related to BMR<sub>w</sub>, obeying by the power law:

$$L = a \text{BMR}_w^{-b}, \quad (1)$$

where *a* and *b* are constants (*b* is the scaling exponent). In animals BMR<sub>w</sub> is inversely related to body mass (*M*) obeying the power law:

$$\text{BMR}_w = cM^{-d}, \quad (2)$$

where *c* and *d* are constants. For the whole animal, basal metabolic rate, BMR=BMR<sub>w</sub>*M*, and is therefore related to *M* by the power law:

$$\text{BMR} = cM^{\beta}, \quad (3)$$

where the scaling exponent  $\beta=1-d$ .

Since *L* is a function of BMR<sub>w</sub>, *L* is also a function of *M*, obeying the power law:

$$L = eM^f, \quad (4)$$

where *e* and *f* are constants.

From Eqn 1 and Eqn 2 it follows that  $e=a-(bc)$  and that the scaling exponent  $f=(bd)$  (for a review, see Speakman, 2005).

The ‘rate of living’ theory postulated that the mass-specific lifetime expenditure of energy (i.e.  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$ ) is nearly constant in all animals (Pearl, 1928; Speakman, 2005). If *L* was a reciprocal function of BMR<sub>w</sub>, i.e.  $b=1$ , then  $f=d$  and the lifetime expenditure of energy would be constant, since in this case  $L \times \text{BMR}_w = (eM^d)(cM^{-d}) = ec = k$ . In fact, both in birds and mammals,  $b \leq 1$  and  $d > f$  (Speakman, 2005), and the lifetime expenditure of energy should be inversely related to body mass, since from Eqn 4 and Eqn 2:

$$L \times \text{BMR}_w = eM^f cM^{-d} = ecM^{f-d}$$

or

$$L \times \text{BMR}_w = gM^{-h}, \quad (5)$$

where  $g=ec$  and  $h=d-f$ .

The relationships between longevity and body mass (power law 4), longevity and mass-specific basal metabolic rates (power law 1), and lifetime expenditure of energy and body mass (power law 5) could be explained very well by the free radical theory of aging if we accept that the ratio between the rate of generation of reactive oxygen species ( $\dot{V}_{ROS}$ ) and the rate of oxygen consumption (and hence BMR<sub>w</sub>) is not necessarily the same in all animals. Thus, the fact that *L* is not a reciprocal function of BMR<sub>w</sub>, as well as the commonly observed large deviations (residuals) from the power laws 1, 4 and 5, could be accommodated by the theory if these were the result of the evolution of taxon-specific modulations of the relationship between metabolic rates and  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$ . Indeed, increasing evidence suggest that longevity correlates negatively with  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$ , and that this correlation is stronger than the negative correlation with BMR<sub>w</sub> (Ku et al., 1993; Perez-Campo et al., 1998; Herrero and Barja, 1998).

Recently, we showed that in a large clade of placental mammals the rate of evolution of cytochrome *b*, and most other mtDNA-coded proteins, is positively correlated with longevity (Rottenberg, 2006). Moreover, we showed later that, in the same clade the longevity residuals from power laws 1 and 4 are also positively correlated with the rate of evolution of cytochrome *b*, suggesting that longevity dependence on the rate of evolution of mtDNA-coded proteins is independent of body mass or mass-specific basal metabolic rates (Rottenberg, 2007). Since ROS production is largely a byproduct of mitochondrial electron transport, and cytochrome *b* and other components of the mitochondrial electron transport complexes, are coded by mtDNA, we suggested that the evolution of mtDNA-coded proteins in placental mammals is driven by adaptive selection of mutations that reduce  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$ . Anthropoid primates, as well as elephants, whales, dolphins and bats exhibit exceptionally high rates of evolution of cytochrome *b* and other mtDNA-coded proteins, and in addition to exceptional longevity (i.e. positive longevity residuals from power laws 1 and 4), exhibit also exceptionally high BMR<sub>w</sub> values (i.e. positive BMR<sub>w</sub> residuals from power law 2). These observations suggested that adaptive selection of mutations in cytochrome *b* and other mtDNA-coded proteins reduced  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$ , in part, by increasing mitochondrial proton leak (Rottenberg, 2007). Mitochondrial proton leak reduces the mitochondrial proton electrochemical potential difference and thus accelerates basal electron transport rate, thereby reducing  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  (Korshunov et al., 1997). Therefore, the free radical theory of aging predicts that an increase of mitochondrial proton leak should increase lifespan (Brand, 2000). We suggested that the observed association between positive *L* residuals from Eqn 1 and Eqn 4 with positive BMR<sub>w</sub> residuals from Eqn 2, that appear to be incompatible with the free radical theory of aging, actually reflects a lower degree of mitochondrial coupling that is associated with increased basal respiration rate, and consequently lower  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$ /BMR<sub>w</sub> ratio. A lower mitochondrial degree of coupling could account for the positive residuals from the power laws 1, 2, 4 and 5 observed in these taxa. Interestingly, most of these taxa also share exceptional sociality

and cognitive abilities, and therefore it is not clear whether the selection for reduced  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  is driven by pressure to increase lifespan or sociality and cognitive abilities (see Discussion).

It is well-established that the power laws that describe the relationships between longevity, body mass and metabolic rates in birds and mammals are different: in the power laws 1, 2, 4 and 5 the coefficients *a*, *c*, *e* and *g* are larger in birds than in mammals, i.e. at equal body mass birds have higher mass-specific basal metabolic rates than mammals, and yet live longer than mammals of equal body mass, and their lifetime expenditure of energy is also larger (Holmes et al., 2001; Speakman, 2005). Birds and mammals share endothermic metabolism, but they evolved independently, and it should not be surprising that these coefficients, which are influenced by the anatomy, physiology and metabolism of these classes of animals are different. Moreover, there is direct evidence that birds live longer than mammals of equal mass or BMR<sub>w</sub> because the ratio between  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  and oxygen consumption is smaller in birds than in mammals (Ku and Sohal, 1993; Barja et al., 1994; Barja, 1998). Similarly, the large deviations of some species from the birds' power laws most likely result from modulation of the relationships between BMR<sub>w</sub> and ROS generation in these species. We can therefore ask: do these modulations also result from adaptive selection in the evolution of mtDNA-coded proteins as observed in placental mammals?

In mammals the relative rate of evolution of most mtDNA-coded proteins is very different in different taxa, and this fact and other independent evidence, suggest that in mammalian taxa the evolution of mtDNA-coded proteins is driven by adaptive (positive) selection (Gissi et al., 2000). There is also evidence that adaptive selection drives the evolution of mtDNA in most other classes of animals (Bazin et al., 2006). However, there are no studies of the relative rates of evolution of mtDNA-coded proteins in birds, and there are relatively few complete sequences of mtDNA of birds that are available for such studies. The exception is cytochrome *b*, the core peptide of complex III, which has been sequenced extensively for phylogenetic studies of birds. Cytochrome *b* is a major source of ROS (Demin et al., 1998), and its rate of evolution correlates strongly with longevity in placental mammals (Rottenberg, 2006; Rottenberg, 2007). It was also suggested that complex III (together with complex I) is responsible for the low  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  in birds (Herrero and Barja, 1997; Herrero and Barja, 1998). Therefore, in this study, we determined the relative rate of cytochrome *b* evolution in modern birds and investigated the relationships between the rate of cytochrome *b* evolution and longevity, basal metabolic rates and lifetime expenditure of energy.

## Materials and methods

### *The database*

We used the AnAge databank of the Human Aging Genomic Resources Databank (HAGR) [<http://genomics.senescence.info/species/> (de Magalhaes et al., 2005)] to obtain the longevity (*L*, years) and body mass (*M*; g) of 809 species of birds belonging to 287 genera. We also collected

all the available values of mass-specific basal metabolic rates ( $\text{BMR}_w$ ;  $\text{mW g}^{-1}$ ) for these species from the AnAge databank. Data collection was terminated in February 2006. We computed the genera averages of  $L$ ,  $M$  and  $\text{BMR}_w$ , and these average values were used for the analysis (Table S1 in supplementary material). Using the Entrez/protein search engine (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/>) we searched for complete cytochrome  $b$  sequences for the 287 genera. If more than one complete sequence was found for a genus we used the sequence of the species with life history traits that was closer to the genus average. In a few cases, where several complete sequences were available for a genus, we aligned them and used the one that was closest to the genus consensus. In most cases there was no significant difference between the values of substitution per site calculated for species of the same genus (see below). Analysis of the data at the genera level rather than at the species level provides several advantages. Firstly, it allowed us to increase the size of our database since very few species that have  $\text{BMR}_w$  value in the AnAge databank also have a complete cytochrome  $b$  sequence. Secondly, the error in estimating species  $L$  values is quite large, and the genus average is therefore more reliable. Thirdly, a few genera are represented by a large number of species in the AnAge databank, whereas most other genera are represented by a few, often a single, species; therefore, analysis at the species level would give unduly large weight to a few genera.

#### *The computation of cytochrome b substitution per site*

The complete cytochrome  $b$  sequences of 122 genera of birds were aligned using the CLUSTALX program (<http://bips.u-strasb.fr/fr/Documentation/ClustalX>) and submitted for genetic distance determination by the PRODIST program at the phylogeny site PHYLIP (<http://bioweb.pasteur.fr/seqanal/interfaces/prodist.html>). The Dayhoff PAM matrix distance model with the default setting was used. The distance matrix of the 122 cytochrome  $b$  sequences was used to compute the relative rates of cytochrome  $b$  evolution in modern birds. The detailed phylogeny of extant bird species has not been fully elucidated as yet. Nevertheless, it is accepted that the clade of Neognathae (modern birds) that contains most extant bird species diverged from the Paleognathae clade early on and later split into the Neoaves and the Galloanserae branches (Cracraft et al., 2004). Therefore, we use the three sequences of the Paleognathae clade as an outgroup to calculate the relative rate of evolution (i.e. substitution per site) of the 119 genera of modern birds from the node of divergence of the neoaves and the Galloanserae branches. To compute the substitution per site for a species  $i$  ( $S_i$ ) belonging to the Neoaves branch we used the formula:  $S_i = (D_{i,p} + D_{i,g} - D_{p,g})/2$  where  $D_{i,p}$  is the distance from species  $i$  to  $p$ , a species that belongs to the Paleognathae branch;  $D_{i,g}$  is the distance from  $i$  to  $g$ , a species that belongs to the Galloanserae branch; and  $D_{p,g}$  is the distance from  $p$  to  $g$ . For each species we computed  $S_i$  with the three different Paleognathae species (Table S1 in supplementary material)

and three different Galloanserae species (*Gallus gallus*, *Anser albifrons*, *Ortalis vetula*), in all possible combinations ( $3 \times 3$ ), and averaged the nine values. To compute the substitution per site for species that belong to the Galloanserae branch we used the formula  $S_i = (D_{i,p} + D_{i,n} - D_{p,n})/2$  where  $D_{i,n}$  is the distance between species  $i$  and  $n$ , a species that belong to the Neoaves branch, and  $D_{p,n}$  is the distance from  $p$  to  $n$ . For each species we computed  $S_i$  with the three different Paleognathae species and three Neoaves species belonging to different orders, in all possible combination, and averaged the nine values. The results of these computations are listed in Table S1 in supplementary material.


Statistical analysis (e.g. linear regression, multiple linear regression, Student's  $t$ -test) was carried out with the SigmaStat3.1 Statistical analysis package (Systat Software inc.)

## Results

We found complete cytochrome  $b$  sequences for 122 genera of birds that also have longevity and body mass records. Using the three genera that belong to the Paleognathae branch as an outgroup to the 119 genera of the Neognathae clade we calculated the relative rate of cytochrome  $b$  evolution (i.e. substitution per site,  $S_{cytb}$ ) in Neognathae as described in the Materials and methods. The results of these calculations are given in Table S1 in supplementary material. There were large differences in the values of  $S_{cytb}$  of these genera, ranging from 0.045 (*Ortalis*) to 0.163 (*Serinus*), a nearly fourfold difference, similar to the range of values that was observed in placental mammals (Rottenberg, 2006), suggesting adaptive selection of mutations in taxa that exhibit accelerated evolution of cytochrome  $b$ . The computed value of substitution per site,  $S_{cytb}$ , is a sum of both neutral mutations and selected mutations. Assuming that the rate of neutral mutations is the same in all species [the Molecular Clock assumption (Page and Holmes, 1998)], genera with exceptionally low value of  $S_{cytb}$  represent genera with relatively few positively selected mutations. By contrast, genera with exceptionally high values of  $S_{cytb}$ , represent genera where most of the mutations were selected. Of the 119 genera of Neognathae that have a complete cytochrome  $b$  sequence, only 59 also have  $\text{BMR}_w$  values listed in the AnAge database (Table S2 in supplementary material). To find out if there are correlations between life history traits and selection of cytochrome  $b$  mutations we compared the relationship between body mass ( $M$ ), basal metabolic rates ( $\text{BMR}$ ), mass-specific basal metabolic rates ( $\text{BMR}_w$ ), and longevity ( $L$ ) in a group of genera with exceptionally high  $S_{cytb}$  (the upper quadrant of the rates distribution,  $S_{cytb} > 0.1345$ ,  $N=15$ ) and a group with exceptionally low  $S_{cytb}$  (the lower quadrant,  $S_{cytb} < 0.069$ ,  $N=15$ , see Table S2 in supplementary material).

Fig. 1A (solid line) shows the linear regression of power law (3) that describe  $\text{BMR}$  as a function of body mass in the 59 Neognathae genera (Table S2 in supplementary material):  $\text{BMR} = 3.76 \cdot M^{0.663}$ . The scaling exponent  $0.663 \pm 0.014$  is similar to previously reported value for birds, 0.671

Fig. 1. The rate of cytochrome *b* evolution (Scytb) modulates the relationships between body mass (*M*), basal metabolic rate (BMR), mass-specific basal metabolic rate ( $BMR_w$ ) and longevity (*L*) in Neognathae birds. Data are from Table S2 in supplementary material. Linear regressions of the power laws for the 59 genera of Neognathae birds for which there are complete cytochrome *b* sequences available, and the *M*, *L* and  $BMR_w$  values, are shown by solid lines. Linear regressions of the genera with the lowest values of Scytb (the lower quadrant of the rate distribution,  $Scytb < 0.069$ ,  $N=15$ , full symbols) are shown by dotted lines. The linear regressions of the genera with the highest values of Scytb (the upper quadrant of the rate distribution,  $Scytb > 0.1345$ ,  $N=15$ , empty symbols) are shown by dash-dot-dash lines. When the regression was not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) the lines were omitted. (A)  $\ln BMR$  as a function of  $\ln M$ : for the Neognathae clade  $\ln BMR = 3.712 + 0.663 \ln M$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.973$ ,  $P<0.001$ ); for the high Scytb group  $\ln BMR = 3.283 + 0.826 \ln M$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.903$ ,  $P<0.001$ ); for the low Scytb group  $\ln BMR = 3.715 + 0.666 \ln M$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.942$ ,  $P<0.001$ ). The difference in the slopes between the low Scytb group  $0.666 \pm 0.046$  and the high Scytb group  $0.826 \pm 0.075$  is significant ( $P<0.001$ ). The curves for the low Scytb and the whole clade are nearly identical. (B)  $\ln BMR_w$  as a function of  $M$ : for the whole clade  $\ln BMR_w = 3.712 - 0.337 \ln M$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.904$ ,  $P<0.001$ ); for the high Scytb group  $\ln BMR_w = 3.283 - 0.174 \ln M$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.291$ ,  $P=0.038$ ); for the low Scytb group  $\ln BMR_w = 3.715 - 0.334 \ln M$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.804$ ,  $P<0.001$ ). The difference in the slopes between the low Scytb group,  $-0.334 \pm 0.046$ , and the high Scytb group,  $-0.175 \pm 0.075$ , is significant. The curves for the low Scytb and the whole clade are nearly identical. (C)  $\ln L$  as a function of  $\ln M$ : for the whole clade  $\ln L = 1.742 + 0.193 \ln M$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.473$ ,  $P<0.001$ ); for the high Scytb group  $\ln L = 1.616 + 0.27 \ln M$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.058$ ,  $P=0.384$ ); for the low Scytb group  $\ln L = 2.23 + 0.153 \ln M$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.551$ ,  $P=0.002$ ). (D)  $\ln L$  as a function of  $BMR_w$ : for the whole clade  $\ln L = 3.733 - 0.513 \ln BMR_w$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.417$ ,  $P<0.001$ ); for the high Scytb group  $\ln L = 3.63 - 0.451 \ln BMR_w$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.017$ ,  $P=0.643$ ); for the low Scytb group  $\ln L = 3.859 - 0.408 \ln BMR_w$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.547$ ,  $P=0.002$ ).



(Speakman, 2005). However, when plotted separately there was a significant difference between the scaling exponent of the high Scytb group, that is composed entirely of small birds (empty symbols), and the scaling exponent of the whole group ( $0.826 \pm 0.075$  compared to  $0.663 \pm 0.014$ ; d.f.=70,  $t=2.09$ ,  $P=0.02$ ). By contrast, the scaling exponent of the low Scytb group ( $0.666 \pm 0.0458$ ) was not significantly different from the exponent of the entire Neognathae group. This difference between the high and low Scytb groups is shown more clearly in Fig. 1B, in which the dependence of  $BMR_w$  on *M* (power law 2) is shown. In the Neognathae clade  $BMR_w = 3.712M^{-0.337}$  (solid line) the scaling exponent of the low Scytb group ( $-0.334 \pm 0.0458$ ) is nearly identical to the scaling exponent of the whole clade ( $-0.337$ ) but the scaling exponent of the high Scytb is only half as much ( $-0.174 \pm 0.0753$ ); the coefficient of determination was also much higher in the low Scytb group than in the high Scytb group ( $r^2=0.804$  and  $r^2=0.291$ ,

respectively), indicating a much weaker dependence of  $BMR_w$  on *M* in the high Scytb group. These results suggest that accelerated evolution of cytochrome *b* is associated with taxon-specific modulation of  $BMR_w$ .

There is also a large difference between the two groups in the dependence of *L*, on  $BMR_w$  (power law 1), or on *M* (power law 4). Fig. 1C shows that within the low Scytb group *L* increases with *M* with a slope that is similar to the dependence in the whole clade. However, within the high Scytb group, *L*, which is highly variable, is not dependent on *M* at all. Fig. 1D shows that within the low Scytb group *L* decreases with  $BMR_w$ , with a slope that is similar to the dependence in the whole clade, but within the high Scytb group *L* is not dependent on  $BMR_w$  at all (Fig. 1D).

If longevity in the high Scytb group, a group of taxa, where most of the cytochrome *b* mutations were selected, is not strongly dependent on either *M* or  $BMR_w$  does it depend on






Fig. 2. In the group of genera with accelerated evolution of cytochrome *b* exceptional longevity and the lifetime expenditure of energy are strong functions of the rate of evolution of cytochrome *b*. Data are from Table S2 in supplementary material. The genera with high Scytb values (the upper quadrant, Scytb > 0.1345) are indicated by open symbols; the genera with the low Scytb values (the lowest quadrant, Scytb < 0.069) are indicated by solid symbols. When the linear regression was not significant the regression line was omitted. (A) Exceptional longevity as a function of Scytb. The ratio of observed longevity ( $L_o$ ) to predicted longevity ( $L_p$ ),  $L_o/L_p$ , is derived from the residuals of the linear regressions (solid curves) of the Neognathae clade shown in Fig. 1C,D. The squares indicate the residuals from  $L(BMR_w)$  (Fig. 1D) and the circles indicate the residuals from  $L(M)$  (Fig. 1C). For the high Scytb group,  $L_o/L_p(M) = -7.068 + 57.5 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.605$ ,  $P<0.001$ ) and  $L_o/L_p(BMR_w) = -7.258 + 58.7 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.618$ ,  $P<0.001$ ). For the low Scytb group,  $L_o/L_p(M) = 0.869 + 6.8 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.013$ ,  $P=0.689$ ), and  $L_o/L_p(BMR_w) = 0.347 + 16.6 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.06$ ,  $P=0.371$ ). For the whole clade,  $L_o/L_p(M) = 1.02 + 0.55 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.0021$ ,  $P=0.731$ ) and  $L_o/L_p(BMR_w) = 1.13 - 0.419 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.001$ ,  $P=0.804$ ). (B) Lifetime energy expenditure as a function of body mass. The linear regression for the high Scytb group is  $L \times BMR_w = 153 + 15 \times \ln M$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.004$ ,  $P=0.854$ ). The linear regression for the low Scytb group is  $L \times BMR_w = 250 - 19 \times \ln M$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.436$ ,  $P=0.007$ ). For the whole clade,  $L \times BMR_w = 218 - 17.2 \times \ln M$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.217$ ,  $P<0.001$ ). The correct value of lifetime expenditure of energy can be obtained by multiplying  $L \times BMR_w$  by 31 536 000 (the number of seconds in one year). (C) Lifetime expenditure of energy as a function of Scytb. For the high Scytb group,  $L \times BMR_w = -488 + 9612 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.579$ ,  $P<0.001$ ). For the low Scytb group,  $L \times BMR_w = 155 - 514 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=15$ ,  $r^2=0.0026$ ,  $P=0.857$ ). For the whole clade,  $L \times BMR_w = 39.3 + 982 \times \text{Scytb}$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.206$ ,  $P<0.001$ ).

Scytb? To answer this question we computed the longevity residuals from power laws 1 and 4, to obtain the extent to which the  $L$  value of each genus deviates from the prediction of the birds' power laws, and plotted the residuals of the two groups against their Scytb values (Fig. 2A). Within the low Scytb group there was no significant correlation between Scytb and the  $L$  residuals, but within the high Scytb group there was a very strong positive correlation between the  $L$  residuals and Scytb. These results indicate that Scytb does not have strong effect on longevity in the low Scytb group, in which few mutations were selected and  $L$  depend strongly on the magnitude of  $BMR_w$  or  $M$  (Fig. 1C,D). By contrast, in the high Scytb group, in which most of the mutations were selected, and  $BMR_w$  (or  $M$ ) have little effect on  $L$ ; the  $L$  residuals are strongly dependent on the value of Scytb. These results suggest that the selection of mutations in cytochrome *b* increased longevity independently of  $M$  or  $BMR_w$ .

An alternative measure of the effect of  $BMR_w$  on  $L$  is the lifetime expenditure of energy,  $L \times BMR_w$ . In general  $L \times BMR_w$  decrease with increasing  $M$  (power law 5), and this holds true for the low Scytb group; however, in the high Scytb group  $L \times BMR_w$  varies greatly but shows no significant dependence on  $M$  (Fig. 2B). By contrast in the high Scytb group,  $L \times BMR_w$  depends strongly on Scytb, but this is not true

for the low Scytb group (Fig. 2C). Thus, the selected mutations in cytochrome *b* increased the lifetime expenditure of energy. The genera with the highest values of Scytb (i.e. *Serinus* and *Fringilla*, Table S2 in supplementary material), also exhibit the highest values of  $L \times BMR_w$  (Fig. 2C) and the highest  $L$  residuals (Fig. 2A).

The averaged value of Scytb is also different in taxonomic groups of higher order. The average Scytb for all neognath genera is  $0.096 \pm 0.034$ , but for oscines (song birds) a branch of the order Passeriformes (passerines, or perching birds) the average Scytb value ( $0.134 \pm 0.011$ ) is nearly twice as large as that of all other neognaths ( $0.073 \pm 0.019$ ,  $t=19.6$ ,  $P<0.001$ ). The phylogeny of neognaths is not fully resolved yet, but the average Scytb of each non-oscine family (and therefore any ordinal level clade of non-oscine birds) is significantly lower than that of songbirds. All the genera in the high Scytb group (Scytb > 0.1345) are oscine birds; but the low Scytb group (Scytb < 0.069) includes genera from several families that belong to the Neoaves branch, and from the Galliformes order that belong to the Galloanserae branch (Table S2 in supplementary material). Therefore, the differences between the high and low Scytb groups, described above (Figs 1, 2), are to a considerable extent the differences between oscine birds and other orders of modern birds.




Fig. 3. The dependence of basal metabolic rates (BMR), mass-specific basal metabolic rate ( $BMR_w$ ), and lifetime expenditure of energy ( $L \times BMR_w$ ) on body mass ( $M$ ) in songbirds (oscines) is different from that of all other birds. The oscines birds genera are indicated by open circles, and all other bird genera are indicated by solid circles; the oscines family Fringillidae (true finches) genera are indicated by inverted triangles. The regression lines for the whole Neognathae clade ( $N=123$ ) are solid, the lines for all other birds ( $N=64$ ) are dotted, the oscine birds lines ( $N=59$ ) are dash-dot-dash, and the Fringillidae lines ( $N=28$ ) are dash-dot-dot-dash. If the regression was not significant the line was omitted. (A)  $\ln BMR$  as a function of  $\ln M$ . For the whole clade,  $\ln BMR = 3.765 + 0.648 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.966$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). For oscine birds,  $\ln BMR = 3.577 + 0.735 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.934$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). For Fringillidae,  $\ln BMR = 3.167 + 0.857 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.920$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ); and for all other birds,  $\ln BMR = 3.519 + 0.678 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.966$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). The slopes of the three curves were significantly different from each other. (B)  $\ln BMR_w$  as a function of  $\ln M$ . For the whole clade,  $\ln BMR_w = 3.765 - 0.352 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.893$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). For oscines,  $\ln BMR_w = 3.577 - 0.256 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.648$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). For Fringillidae,  $\ln BMR_w = 3.167 - 0.143 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.243$ ,  $P = 0.008$ ). For all other birds,  $\ln BMR_w = 3.519 - 0.322 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.866$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). The difference in slopes between oscines,  $-0.256 \pm 0.026$ , and all birds,  $-0.352 \pm 0.011$ , is highly significant ( $t = 3.13$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), but the difference in intercept is not significant. (C)  $\ln(L \times BMR_w)$  as a function of  $\ln M$ . For the whole clade,  $\ln(L \times BMR_w) = 5.495 - 0.155 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.323$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). For oscines,  $\ln(L \times BMR_w) = 5.136 - 0.0139 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.001$ ,  $P = 0.805$ ). For Fringillidae,  $\ln(L \times BMR_w) = 4.411 + 0.212 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.066$ ,  $P = 0.188$ ); and for all other birds:  $\ln(L \times BMR_w) = 5.317 - 0.136 \times \ln M$  ( $r^2 = 0.245$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). The average value of  $\ln(L \times BMR_w)$  was significantly larger for oscines birds,  $5.093 \pm 0.368$ , than that of all other birds  $4.567 \pm 0.562$  ( $t = 6.082$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ).

This is demonstrated in the following analysis of the birds' database (123 genera for which there are  $L$ ,  $M$  and  $BMR_w$  values; Table S1 in supplementary material). Fig. 3A shows that for the whole class,  $BMR = 3.765M^{0.648}$ , but for oscine birds the scaling exponent is  $0.735 \pm 0.0255$ , which is significantly larger than the scaling exponent for the class,  $0.648 \pm 0.0111$  ( $t = 3.13$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ). This difference in the scaling exponents can be attributed to the life history traits of the true finches family, Fringillidae (averages  $Scytb = 0.139 \pm 0.001$ ), which includes half of the oscines genera in this database. When plotted separately the scaling exponent for this family alone is very high,  $0.857 \pm 0.049$  and was significantly different from the scaling exponent of oscines ( $t = 2.194$ ,  $P = 0.0154$ ) and all other groups of birds. To see more clearly this difference between oscine birds, particularly true finches, and other birds we plotted the linear regression of  $BMR_w$  as a function of  $M$  (Fig. 3B). For all birds  $BMR_w = 3.76M^{-0.352}$ , but when oscine birds were analyzed separately from other birds they exhibited significantly smaller scaling exponent,  $0.256 \pm 0.026$ , compared to  $0.322 \pm 0.016$ , for all other birds. The scaling exponent for the Fringillidae family alone was less than half of that of the whole clade,  $0.143 \pm 0.049$ , and the correlation between  $BMR_w$  and  $M$  was very weak ( $r^2 = 0.243$ ), suggesting that another

variable contribute to the determination of  $BMR_w$  in this family. The dependence of  $L$  on  $M$  or  $BMR_w$  in oscine birds, and particularly in the Fringillidae family is also different from that of other birds: the correlation between  $L$  and  $BMR_w$  or  $M$  in oscine birds is much weaker than in other birds. In fact, within the Fringillidae family there was no correlation at all between  $L$  and  $M$  or  $L$  and  $BMR_w$ . However, in the oscine clade, which excludes the Fringillidae family, the correlation between  $L$  and  $M$  and  $L$  and  $BMR_w$  was significant (result not shown). Apparently, within the Fringillidae family another variable determines  $L$ . This difference is also observed when we examine the lifetime expenditure of energy,  $L \times BMR_w$  (Fig. 3C). The average value of  $L \times BMR_w$  ( $179 \pm 77$ ) in oscine birds is significantly larger ( $t = 4.273$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) than that of the other birds group ( $106 \pm 45$ ). This is partially because oscine birds are small and  $L \times BMR_w$  is inversely related to  $M$  (power law 5). However, within the oscine birds group  $L \times BMR_w$  does not show any dependence on  $M$ . Moreover, the average value of  $L \times BMR_w$  of the Fringillidae family is not different from that of oscines birds ( $165 \pm 98$ ,  $P = 0.427$ ) but the variability of the value of  $L \times BMR_w$  within the Fringillidae is very large despite the narrow range of  $M$  values. The highest values of  $L \times BMR_w$  in birds are exhibited by genera that belong to the Fringillidae

family. It is apparent that another variable, other than  $M$ , determines the value of  $L \times BMR_w$  within this family.

We, therefore, examined the relationships between  $Scytb$  and life history traits in oscine birds, and particularly in the Fringillidae family, in comparison with all other neognaths. Within the Fringillidae family  $BMR_w$  was rather a weak function of  $M$  (Fig. 3B), and the residuals of  $BMR_w$  of the family genera (from the Neognathae power law  $BMR_w = 3.712M^{-0.337}$ ) were positively correlated with  $Scytb$ : residuals,  $\ln BMR_w(M) = -1.244 + 8.74Scytb$  ( $N=16$ ,  $r^2=0.406$ ,  $P=0.008$ ). In fact in this family both  $M$  and  $Scytb$  are independent variables that determine the value of  $BMR_w$  as shown by the multiple linear regression:  $\ln BMR_w = 2.304 - 0.157 \times \ln M + 6.37Scytb$  ( $N=16$ ,  $r^2=0.706$ ,  $P<0.001$ ; Fig. 4A). Therefore, in this family, cytochrome  $b$  mutations were apparently selected to increase basal respiration and thus the increased  $BMR_w$ . As a result, within the true finches family  $L$  is not dependent significantly on either  $M$  or  $BMR_w$  but is dependent on  $Scytb$ :  $L = -40 + 368Scytb$  ( $N=16$ ,  $r^2=0.459$ ,  $P=0.004$ ).

The linear regression of  $L$  as a function of  $BMR_w$  in the Neognathae group of 59 genera that have  $Scytb$ ,  $L$ ,  $M$  and  $BMR_w$  values:  $\ln L = 3.73 - 0.513 \times \ln BMR_w$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.417$ ,  $P<0.001$ , Fig. 1D) is nearly the same as the linear regression for all birds:  $\ln L = 3.73 - 0.511 \times \ln BMR_w$  ( $N=123$ ,  $r^2=0.47$ ,  $P<0.001$ ). Similarly the dependence of  $L$  on  $M$  in this group:  $\ln L = 1.74 + 0.193 \times \ln M$  ( $N=59$ ,  $r^2=0.473$ ,  $P<0.001$ , Fig. 1C) is nearly the same as in all birds:  $\ln L = 1.73 + 0.198 \times \ln M$  ( $N=123$ ,  $r^2=0.505$ ,  $P<0.001$ ). The dependence of the longevity residuals ( $L_o/L_p$ ), from the Neognathae power laws ( $N=59$ ), on  $Scytb$ , plotted separately for oscine birds, the Fringillidae family, and for all other neognaths is shown in Fig. 5B. It is observed that in oscine birds both the  $L(M)$  residuals and the  $L(BMR_w)$  residuals are positively correlated with  $Scytb$ . Within the Fringillidae family the dependence of the residuals on  $Scytb$  was much stronger than in the oscine clade (Fig. 4B). Without the Fringillidae family the residuals in the oscine clade showed a weaker dependence on  $Scytb$ , which was statistically significant only for the  $L_o/L_p(M)$  residuals (not shown). In the Neognathae group that excludes oscine birds, exceptional longevity is negatively correlated with  $Scytb$  (Fig. 4B). Therefore in most orders of birds, in contrast to oscine birds, exceptional longevity actually decreases with increased  $Scytb$ . However, the negative scaling exponent is small compared to the positive scaling exponent of the oscines clade, suggesting only a weak dependence of the longevity residuals on  $Scytb$  in most orders of birds (see Discussion).

Fig. 4C show that the lifetime expenditure of energy is also a strong function of  $Scytb$  in oscine birds, and that this dependence is entirely due to the strong effect of  $Scytb$  on  $L \times BMR_w$  in the Fringillidae family. Combining these results with the results of Fig. 3C clearly shows that whereas in all other birds  $L \times BMR_w$  is a function of body mass, within the Fringillidae family it is only a function of  $Scytb$ . In summary, the results of Fig. 4 show that in the whole oscines clade the longevity residuals are positively correlated with  $Scytb$ , but




Fig. 4. Mass-specific basal metabolic rates ( $BMR_w$ ), exceptional longevity ( $L_o/L_p$ ), and lifetime expenditure of energy ( $L \times BMR_w$ ) are function of the rate of cytochrome  $b$  evolution. Data are from Table S2 in supplementary material. (A) Mass-specific basal metabolic rates ( $BMR_w$ ) are function of both body mass ( $M$ ) and the rate of cytochrome  $b$  evolution ( $Scytb$ ) in the Fringillidae family. Multiple linear regression of  $\ln BMR_w$  as a function of both  $\ln M$  and  $Scytb$ :  $\ln BMR_w = 2.304 - 0.157 \times \ln M + 6.373 \times Scytb$  ( $N=16$ ,  $r^2=0.706$ ,  $P<0.001$ ,  $VIF=1.07$ ,  $P(\ln M)<0.001$ ,  $P(Scytb)=0.002$ ). (B)  $L_o/L_p$  as function of  $Scytb$ . Open symbols indicate oscines ( $N=31$ ), inverted triangles indicate Fringillidae ( $N=16$ ), and solid symbols indicate all other birds ( $N=28$ ).  $L_o/L_p(BMR_w)$ , the residuals from power law 1, are indicated by squares;  $L_o/L_p(M)$ , the residuals from power law (3) are indicated by circles. For oscine birds  $L_o/L_p(BMR_w) = -1.143 + 16.5 \times Scytb$  ( $r^2=0.170$ ,  $P=0.021$ , and  $L_o/L_p(M) = -1.547 + 19.6 \times Scytb$  ( $r^2=0.243$ ,  $P=0.005$ ). For Fringillidae:  $L_o/L_p(M) = -3.964 + 36.2 \times Scytb$  ( $r^2=0.42$ ,  $P=0.007$ );  $L_o/L_p(BMR_w) = -4.575 + 40.35 \times Scytb$  ( $r^2=0.489$ ,  $P=0.003$ ). For all other birds:  $L_o/L_p(BMR_w) = 1.96 - 11.9 \times Scytb$  ( $N=28$ ,  $r^2=0.300$ ,  $P=0.003$ ) and  $L_o/L_p(M) = 1.676 - 8.37 \times Scytb$  ( $r^2=0.186$ ,  $P=0.022$ ). (C)  $L \times BMR_w$  as a function of  $Scytb$ . Symbols are as in B. For oscine birds  $L \times BMR_w = -213 + 2893 \times Scytb$  ( $r^2=0.171$ ,  $P=0.021$ ); for Fringillidae  $L \times BMR_w = -817 + 7079 \times Scytb$  ( $r^2=0.507$ ,  $P=0.002$ ); for all other birds  $L \times BMR_w = 164 - 778 \times Scytb$  ( $r^2=0.104$ ,  $P=0.095$ ). The difference in  $L \times BMR_w$  between oscines,  $178.7 \pm 77$ , and all other birds  $106 \pm 47$  was significant ( $t=4.273$ ,  $P<0.001$ ).






Fig. 5. The selected mutations in *Serinus* are concentrated on the matrix side of cytochrome *b* in the vicinity of the ubiquinone binding site  $Q_i$ . Sixteen complete or nearly complete cytochrome *b* sequences of species of the oscine genus *Serinus* were aligned and used to derive a consensus sequence, which was aligned with the sequence of the non-osine genus *Empidonax*. The location of the substitutions was mapped onto the chicken cytochrome *b* structure (3BCC) (Zhang et al., 1998), which is shown as a three-dimensional model. The location of a conserved substitution is indicated by a blue ball on the protein backbone, and that of a non-conserved substitution is indicated by a red ball. The top of the structure faces the mitochondrial matrix and includes the  $Q_i$  ubiquinone binding site (with bound ubiquinone) and the  $b_H$  heme (blue). The bottom of the structure faces the intermembrane space and includes the  $Q_o$  ubiquinone binding site (with bound Stigmatellin) and the  $b_L$  heme (magenta).

only in the Fringillidae family is there also positive correlation between  $BMR_w$  and Scytb, and  $L$  and Scytb.

If the accelerated evolution of cytochrome *b* in birds involved positive selection of mutations, the sites and nature of these mutations should relate to their effect on the protein function. The results presented in Figs 3 and 4 suggest that in the Fringillidae family these mutations increase both  $BMR_w$  and  $L$  (hence also  $L \times BMR_w$ ), most likely by increasing mitochondrial proton leak, which accelerates metabolic rate (Porter and Brand, 1993; Rolfe et al., 1999), and inhibits  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  (Korshunov et al., 1997). The Fringillidae genus *Serinus* has the highest values of Scytb (0.163),  $L \times BMR_w$  (415),  $L_o/L_p(M)$  (2.55) and  $L_o/L_p(BMR_w)$  (2.48) of all birds (Table S2 in supplementary material), which suggests that in this genus the modulation of cytochrome *b* function is the most extensive. Indeed it has been shown that in canaries (*Serinus canaria*) the ratio between  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  and oxygen consumption is very low and involves inhibition of ROS generation at both complex I and cytochrome *b* (Herrero and Barja, 1998). Fig. 5 shows the sites of the cytochrome *b* substitutions in this genus, in comparison to the sequence of the Tyrannidae family (suboscine) genus *Empidonax* (Scytb=0.083). It is apparent that the substitutions are not distributed randomly in the protein. Most of the substitutions are on the mitochondrial matrix side of the protein and many of

these are in the vicinity of the  $Q_i$  ubiquinone binding site. There are large domains of the protein with no substitutions at all whereas in others, e.g. helices A and E, which flank the  $Q_i$  binding site, approximately half of the residues have been substituted. Moreover, the substituted residues in *Serinus* are, on average, smaller and less hydrophobic than in *Empidonax*. This pattern supports the suggestion that most of these mutations were selected to accelerate the reduction of ubiquinone at the  $Q_i$  site (see Discussion).

## Discussion

### *Lifetime expenditure of energy and the mitochondrial degree of coupling in birds*

It is possible to explain the inverse relationship between maximal lifespan ( $L$ ) and mass-specific basal metabolic rates ( $BMR_w$ ) within the paradigm of the free radical theory of aging by postulating that  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  depends on  $BMR_w$ . If  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  was the same fraction of the rate of oxygen consumption in all genera of birds we would expect that  $L = K/BMR_w$  or  $L \times BMR_w = K$ . In fact, in birds, the linear regression of  $L$  as a function of  $BMR_w$  exhibits a slope that is approximately half of that expected for reciprocal relationship (cf. Fig. 1D) and consequently  $L \times BMR_w$  is not constant but is inversely related to body mass (power law 5 and Fig. 3C). The free radical theory of aging could accommodate this fact if the ratio  $\dot{V}_{ROS}/BMR_w$  in birds with high  $BMR_w$  values was lower than the ratio in birds with low  $BMR_w$  values. Since  $BMR_w$  is inversely related to body mass (power law 2), this is equivalent to the requirement that the ratio  $\dot{V}_{ROS}/BMR_w$  would be lower in small birds than in large birds. In fact, it was reported recently that liver mitochondria from small birds have increased proton conductance, and are therefore less coupled, than liver mitochondria from large birds (Brand et al., 2003). A similar finding was reported earlier for mammalian liver mitochondria (Porter and Brand, 1993). Thus, to the extent that the degree of coupling of liver mitochondria represents mitochondrial coupling in all tissues, and that the small number of studied species represents these classes of animals, these data support the suggestion that in birds and mammals mitochondria of large animals are better coupled than mitochondria from small animals. All the small birds in the study of Brand et al. (Brand et al., 2003) were passerines, which as we show here, share exceptionally high rate of cytochrome *b* evolution, and it is therefore possible that the modulation of coupling in mtDNA-coded proteins contribute to the observed difference between small and large birds. However, it is quite likely that the pressure to reduce the mitochondrial degree of coupling or  $\dot{V}_{ROS}$  resulted in selective adaptation of many other proteins, as well as changes of the mitochondrial membrane properties (Porter and Brand, 1993; Brand et al., 2003).

Additional support for the conclusion that the mitochondrial degree of coupling in small animals is lower than in large animals, could be obtained from studies of the relationships between metabolic rates (MR) and body mass. The scaling exponent  $\beta$ , for the power law  $MR = cM^\beta$  is larger for maximal



metabolic rates, MMR, than for basal metabolic rates, BMR (~0.9 and ~0.7, respectively) (Bishop, 1999; Weibel et al., 2004). Since MMR is always higher than BMR [i.e.  $c(\text{MMR})$  is larger than  $c(\text{BMR})$ ], the higher scaling exponent of MMR means that the ratio MMR/BMR is higher in large animals compared with small animals. The ratio MMR/BMR reflects to a large extent the ratio between oxygen consumption during intense exercise, when the rate of ATP synthesis (and consumption) is very high, and oxygen consumption during rest where the rate of ATP synthesis is minimal. This ratio therefore may reflect the mitochondrial respiratory control ratio, which is a classic indicator of the mitochondrial degree of coupling.

We, therefore, suggest that the fact that the scaling exponent  $b$  of power law 1 is less than 1 reflects the body mass dependence of the mitochondrial degree of coupling. Consequently the body mass dependence of  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$  (power law 5) also results from the body mass dependence of the mitochondrial degree of coupling.

The dependence of  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$  on  $M$  accounts for some, but not all, of the variability in  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$  in birds (Fig. 3C). The residuals of  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$  could result from taxon-specific modulation of mitochondrial coupling as demonstrated by the case of the Fringillidae family. In this family of small birds,  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$  varies from 84 to 415, a fivefold range, but it is not dependent on  $M$  at all. In contrast,  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$  depends on *Scytb* (Fig. 4C). Since mitochondrial uncoupling can increase both  $L$  and  $\text{BMR}_w$ , and in this family,  $\text{BMR}_w$ ,  $L$  and  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$  all depend on *Scytb* (Fig. 4A–C), it is likely that the increase of  $L \times \text{BMR}_w$  within this family is due to a decrease in the mitochondrial degree of coupling as a result of the evolution of cytochrome *b* (and probably other mtDNA-coded proteins).

The results of this study suggest that  $\text{BMR}_w$ , and therefore also BMR, are not only a function of body mass but also a function of the mitochondrial degree of coupling. To the extent that mitochondrial coupling itself depends on body mass this dependence is already embedded in the power laws 2 and 3. However, mitochondrial coupling is not dependent only on body mass and there are large taxon-specific deviations from this dependence (cf. Dobson, 2003). The best example in birds is provided by the Fringillidae family in which the value of  $\text{BMR}_w$  (and hence BMR), and presumably mitochondrial coupling, depend strongly on the rate of cytochrome *b* evolution (and presumably other mtDNA-coded proteins), independently of body mass (Fig. 4A). As a result, the scaling exponent of power law 3 in the Fringillidae family is 0.857, compared with 0.648 in all birds (Fig. 3A). Similar effects of the accelerated evolution of cytochrome *b* on the scaling exponent of power law 3 were observed in mammals (Rottenberg, 2007).

There is a longstanding controversy regarding the 'true' value of the scaling exponent of power law 3 and its biological significance (West et al., 2002; Darveau et al., 2002; White and Seymour, 2003). Our analysis suggest that in addition to many other factors (Darveau et al., 2002) the observed value is influenced by the body-mass dependence, as well as the taxon-specific evolution, of the mitochondrial degree of coupling.

#### *A possible modulation of cytochrome b function in Serinus*

It has been demonstrated previously that the exceptionally low rate of ROS generation in *Serinus canaria* resulted, in part, from inhibition of ROS generation in the bc1 complex (Herrero and Barja, 1998). In coupled mitochondria, the proton electrochemical gradient inhibits the oxidation of ubihydroquinone at the  $Q_o$  site by inhibiting the transfer of the second electron from the  $b_L$  heme, to the  $b_H$  heme, and the subsequent reduction of ubiquinone at the  $Q_i$  site (Crofts, 2004). The inhibition of this segment of the Q cycle increases the steady state concentration of the radical semiquinone at the  $Q_o$  site, which interacts with oxygen to generate superoxide (Demin et al., 1998). Therefore, the rate of ROS generation could be inhibited, in coupled mitochondria, by accelerating the reduction of ubiquinone at the  $Q_i$  site. A modulation of the dielectric environment surrounding  $Q_i$ ,  $b_L$  and  $b_H$  may result in acceleration of this segment of the Q cycle. Acceleration may also result from increasing internal proton leak. If protons can find a leak path from the outer surface (where the proton electrochemical potential is high) to the inner surface the proton electrochemical gradient will decrease and the reduction of the quinone at the  $Q_i$  site will be accelerated. The distribution of substitutions in *Serinus* cytochrome *b*, and the fact that the substituted residues are, on average, smaller and less hydrophobic, is compatible with increased proton leak and/or an increase of the dielectric constant at the protein core (Fig. 5).

#### *Adaptive selection and the evolution of cytochrome b in birds*

The results of this study suggest that, similar to placental mammals, the evolution of the mtDNA-coded protein cytochrome *b* in birds is driven by adaptive selection. It is likely that, similar to mammals, the evolution of other mtDNA-coded proteins is driven by the same forces. However, there are significant differences between the evolution of cytochrome *b* in birds and placental mammals. The positive correlation between the residuals from power laws 1 and 4 and the rate of evolution of cytochrome *b* was observed in a large clade of placental mammals that included all ordinal level taxa except rodents (Rottenberg, 2007). In birds, this positive correlation was observed only in songbirds, a suborder of the order Passeriformes. However, one should point out that the songbirds (oscines) group is a very large and diversified clade, containing nearly half of extant birds species. In mammals, the positive correlations that are observed between exceptional longevity and the rate of evolution of cytochrome *b*, and other mtDNA-coded proteins, at the species level are stronger at the genera level, become stronger when analyzed at the averaged family level values, and even stronger when analyzed at the ordinal level (Rottenberg, 2007). However, there were no significant correlations between longevity residuals and the rate of cytochrome *b* evolution within most families. This suggests that the selection for reduced ROS generation begun shortly after the divergence of placental mammals ordinal taxa, coinciding with the explosive radiation of mammals, about 65 million years ago.

By contrast, in songbirds, the correlations between the rate of cytochrome *b* evolution and exceptional longevity are

positive and significant at the averaged genera level but not at the averaged families level. However, the correlations are strong within the families. This is true not only within the Fringillidae family (Figs 3, 4), but also within the Muscicapidae family where the correlation between the longevity residuals from power law 4 and Scytb is very strong:  $L_o/L_p(M) = -2.25 + 26.2 \text{Scytb}$  ( $L_o$ , observed longevity;  $L_p$ , predicted longevity;  $N=5$ ,  $r^2=0.865$ ,  $P=0.024$ ). This observation suggests that the selection for reduced  $\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}$  is relatively recent, and begun only after the divergence of the oscines families. There is some evidence that this selection continued after the divergence of species, at least in some genera. For example, in the genus *Carduelis* (golden finches), the longevity of *Carduelis carduelis* (European golden finch) is 27 years compared to an average of 12.7 years in nine species of this genus. However, *Carduelis carduelis* body mass, 16 g, is the same as the genus average (15.5 g). That means that this species has exceptional longevity, not only relatively to all other birds, but also relatively to its sister species. The cytochrome *b* sequence of *Carduelis carduelis* has 10 nonconservative substitutions from the consensus sequence of the genus. No other *Carduelis* species show more than two or three substitutions from the consensus (results not shown).

Outside the oscines clade, there are taxa that evolved to reduce ROS by a different mechanism. For example, the Psittacidae family exhibits an average Scytb that is only slightly higher than most other non-oscine Neognathae families, but exhibits positive  $L(M)$  residuals for all its genera. However, the  $\text{BMR}_w(M)$  residuals of all the genera of this order are all negative (results not shown). Thus, reduced  $\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}$  appears to result from reduction of  $\text{BMR}_w$  and not from mitochondrial uncoupling. Indeed, it was found that a species of this order has reduced  $\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}$ , which is the result of a slow rate of oxygen consumption and not reduced  $\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}/\text{BMR}_w$  ratio (Herrero and Barja, 1998).

If the selection for reduced  $\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}$  is relatively recent, what was the driving force for cytochrome *b* evolution before the divergence to families? It appears that the unexpected answer to this question is that, in modern birds, in general, early evolution of cytochrome *b* selected mutations that increased ROS production. The longevity residuals in neognaths, when oscines are excluded, are inversely correlated with the rate of cytochrome *b* evolution (Fig. 4B). Unlike the positive correlations in oscines, these negative correlations, in other neognaths, are stronger on the family average level, but they are not significant within families (results not shown). This suggests that, early, but slow, evolution of cytochrome *b* involved adaptive selection that increased  $\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}$ . Most likely the selection was for increased mitochondrial coupling, perhaps to allow birds to travel longer distances on a limited amount of stored fat.

We therefore suggest the following narrative for the evolution of mtDNA-coded proteins and the mitochondrial degree of coupling in birds: as birds evolved from reptiles and developed endothermic metabolism they increased their metabolic rates to increase heat production by various means including a reduction of their mitochondrial degree of coupling. The latter apparently did not happen in the endothermic evolution of mammals

hence the generally higher metabolic rates and longevity in birds compared to mammals. Later, during the early evolution of modern birds, they perfected their flight capabilities and consequently many bird species selected mtDNA mutations that slightly increased their mitochondrial degree of coupling in order to improve their metabolic efficiency during flight. However, more recently, during the evolution of oscine birds, and particularly the small size birds of the Fringillidae family, many species reverse this trend, and selected mtDNA mutations that decreased the mitochondrial degree of coupling further, most likely to increase heat production (which was necessary when they decrease their body size), and possibly also to reduce  $\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}$  in order to increase longevity and/or to enable improved cognitive functions. Larger birds, which did not need to increase heat production (e.g. Psittacidae), reduced ROS production to increase longevity and/or cognitive function by lowering their metabolic rates.

#### Long-term memory, learning and ROS generation

A prerequisite for exceptional cognitive abilities is a large capacity for long-term memory (Fagot and Cook, 2006). Although the mechanism of the formation and maintenance of long-term memory is not yet clearly understood there is enough evidence to suggest that excess ROS production interferes with the formation and maintenance of long-term memory (Auerbach and Segal, 1997). There is also evidence that the age-dependent decline in cognitive functions in humans and non-human animals result from the increased damage to proteins, DNA and membrane lipids, from mitochondrial ROS (Beckman and Ames, 1998; Barja, 2004). It is therefore likely that ROS generation by mitochondria constrains the development of exceptional cognitive abilities. Although exceptional cognitive abilities appear to have evolved independently in several mammalian and bird taxa there is often convergence in aspects of brain anatomy, physiology and specific protein functions in these taxa (Emery and Clayton, 2004; Scharff and Haesler, 2005; Bolhuis and Gahr, 2006).

Anthropoid primates, elephants, whales and dolphins share exceptional cognitive abilities, and exceptional sociality. They also share exceptional longevity (i.e. positive longevity residuals from power laws 1 and 4), exceptionally high mass-specific basal metabolic rates (i.e. positive residuals from power law 2), and accelerated evolution of mtDNA-coded proteins (Rottenberg, 2007). The latter association suggests that they also share low  $\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}$ .

In birds, the Psittacidae family (parrots) combines exceptional cognitive functions with exceptional longevity (i.e. positive residuals from power law 4, data not shown), and low rate of ROS generation (Herrero and Barja, 1998). The Corvidae family, which belongs to the oscines branch of the passerines, also combine exceptional longevity (positive residuals from power laws 1 and 4), and exceptionally high lifetime expenditure of energy, with exceptional cognitive ability (Emery and Clayton, 2004). Songbirds that exhibit exceptional capacity to memorize songs (Bolhuis and Gahr, 2006), e.g. *Serinus canaria*, exhibit exceptional longevity and

low rate of ROS generation (Herrero and Barja, 1998), which are also associated with exceptionally high mass-specific basal metabolic rates and accelerated evolution of cytochrome *b* (this study). Therefore, we suggest that, in mammals and birds, there is a convergence in the evolution of mitochondria with reduced rates of ROS production in taxa with high cognitive abilities.

### List of abbreviations

BMR	basal metabolic rate
BMR <sub>w</sub>	mass-specific basal metabolic rate
<i>L</i>	longevity (maximal lifespan)
<i>L</i> × BMR <sub>w</sub>	lifetime energy expenditure
<i>L</i> <sub>o</sub> / <i>L</i> <sub>p</sub>	ratio of observed longevity to predicted longevity
<i>M</i>	body mass
MMR	maximal metabolic rate
MR	metabolic rate
MtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
Q <sub>i</sub> , Q <sub>o</sub>	ubiquinone binding sites
ROS	reactive oxygen species
Scytb	cytochrome <i>b</i> substitution per site
$\dot{V}_{\text{ROS}}$	rate of generation of reactive oxygen species

### References

- Auerbach, J. M. and Segal, M. (1997). Peroxide modulation of slow onset potentiation in rat hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 8695-8701.
- Barja, G. (1998). Mitochondrial free radical production and aging in mammals and birds. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **854**, 224-238.
- Barja, G. (2004). Free radicals and aging. *Trends Neurosci.* **27**, 595-600.
- Barja, G., Cadenas, S., Rojas, C., Perez-Campo, R. and Lopez-Torres, M. (1994). Low mitochondrial free radical production per unit O<sub>2</sub> consumption can explain the simultaneous presence of high longevity and high aerobic metabolic rate in birds. *Free Radic. Res.* **21**, 317-327.
- Bazin, E., Glemin, S. and Galtier, N. (2006). Population size does not influence mitochondrial genetic diversity in animals. *Science* **312**, 570-572.
- Beckman, K. B. and Ames, B. N. (1998). The free radical theory of aging matures. *Physiol. Rev.* **78**, 547-581.
- Bishop, C. M. (1999). The maximum oxygen consumption and aerobic scope of birds and mammals: getting to the heart of the matter. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **266**, 2275-2281.
- Bolhuis, J. J. and Gahr, M. (2006). Neural mechanisms of birdsong memory. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **7**, 347-357.
- Brand, M. (2000). Uncoupling to survive? The role of mitochondrial inefficiency in ageing. *Exp. Gerontol.* **35**, 811-820.
- Brand, M. D., Turner, N., Oclou, A., Else, P. L. and Hulbert, A. J. (2003). Proton conductance and fatty acyl composition of liver mitochondria correlates with body mass in birds. *Biochem. J.* **376**, 741-748.
- Cracraft, J., Barker, F. K., Braun, M., Harshman, J., Dyke, G. J., Feinstein, J., Stanley, S., Cibois, A., Schikler, P., Beresford, P. et al. (2004). Phylogenetic relationships among modern birds (Neornithes): toward an avian tree of life. In *Assembling the Tree of Life* (ed. J. Cracraft and M. J. Donoghue), pp. 468-489. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Crofts, A. R. (2004). The cytochrome bc<sub>1</sub> complex: function in the context of structure. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **66**, 689-733.
- Darveau, C. A., Suarez, R. K., Andrews, R. D. and Hochachka, P. W. (2002). Allometric cascade as a unifying principle of body mass effects on metabolism. *Nature* **417**, 166-170.
- de Magalhães, J. P., Costa, J. and Toussaint, O. (2005). HAGR: the human ageing genomic resources. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, D535-D543.
- Demin, O. V., Kholodenko, B. N. and Skulachev, V. P. (1998). A model of O<sub>2</sub>-generation in the complex III of the electron transport chain. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **184**, 21-33.
- Dobson, G. P. (2003). On being the right size: heart design, mitochondrial efficiency and lifespan potential. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* **30**, 590-597.
- Emery, N. J. and Clayton, N. S. (2004). The mentality of crows: convergent evolution of intelligence in corvids and apes. *Science* **306**, 1903-1907.
- Fagot, J. and Cook, R. G. (2006). Evidence for large long-term memory capacities in baboons and pigeons and its implications for learning and the evolution of cognition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 17564-17567.
- Gissi, C., Reyes, A., Pesole, G. and Saccone, C. (2000). Lineage-specific evolutionary rates in mammalian mtDNA. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **17**, 1022-1031.
- Harman, D. (1956). Aging: a theory based on free radical and radiation chemistry. *J. Gerontol.* **11**, 298-300.
- Herrero, A. and Barja, G. (1997). Sites and mechanisms responsible for the low rate of free radical production of heart mitochondria in the long-lived pigeon. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **98**, 95-111.
- Herrero, A. and Barja, G. (1998). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production of heart mitochondria and aging rate are slower in canaries and parakeets than in mice: sites of free radical generation and mechanisms involved. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **103**, 133-146.
- Holmes, D. J., Fluckiger, R. and Austad, S. N. (2001). Comparative biology of aging in birds: an update. *Exp. Gerontol.* **36**, 869-883.
- Korshunov, S. S., Skulachev, V. P. and Starkov, A. A. (1997). High protonic potential actuates a mechanism of production of reactive oxygen species in mitochondria. *FEBS Lett.* **416**, 15-18.
- Ku, H. H. and Sohal, R. S. (1993). Comparison of mitochondrial pro-oxidant generation and anti-oxidant defenses between rat and pigeon: possible basis of variation in longevity and metabolic potential. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **72**, 67-76.
- Ku, H. H., Brunk, U. T. and Sohal, R. S. (1993). Relationship between mitochondrial superoxide and hydrogen peroxide production and longevity of mammalian species. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **15**, 621-627.
- Page, R. D. and Holmes, E. C. (1998). *Molecular Evolution – A Phylogenetic Approach*. Cambridge: Blackwell Science.
- Pearl, R. (1928). *The Rate of Living*. London: University of London Press.
- Perez-Campo, R., Lopez-Torres, M., Cadenas, S., Rojas, C. and Barja, G. (1998). The rate of free radical production as a determinant of the rate of aging: evidence from the comparative approach. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **168**, 149-158.
- Porter, R. K. and Brand, M. D. (1993). Body mass dependence of H<sup>+</sup> leak in mitochondria and its relevance to metabolic rate. *Nature* **362**, 628-630.
- Rolfe, D. F., Newman, J. M., Buckingham, J. A., Clark, M. G. and Brand, M. D. (1999). Contribution of mitochondrial proton leak to respiration rate in working skeletal muscle and liver and to SMR. *Am. J. Physiol.* **276**, C692-C699.
- Rottenberg, H. (2006). Longevity and the evolution of the mitochondrial DNA-coded proteins in mammals. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **127**, 748-760.
- Rottenberg, H. (2007). Coevolution of exceptional longevity, exceptionally high metabolic rates, and mitochondrial DNA-coded proteins in mammals. *Exp. Gerontol.* **42**, 364-373.
- Sanz, A., Pamplona, R. and Barja, G. (2006). Is the mitochondrial free radical theory of aging intact? *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **8**, 582-599.
- Scharff, C. and Haesler, S. (2005). An evolutionary perspective on FoxP2: strictly for the birds? *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **15**, 694-703.
- Sohal, R. S. and Weindruch, R. (1996). Oxidative stress, caloric restriction, and aging. *Science* **273**, 59-63.
- Speakman, J. R. (2005). Body size, energy metabolism and lifespan. *J. Exp. Biol.* **208**, 1717-1730.
- Weibel, E. R., Bacigalupe, L. D., Schmitt, B. and Hoppeler, H. (2004). Allometric scaling of maximal metabolic rate in mammals: muscle aerobic capacity as determinant factor. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* **140**, 115-132.
- West, G. B., Woodruff, W. H. and Brown, J. H. (2002). Allometric scaling of metabolic rate from molecules and mitochondria to cells and mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 2473-2478.
- White, C. R. and Seymour, R. S. (2003). Mammalian basal metabolic rate is proportional to body mass<sup>2/3</sup>. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 4046-4049.
- Zhang, Z., Huang, L., Shulmeister, V. M., Chi, Y. I., Kim, K. K., Hung, L. W., Crofts, A. R., Berry, E. A. and Kim, S. H. (1998). Electron transfer by domain movement in cytochrome bc<sub>1</sub>. *Nature* **392**, 677-684.

Table S1. *The averaged life history traits and the rate of cytochrome b evolution of 287 birds genera*

Order	Family	Genus	Species	L (years)	M (g)	BMRw (Mw g <sup>-1</sup> )	i.d.	Scytb
Struthioniformes	Apterygidae	Apteryx	1	35.0	2600.0	1.690	14141939	
	Casuariidae	Dromaius	1	16.6	385925.0		14141883	
	Struthionidae	Struthio	1	50.0	100000.0	0.696	14141911	
Anseriformes	Antidae	Anas	14	23.1	645.5	5.150		
		Anser	7	31.5	2638.0		27819340	0.0668
		Aythya	7	21.7	947.7		5835944	0.0511
		Branta	4	29.1	2182.0		66276028	0.0616
		Bucephala	3	17.0	751.0			
		Clangula	1	21.2	872.0			
		Cygnus	4	38.3	9217.0		84488712	0.0606
		Lophodytes	1	11.3	634.0			
		Melanitta	2	19.1	1361.0			
		Mergus	3	15.0	1233.0			
		Oxyura	2	14.8	542.0		53986699	0.0750
		Polysticta	1	14.3	907.0			
		Somateria	2	28.3	1873.0			
		Dendrocygna	3	8.9	725.0		22657589	0.0677
		Dendrocygna	3	8.9	725.0		22657589	0.0677
Galliformes	Odontophoridae	Callipepla	2	8.5	132.0	5.978	42557323	0.0731
		Colinus	1	10.0	194.0		63086804	0.0651
	Phasianidae	Bonasa	2	9.1	644.0	3.710		
		Centrocercus	1	7.0	1930.0			
		Coturnix	2	8.5	106.0	9.189	28603665	0.0789
		Dendragapus	2	13.5	1131.0	4.383		
		Gallus	1	30.0	2580.0	2.216	5834855	0.0596
		Lagopus	2	11.8	460.9	5.065		
		Meleagris	1	13.0	3700.0		1706227	0.0819
		Pavo	1	23.2	4200.0		2352973	0.0615
		Perdix	1	5.2	492.0	4.348	3687599	0.0835
		Phasianus	1	27.0	1095.0		3687613	0.0830
		Polyplectron	1	15.0	550.0		3687615	0.0700
		Tetrao	1	15.1	2538.0	2.947	42557325	0.0722
		Tympanuchus	3	8.7	870.2		3834415	0.0809
	Cracidae	Ortalis	1	8.8	495.5		342882	0.0450
Apodiformes	Apodidae	Aeronautes	1	5.7	31.0			
		Apus	1	21.0	44.9		27461870	0.0536
		Chaetura	1	14.0	22.8			
		Cypseloides	1	15.1	45.0			
Ciconiiformes	Accipitridae	Accipiter	4	18.0	460.0	7.049	2231506	0.0609
		Aquila	7	42.0	4800.0	1.664	56709390	0.0645
		Buteo	8	21.6	992.0	3.207	15987286	0.0627
		Circus	4	16.8	392.0			
		Elanus	1	26.0	1000.0			
		Geranoaetus	1	42.0	2860.0	1.814		
		Gypaetus	1	40.0	5070.0		56709396	0.0601
		Haliaeetus	2	45.0	4936.0			
		Ictinia	1	11.2	266.0			
		Milvus	2	31.0	1000.0			
		Pandion	1	32.0	1362.0		56709414	0.0887
		Parabuteo	1	25.0	994.0			
		Pernis	1	29.0	652.0			
		Pithecophaga	1	41.0	6500.0			
		Rostrhamus	1	17.0	352.0			
Ardeiformes	Anhingidae	Anhinga	2	16.2	1080.0	3.102		
	Ardeidae	Ardea	5	26.5	1870.0			
		Bubulcus	1	23.0	220.0			
		Botaurus	2	9.8	600.0	4.469		
		Butorides	1	11.6	175.0			
		Casmerodius	1	22.8	1008.0			
		Egretta	4	16.5	259.8			
		Hydranassa	1	17.7	309.9			
		Nycticorax	1	21.1	800.0			
Charadriiformes	Charadriidae	Charadrius	7	13.7	52.4	11.556	16265828	0.0611
		Haematopus	2	25.4	571.7	6.481		



	Himantopus	1	19.1	432.0			
	Pluvialis	2	18.1	171.0			
	Rwrvirostra	2	24.4	340.0			
Ciconiidae	cathartes	1	20.8	2200.0		83309069	0.0511
	Ciconia	6	31.8	3350.0		7549736	0.0673
	Coragyps	1	25.5	2270.0			
	Gymnogyps	1	45.0	11175.0			
	Jabiru	1	27.4	5470.0			
	Leptoptilos	3	39.6	6855.0			
	Mycteria	4	21.8	2500.0		37528945	0.0531
	Sarcoramphus	1	40.0	3405.0			
	Vultur	1	75.0	10500.0			
Falconidae	Falco	12	16.1	387.7	6.257	5835958	0.0723
Fregatidae	Fregata	3	32.9	1078.0		108755508	0.0509
Gaviidae	Gavia	3	23.9	2649.0		108793376	0.0739
Laridae	Aethia	2	6.0	192.5			
	Anous	2	25.5	138.7	5.682		
	Cepphus	2	20.0	455.6		21104317	0.0696
	Cerorhinca	1	10.0	544.0			
	Chlidonias	1	21.0	60.0			
	Fratercula	1	33.8	490.5		88911095	0.0648
	Gygis	1	17.8	98.1	8.383		
	Larus	16	30.5	666.0	5.304	66276070	0.0624
	Rissa	1	28.4	317.0		88911097	0.0585
	Stercorarius	1	19.9	298.0			
	Sterna	13	25.9	202.9		88911101	0.0579
	Uria	2	27.5	972.0	7.017	30580173	0.0669
Pelecanidae	Pelecanus	4	42.9	4622.0			
Phaethontidae	Phaethon	2	25.2	593.0		94490680	0.0940
Phalacrocoracidae	Phalacrocorax	6	16.9	2248.0	4.218		
Phoenicopteridae	Phoenicopterus	2	40.4	2500.0		33621779	0.0599
Podicipedidae	Aechmophorus	1	14.0	1476.0			
	Podiceps	4	10.0	438.0		108793516	0.0539
Procellariidae	Bulweria	1	22.0	87.0	5.908	3929418	0.0578
	Daption	1	25.0	408.6		3420615	0.0552
	Diomedea	6	39.8	5941.0	2.389	68989172	0.0682
	Fulmarus	1	48.0	908.0		3929422	0.0567
	Oceanodroma	4	15.2	44.9		3420657	0.0917
	Phoebastria	4	44.6	2522.0	2.959	1657725	0.0670
	Pterodroma	4	14.0	168.6		68989158	0.0562
	Puffinus	5	28.2	348.0	4.838	3929462	0.0555
Scolopacidae	Arenaria	1	19.7	90.0	10.222	20330586	0.0612
	Bartramia	1	8.9	141.0			
	Calidris	14	16.6	59.6		16265814	0.0590
	Catoptrophorus	1	10.2	270.0			
	Gallinago	1	18.2	131.6			
	Limnodromus	2	13.3	117.0			
	Limosa	3	21.3	317.0			
	Numenius	3	23.8	385.0		16265826	0.0648
	Phalaropus	1	10.0	57.0			
	Scolopax	2	18.2	293.0	6.913	37528951	0.0610
	Tringa	8	13.6	91.0		16265830	0.0535
Spheniscidae	Aptenodytes	1	26.0	13037.0	1.951	3420611	0.0577
	Spheniscus	1	30.0	4000.0			
Sulidae	Sula	5	26.2	1584.0	4.283		
Threskiornithidae	Ajaia	1	28.0	1037.0			
	Eudocimus	2	30.4	777.5			
	Plegadis	3	21.7	431.2			
	Threskiornis	8	31.0	1530.0			
Columbiformes Columbidae	Columba	5	19.3	336.9		33621768	0.0699
	Columbina	2	10.3	40.0			
	Leptotila	1	8.6	131.0	6.782		
	Leucosarcia	1	16.3	445.0	3.809		
	Streptopelia	3	12.9	155.7	7.390		
	Zenaida	2	28.1	129.5	5.984		
Coraciiformes Alcedinidae	Alcedo	1	21.0	34.3	11.020		

Cuculiformes	Coccyzidae	coccyzus	1	5.0	47.6		37528781	0.1080
	Cuculidae	Cuculus	1	12.9	111.6	7.509	3599540	0.0989
	Neomorphidae	Geococcyx	1	5.2	284.7	5.150	3599542	0.1205
Gruiformes	Gruidae	Anthropoides	1	27.0	2400.0			
		Grus	7	41.1	5372.0		3287781	0.0739
	Rallidae	Fulica	2	21.5	431.4	4.949		
		Gallinula	3	14.9	392.0			
		Rallus	2	8.2	308.0			
Passeriformes	Aegithalidae	Aegithalos	1	10.8	8.9	22.360	47550599	0.1327
		Psaltiriparus	1	8.4	5.5	22.250		
	Alaudidae	Alauda	1	10.1	37.5	22.776		
		Eremophila	1	8.0	26.0	12.050		
		Lullula	1	4.9	25.5	22.431		
	Bombycillidae	Bombycilla	2	10.8	51.3	13.194		
	Certhiidae	Auriparus	1	5.6	18.5			
		Certhia	2	6.4	8.6			
		Polioptila	1	4.2	6.5			
		Thryothorus	1	6.2	17.5			
		Troglodytes	2	8.0	9.4	23.663		
	Cinclidae	Cinclus	2	8.9	50.2			
	Corvidae	Aphelocoma	2	17.4	78.7		1841725	0.1304
		Corvus	10	23.1	520.1	5.980	5835734	0.1151
		Cyanocitta	3	23.5	89.0		396720	0.1134
		Garrulus	1	17.0	153.0	9.150		
		Nucifraga	2	16.8	147.0	9.156		
		Perisoreus	2	18.5	70.3		1841717	0.1085
		Pica	2	15.8	159.3	8.605	56069743	0.1296
	Fringillidae	Agelaius	1	16.5	56.7			
		Ammodramus	3	8.5	16.8	13.040		
		Amphispiza	1	6.0	11.6	17.138		
		Calamospiza	1	4.0	32.6			
		Calcarius	2	5.0	28.4		37195957	0.1337
		Cardinalis	2	28.0	36.5	12.491		
		Carduelis	10	12.2	15.5	24.095		
		Carpodacus	4	10.1	23.7	14.727	71067730	0.1438
		Chondestes	1	7.8	27.1			
		Coccothraustes	2	14.8	54.9	13.383		
		Dendroica	16	7.1	10.8	16.690	45120539	0.1359
		Dolichonyx	1	9.0	35.0			
		Emberiza	5	9.4	23.8	16.129	71067742	0.1529
		Fringilla	2	21.9	20.9	18.000	76364040	0.1509
		Geothlypis	1	11.5	10.6	16.625	22085457	0.1321
		Guiraca	1	10.2	28.4			
		Helmitheros	1	8.1	12.5		22085459	0.1364
		Himatione	1	6.4	13.4	22.222		
		Icteria	1	8.9	26.0		22085507	0.1360
		Icterus	5	9.5	29.3	13.472	32441865	0.1341
		Junco	3	8.6	17.6	16.439		
		Limnothlypis	1	7.8	13.5		22085461	0.1289
		Loxia	2	5.4	34.1	15.588	9230605	0.1438
		Melospiza	3	7.9	17.1	13.625	42374664	0.1286
		Mniotilta	1	11.3	8.2	15.521	22085463	0.1315
		Molothrus	2	16.9	38.1	14.859		
		Oporornis	2	7.4	12.0			
		Parula	1	4.9	7.0	17.482	8037981	0.1343
		Passerculus	1	6.8	15.9	13.899		
		Passerella	2	9.3	35.5		24895116	0.1329
		Passerina	3	10.6	14.9		15420067	0.1481
		Pheucticus	2	24.5	47.3			
		Pipilo	4	10.4	43.2	15.550		
		Piranga	3	11.2	34.5		24895118	0.1397
		Plectrophenax	2	7.2	41.8			
		Poocetes	1	7.9	21.5	12.750		
		Protonotaria	1	5.9	12.8	15.600	22085511	0.1277
		Seiurus	3	9.3	20.1	14.050	22085454	0.1374
		Serinus	2	24.0	13.3	17.300	40362742	0.1634

	Setophaga	1	10.0	8.5		22085467	0.1346
	Spiza	1	4.0	33.0			
	Spizella	25	8.5	12.7	16.300		
	Sturnella	2	10.0	85.0			
	Vermivora	6	7.0	8.3	17.800	32441773	0.1349
	Wilsonia	3	7.6	9.7	17.900	32441863	0.1389
	Zonotrichia	4	11.3	26.7	13.467	22085497	0.1303
Hirundinidae	Hirundo	4	11.3	18.0	17.500	71067722	0.1391
	Progne	1	13.8	45.0			
	Riparia	1	10.0	13.6	17.100		
	Stelgidopteryx	1	5.9	16.0			
	Tachycineta	2	9.4	19.0			
Laniidae	Lanius	4	9.5	48.5	12.750	396730	0.1287
Muscicapidae	Catharus	4	9.0	27.3		396722	0.1185
	Erithacus	1	17.2	17.3	16.000	40362744	0.1508
	Ficedula	2	9.4	11.7	19.800	42794985	0.1329
	Hilocichla	1	8.9	43.3			
	Muscicapa	1	11.8	14.4	17.200	13508746	0.1274
	Oenanthe	1	7.3	25.0			
	Sialia	3	7.4	29.0	15.400		
	Turdus	8	14.7	67.6	12.000	45685575	0.1275
Paridae	Parus	16	10.6	14.7	24.500	45685577	0.1072
Passeridae	Anthus	6	7.5	19.9	16.550		
	Lonchura	1	10.0	10.3	20.900	45685565	0.1384
	Motacilla	3	9.7	16.4	17.100		
	Passer	3	18.1	22.9	11.500	45685541	0.1467
	Prunella	1	11.7	16.8	19.300		
	Taeniopygia	1	12.0	12.0		90576949	0.1313
	Regulus	3	5.3	5.9	33.400	62942319	0.1241
	Sitta	5	8.8	14.2			
Sturnidae	Acridotheres	1	13.0	109.4	11.000		
	Dumetella	1	17.9	34.5			
	Leucopsar	1	24.0	95.0			
	Mimus	1	20.0	53.0			
	Sturnus	1	22.9	74.0	11.700		
	Toxostoma	7	7.6	67.0			
Sylviidae	Acrocephalus	7	10.1	14.7	16.500	47550629	0.1400
	Hippolais	3	9.2	12.5	20.200		
	Phylloscopus	4	9.9	9.4	19.750		
	Sylvia	6	11.7	17.6	17.800	38602383	0.1422
Tyrannidae	Contopus	3	6.8	13.9	18.500	18251268	0.0854
	Empidonax	6	7.6	11.3	14.600	396728	0.0827
	Myiarchus	3	11.3	31.2	11.300		
	Sayornis	2	9.2	21.6	16.000	18251280	0.0980
	Tyrannus	2	8.4	35.7	12.200		
Vireonidae	Vireo	7	8.1	14.4		396738	0.1156
Piciformes	Picidae	Colaptes	1	12.5	120.0		
	Dryocopus	2	13.5	364.0			
	Melanerpes	5	14.4	71.4	10.000	4099764	0.0915
	Picoides	3	14.6	46.6	17.600		
	Sphyrapicus	1	6.8	4.5		4099766	0.0856
Psittaciformes	Psittacidae	Agapornis	4	16.1	43.0		
	Amazona	11	35.9	322.5		4099736	0.0972
	Anodorhynchus	3	38.5	1330.0		56277846	0.1056
	Ara	10	32.9	822.0			
	Aratinga	6	23.2	250.0		56277844	0.1198
	Barnadius	1	17.9	150.0	5.240		
	Brotogeris	5	13.0	63.0			
	Cacatua	13	39.8	546.7	4.400		
	Chalcopsitta	2	18.6	260.0			
	Forpus	4	10.8	24.0			
	Glossopsitta	2	11.8	44.0			
	Melopsittacus	1	21.0	30.0	12.000		
	Myiopsitta	1	22.1	81.7	6.500		
	Neopsephotus	1	12.6	45.0			
	Nymphicus	1	35.0	90.0			

[illegible]



Table S2. The rate of evolution of cytochrome b, longevity, mass-specific basal metabolic rate, body mass, longevity residuals from power law 3, longevity residuals from power law 1 and lifetime energy expenditure of *Neognathae* genera

Genus	S	L	BMR <sub>w</sub>	M	L <sub>0</sub> /L <sub>p</sub> (M)	L <sub>0</sub> /L <sub>p</sub> (BMR <sub>w</sub> )	L×BMR <sub>w</sub>
<i>Haematopus</i>	0.0506	25.4	6.481	571.7	1.3066	1.5848	164.6
<i>Puffinus</i>	0.0555	28.2	4.838	348.0	1.5966	1.5145	136.4
<i>Aptenodytes</i>	0.0577	26.0	1.951	13037.0	0.7315	0.8763	50.7
<i>Bulweria</i>	0.0578	22.0	5.908	87.0	1.6276	1.3090	130.0
<i>Gallus</i>	0.0596	30.0	2.216	2580.0	1.1538	1.0794	66.5
<i>Accipiter</i>	0.0609	18.0	7.049	460.0	0.9656	1.1726	126.9
<i>Scolopax</i>	0.0610	18.2	5.903	293.0	1.0652	1.0825	107.4
<i>Charadrius</i>	0.0611	13.7	11.556	52.4	1.1178	1.1501	158.3
<i>Arenaria</i>	0.0612	19.7	10.222	90.0	1.4480	1.5529	201.4
<i>Larus</i>	0.0624	30.5	5.304	666.0	1.5235	1.7171	161.8
<i>Buteo</i>	0.0627	21.6	3.207	992.0	0.9990	0.9394	69.3
<i>Aquila</i>	0.0645	42.0	1.664	4800.0	1.4329	1.3046	69.9
<i>Uria</i>	0.0669	27.5	7.017	972.0	1.2769	1.7873	193.0
<i>Phoebastria</i>	0.0670	44.6	2.959	2522.0	1.7229	1.8613	132.0
<i>Diomedea</i>	0.0682	39.8	2.389	5941.0	1.3031	1.4883	95.1
<i>Tetrao</i>	0.0722	15.1	2.947	2538.0	0.5826	0.6289	44.5
<i>Falco</i>	0.0723	16.1	6.257	387.7	0.8927	0.9866	100.7
<i>Callipepla</i>	0.0731	8.5	5.978	132.0	0.5802	0.5088	50.8
<i>Coturnix</i>	0.0789	8.5	9.189	106.0	0.6053	0.6344	78.1
<i>Empidonax</i>	0.0827	7.6	14.600	11.3	0.8337	0.7193	111.0
<i>Perdix</i>	0.0835	5.2	4.348	492.0	0.2754	0.2644	22.6
<i>Contopus</i>	0.0854	6.8	18.500	13.9	0.7167	0.7267	125.8
<i>Melanerpes</i>	0.0915	14.4	10.000	71.4	1.1068	1.1224	144.0
<i>Sayornis</i>	0.0980	9.2	16.000	21.6	0.8906	0.9126	147.2
<i>Cuculus</i>	0.0989	12.9	7.509	111.6	0.9096	0.8680	96.9
<i>Micrathene</i>	0.1031	14.0	5.650	45.9	1.1718	0.8142	79.1
<i>Parus</i>	0.1072	10.6	24.500	14.7	1.1053	1.3084	259.7
<i>Corvus</i>	0.1151	23.1	5.980	520.1	1.2102	1.3830	138.1
<i>Geococcyx</i>	0.1205	5.2	5.150	284.7	0.3060	0.2884	26.8
<i>Regulus</i>	0.1241	5.3	33.400	5.9	0.6591	0.7669	177.0
<i>Muscicapa</i>	0.1274	11.8	17.200	14.4	1.2353	1.2147	203.0
<i>Turdus</i>	0.1275	14.7	12.000	67.6	1.1418	1.2581	176.4
<i>Protonotaria</i>	0.1277	5.9	15.600	12.8	0.6319	0.5777	92.0
<i>Aegolius</i>	0.1283	16.7	5.270	119.0	1.1630	0.9371	88.0
<i>Melospiza</i>	0.1286	7.9	13.625	17.1	0.8001	0.7216	107.6
<i>Lanius</i>	0.1287	9.5	12.750	48.5	0.7867	0.8387	121.1
<i>Pica</i>	0.1296	15.8	8.605	159.3	1.0401	1.1402	136.0
<i>Zonotrichia</i>	0.1303	11.3	13.467	26.7	1.0501	1.0261	152.2
<i>Geothlypis</i>	0.1321	11.5	16.625	10.6	1.2772	1.1634	191.2
<i>Mniotilta</i>	0.1315	11.3	15.521	8.2	1.3188	1.1036	175.4
<i>Aegithalos</i>	0.1327	10.8	22.360	8.9	1.2407	1.2720	241.5
<i>Ficedula</i>	0.1329	9.4	19.800	11.7	1.0243	1.0401	186.1
<i>Icterus</i>	0.1341	9.5	13.472	29.3	0.8671	0.8628	128.0
<i>Parula</i>	0.1343	4.9	17.482	7.0	0.5896	0.5087	85.7
<i>Vermivora</i>	0.1349	7.0	17.800	8.3	0.8150	0.7334	124.6
<i>Dendroica</i>	0.1359	7.1	16.690	10.8	0.7857	0.7197	118.5
<i>Seiurus</i>	0.1374	9.3	14.050	20.1	0.9129	0.8630	130.7
<i>Lonchura</i>	0.1384	10.0	20.900	10.3	1.1168	1.1377	209.0
<i>Wilsonia</i>	0.1389	7.6	17.900	9.7	0.8587	0.7986	136.0
<i>Hirundo</i>	0.1391	11.3	17.500	18.0	1.1331	1.1736	197.8
<i>Acrocephalus</i>	0.1400	10.1	16.500	14.7	1.0531	1.0178	166.6
<i>Sylvia</i>	0.1422	11.7	17.800	17.6	1.1783	1.2258	208.3
<i>Carpodacus</i>	0.1438	10.1	14.727	23.7	0.9604	0.9602	148.7
<i>Loxia</i>	0.1438	5.4	15.588	34.1	0.4787	0.5285	84.2
<i>Passer</i>	0.1467	18.1	11.500	22.9	1.7326	1.5156	208.2
<i>Erithacus</i>	0.1508	17.2	16.000	17.3	1.7380	1.7062	275.2
<i>Emberiza</i>	0.1529	9.4	16.129	23.8	0.8931	0.9363	151.6
<i>Fringilla</i>	0.1509	21.9	18.000	20.9	2.1336	2.3077	394.2
<i>Serinus</i>	0.1634	24.0	17.300	13.3	2.5513	2.4780	415.2

S, substitution site; L, longevity (years); BMR<sub>w</sub>, mass-specific basal metabolic rate (mW g<sup>-1</sup>); M, body mass (g); L<sub>0</sub>/L<sub>p</sub>(M), longevity residuals from power law 3; L<sub>0</sub>/L<sub>p</sub>(BMR<sub>w</sub>), longevity residuals from power law 1; L×BMR<sub>w</sub>, lifetime energy expenditure.

These data are plotted in Figs 1, 2, and 4. The first 15 genera in the list constitute the lowest quadrant of the Scytb distribution (Scytb<0.069) and the last 15 genera in the list constitute the highest quadrant of the Scytb distribution (Scytb>0.1345).